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Abstract

The gas sensing properties of nickel ferrite (NiFe2O4) and nickel oxide (NiO) nanoparticles prepared by a levitation-jet synthesis (LJS) method are reported. These have been compared to the gas sensing properties of a NiO sensor, prepared using a commercially-sourced powder. The microstructure, surface area, particle size and morphology varied widely across the sensors fabricated. It was anticipated that these contrasting properties would play a key role in either enhancing or worsening the sensing capabilities of the materials. Gases of interest included ethanol, acetone, carbon monoxide, toluene and nitrogen dioxide and the gas response of the sensors was investigated at different operating temperatures. In general, the sensors responded to gases with different degrees of sensitivity. Of the sensors with higher surface areas, one provided noticeable enhancements in sensitivity towards gases when compared, for instance, to the sensitivity of the commercially-based NiO sensor. The NiFe2O4 sensor presented most promise as a gas sensor, with outstanding sensor sensitivity towards ppb concentrations of NO2. This sensor was also remarkably sensitive to ethanol and, to a greater or lesser degree, towards acetone and toluene gases. In general, sensors were poorly responsive to the carbon monoxide concentrations tested. This study is one of the first reports of using LJS-based powders for gas sensing applications and it highlights the suitability of the method to fabricate size- and shape-controlled nanomaterials for the detection of environmentally-relevant gases.
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1. Introduction

In past decades, the fascinating and unique properties of nanostructured materials, together with their versatility for a wide range of applications have granted them steadily growing attention [1]. Among the various nanomaterials that have been studied, metal oxide semiconductors (MOS) have attracted increasing technological and industrial interest due to their properties, some of which include optical, magnetic, electrical, catalytic and gas-sensing properties. These are associated with characteristics such as mechanical hardness, thermal stability or chemical passivity [2].

MOS gas sensors have been the subject of intense research, finding applicability in industrial process monitoring and control, and in environmental and indoor air quality measurements [3]. New technological advances have enabled a better control of the particle size, morphology, surface area, architecture, and electrical properties of metal oxides [4] which, in turn, have resulted in great enhancements in sensor performance [5]. Industrial and domestic activities call for reliable and accurate gas detection systems, especially in relation to the associated concerns with environmental pollution that stem from them [3]. Solid-state metal oxide semiconductor gas sensors have been implemented for a range of commercial applications, but their greatest limitation is a result of their poor selectivity to gases when used in the bulk state [6]. Various methods, including temperature control and addition of surface additives or filtering layers, may be used to improve sensor selectivity [7]. One of the most effective ways in this direction goes through the nanoscale [8].
Simple transition metal oxides are very interesting examples of gas sensing materials. They can be prepared using a number of techniques, such as ultrasonic spray pyrolysis [9], liquid-control-precipitation [10], chemical vapour deposition [11], electrodeposition [12], the sol-gel route [13], through the reduction of metallic salts followed by the oxidation of metallic species [14], pulsed laser ablation [15], and so on. Among such oxides, NiO nanoparticles exhibit multi-functional properties, suitable for a variety of applications including catalysis, electro-chromatic windows, battery cathodes and sensors [16,17].

Nickel oxide (NiO) and ferrites such as NiFe$_2$O$_4$, have recently surfaced as novel sensitive materials for the detection of both reducing and oxidising gases that are important from environmental, safety and medical perspectives [18-20]. NiFe$_2$O$_4$ is an inverse spinel, in which the tetrahedral sites are occupied by Fe$^{3+}$ ions and the octahedral sites by Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ ions [21]. This material is widely used in electric and electronic devices as a magnetic material, and is also applied to the fields of catalysis and gas sensors due to its semiconducting properties [22]. Recently, much attention has been devoted to the controlled preparation of nanosized ferrites because the cation distribution and the resulting magnetic properties are found to be different when compared to those of the bulk counterparts [8,23]. Meanwhile, this material has been confirmed as a good gas-sensing material [24], and its sensitivity is strongly correlated to its crystalline size [25].

Multiple methodologies have been developed to synthesise nanosized NiFe$_2$O$_4$, some of which include co-precipitation [26], the sol-gel method [27], and the shock-wave [28], mechanical-alloying and pulsed-wire discharge methods [29]. However, there are no facile methods for the synthesis of nanocrystal NiFe$_2$O$_4$, which is difficult or inconvenient to obtain through multi-step synthesis methods. As such, a cost-effective and scalable technique able to synthesise and control the size and shape of Ni-based nanoparticles is needed[30].

The levitation-jet synthesis (LJS) method is an attractive technique that facilitates the simultaneous control of nanoparticle shapes and sizes [23,31-32]. It is a one-pot, fully-regulated process that does not require additional surfactants or capping agents. This, in turn, serves to minimise the number of precursors utilised and makes mass production of highly pure materials feasible [23].

In the present work, Ni/NiO and NiFe$_2$O$_4$ nanopowders have been synthesised using an LJS method. Gas sensors have been developed from these materials and the morphology, phase composition, optical and magnetic properties of the materials has been evaluated. This is, to the best of our knowledge, the first time that an LJS method has been used to synthesise and compare the gas sensing properties of NiO nanoparticle materials – with a range of particle sizes, shapes and surface areas – to those of NiFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. In addition to this, the performance of the LJS Ni-based nanoparticles has been compared to that of a NiO gas sensor fabricated using a commercially-sourced NiO powder. This particular sensing array has been tested in the presence of trace gas concentrations of nitrogen dioxide, ethanol, carbon monoxide, acetone and toluene and the optimal operating temperature of these sensor systems has been explored and reported.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials Synthesis

Nanoparticles were produced by M. Ja. Gen's modified levitation-jet method [31,33]. In this technique, a metal nickel droplet is suspended inside an appropriate quartz tube and heated up to melting and vaporisation onset by an electromagnetic field (0.44 MHz), generated by a counter-current inductor and supplied from an industrial HF generator. The levitated droplet was blown down by an adjustable stream of He/Ar – the main inert gas. Nanoparticle formation occurs at normal gas pressure. As an evaporated material used a wire of pure metal nickel (0.2 mm in diameter 99.9 at.% pure) which, by means of the appropriate feeding device, continuously feeds the liquid droplet with a given constant rate. The vapour condensation rate is made equal to the rate of consumption of the metal wire, which can easily be regulated by varying the rotation speed of the feeding device rollers through a stepped motor. In order to synthesise the
Ni/NiO nanoparticles, the necessary amount of gaseous oxygen/air was introduced into the main gas stream (in the combined mode [31]. In regards to the synthesis of nickel ferrite, the second Fe wire (0.3 mm in diameter, 99.9 at.% pure) simultaneously supplied the levitated droplet. As-prepared particles were collected on a cloth filter and hereinafter removed into a particle container.

2.2. Materials Characterisation

The crystal structure and phase compositions of the nanoparticles were determined by X-ray diffraction using a DRON-3M diffractometer (CuKα or FeKα radiation). The XRD phase analysis was performed by the Crystallographica Search-Match (v.3.1.0.2) and PowderCell for Windows (ver. 2.4) programs using a Powder Diffraction File (PCPDFWIN ver. 2.02) database. Powder morphology was examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using JEM-1200EX II (JEOL) operated at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV. Electron micrographs were analysed using AxioVision ver. 4.82 image processing program (Carl Zeiss) to determine the average particle size. The specific surface area of the loose nanoparticles was explored using a 4-point method that measures the physical adsorption of nitrogen onto the materials. BET analysis together with a SORBI-M META device was employed to do this.

The UV-vis spectra of the nanoparticles (NPs) were recorded on a Lambda 950 (Perkin Elmer) using an integrated sphere detector. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded in the solid phase using a Tensor 27 spectrometer with attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory (Bruker) in the frequency range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹. Raman spectra were recorded at room temperature using an InVia Raman Renishaw and confocal microscope Leica DMLM apparatus with an air-cooled, charge-coupled device and coupled with He- Cd and Ar lasers emitting at 325 nm and 514 nm, respectively.

XPS spectra were collected using a Thermo Scientific X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer. It utilises a monochromated Al Kα (1486.6 eV) source running at the power of 72 W with a pass energy of 50 eV, which was used for high resolution region scans, and 200 eV, which was used for survey scans. Finally, for the charge corrections a 1-point scale with the C1s peak shifted to 285.0 eV was used. The magnetic properties of the NPs were measured by means of a Quantum Design VSM/SQUID magnetometer, which was calibrated using a Dy O standard with a relative accuracy of 1 x 10⁻⁵emu, at room temperature. During the experiments, the magnetic field was ramped from zero to 70 kOe at 300 K. The sample mass (a few mg) was determined with a relative accuracy of ± 2 x 10⁻⁴mg.

2.3. MOS Sensor Fabrication

The as-prepared nanoparticles were mixed into an ink using a previously reported method [34]. In essence, this process involves mixing the powder under consideration - either the commercial powder (BDH Laboratory supplies, UK) or the fabricated ones - with an organic vehicle, namely, ESL400.

The inks were screen printed directly onto gold inter-digitated electrodes on 3 x 3 mm alumina chips. They were then treated in a furnace at 600 °C for one hour to burn the organic phase of the ink off, and ensure adherence of the powders to the sensor substrates [35,36]. Following heat treatment, 50 µm platinum wire was spot-welded onto the gold contacts on the fired sensor chips and, in turn, spot-welded on stainless steel pins in moulded polyphenylene sulphide housings that enabled the sensor’s suspension in the centre of the housing. Gas sensing experiments were performed in an in-house gas-sensing rig [35] designed to maintain up to twelve sensors at a constant operating temperature, which may be modified in the range of 300 °C to 500 °C, using a heater driver circuit, which is connected to each of the sensors’ heater track. The sensors were exposed to a range of BOC gases (ethanol, nitrogen dioxide, acetone, carbon monoxide and toluene) at environmentally-relevant trace gas concentrations [3]. The gas concentrations investigated here are significant in air-quality and environmental monitoring and also in medical and security fields. For the purposes of this paper, sensors were names N1-
N6 and specific details of the sensors can be found in Table 1.

3. Results & Discussion
3.1. Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD)
XRD patterns in Figure 1A show the reflections of pure rhombohedral NiO (JCPDS card No. 44-1159) with lattice parameters: \(a = 2.955 \text{ Å}\) and \(c = 7.228 \text{ Å}\). The XRD patterns of samples N2, N3, and N5 (see Table 1 for details on the nomenclature and relevant parameters of each sample) have also been included in the figure. For sample N1, cubic Ni reflections (JCPDS card No. 04-0850) with \(a = 3.524 \text{ Å}\) were also identified as the main phase. No other phases or impurities were detected with XRD. Figure 1B displays the X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the N6 (JCPDS card No. 44-1485) nickel ferrite sample, showing a single phase cubic spinel structure, with lattice parameter \(a = 8.339 \text{ Å}\). These lattice parameters were identical to those reported in the literature, within experimental error [37]. Figure 1. (A) X-ray diffraction patterns of Ni-based nanoparticles and (B) of the NiFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) (N6) sample. The sample nomenclature corresponds to that presented in Table 1.

3.2. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)
Transmission electron microscope imaging of the Ni-based nanoparticles (Figure 2) indicated a cubic morphology with average particle sizes that were less than 100 nm in all the Ni/NiO samples. An exception was observed in the commercially-based N5 material with, in turn, appeared more oval in shape and interconnected and had a particle size that was >100 nm. The NiFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) sample (Figure 2F, sample number N6), displayed a significantly different morphology. Its particle shape was predominantly hexagonal. The surface area of the materials – found using BET analysis – proved to be comparable to the mean particle size calculations carried out using relevant micrographic analysis.

Table 1. Sample numbers, synthetic conditions and physicochemical characteristics of the Ni-based nanoparticles produced by the levitation-jet method.

Figure 2. TEM images of Ni-based nanoparticles a) N1 b) N2 c) N3 d) N4 e) N5 f) N6 (all the sample numbers are detailed in Table 1).

3.3. Raman Spectroscopy
Raman spectroscopy is very sensitive to the microstructure of nanocrystalline materials. It has also been used here to clarify the structure of the Ni-based nanoparticles. The Raman spectrum of pure NiO carried out at room temperature consists of several bands: five vibrational bands of one phonon (1P) TO (at 400-440 \text{ cm}^{-1}) and LO (at 560 \text{ cm}^{-1}) modes, two-phonon (2P) 2TO modes (at 740 \text{ cm}^{-1}), TO + LO (at 925 \text{ cm}^{-1}) and 2LO (at 1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}) modes, and two-magnon (2M) bands at 1500 \text{ cm}^{-1} [38]. Most of our NiO samples (Figure 3) show obvious Raman bands located at approximately 380 \text{ cm}^{-1}, 520 \text{ cm}^{-1}, 710 \text{ cm}^{-1}, 880 \text{ cm}^{-1} and 1080 \text{ cm}^{-1}. These Raman shifts are consistent with those of nanosized NiO [39]. The strong band seen at 520 \text{ cm}^{-1}, belongs to the longitudinal (LO) one phonon (1P) mode and corresponds to the 1080 \text{ cm}^{-1}, which is due to two phonon (2P) modes of 2LO peak. It was observed that the intensities of two Raman bands increased with increasing nanoparticle size. There was no magnon band in the Raman spectrum, which is normally observed at 1500 cm\(^{-1}\). The absence of a magnon band in the data suggests that a reduction of the spin correlation is the result of a phase transition from antiferromagnetic to paramagnetic, when the particle size is reduced to the nano level [40]. From this, it can be concluded that a reduction in nanoparticle sizes of NiO samples leads to a transformation into a paramagnetic phase. However, the ferrite sample (N6) revealed 2M bands, whereas other bands were less pronounced.
In regards to the O 1s spectra of the N2 (Figure 6b) sample, the peaks that appear at about

Table 854.0 measurements, the results of band nearby O opposite neutrality, in the lattice leads to the transformation of two adjacent Ni vacancies, which are the major source of defects dominant contributions from the XPS nanoparticles. All the spectra were analysed investigated in this study. At long wavelengths, the long tail of the reflectance is attributed to the O–H stretching vibration of surface-adsorbed water and H–O–H bending vibration mode of the hydroxyl groups, respectively, due to the adsorption of moisture from the air [41].

Figure 4. FTIR spectra of the Ni-based nanoparticles. The sample nomenclature corresponds to that presented in Table 1.

3.4. FTIR Spectroscopy

Figure 4 shows some of the results attained when investigating the materials with FTIR spectroscopy. There were peaks in the region ranging from 400 cm\(^{-1}\) to 850 cm\(^{-1}\) – due to the oxide structure. There were two broad peaks at 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1650 cm\(^{-1}\), which corresponded to the O–H stretching vibration of surface-adsorbed water and H–O–H bending vibration mode of the hydroxyl groups, respectively, due to the adsorption of moisture from the air [41].

3.5. UV-vis Spectroscopy

UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra are presented in Figure 5A for some of the samples investigated in this study. At long wavelengths, the long tail of the reflectance is attributed to the scattered radiation of nickel oxide clusters of nanoparticles. All the spectra were analysed using the Kubelka–Munk function \(F(R)\) [42], which is related to the diffuse reflectance as follows:

\[
F = \frac{(1 - R)^{2/3}}{2R}.
\]

Here, \(R\) is the absolute reflectance and \(F\) is an equivalent to the absorption coefficient. A better approach is to determine the indirect band gap, \(E_g\), of the nanoparticles, which was estimated by plotting \((\text{FE})^{0.5}\) as a function of photon energy \(E\) (Figure. 5b) – according to [43]. The linear portion of the curves was extrapolated to zero in order to determine the band gap value [44]. Analysis of Figure 5b revealed that, for the large-sized nanoparticles, large variations in reflectance with wavelength were observed, when compared to the small-sized nanoparticles. No linear relation with the Tauc plot for \((\text{FE})^{2}\) [45] was found, suggesting that Ni-based oxide nanoparticles are semiconducting, with indirect transition to the band gap energy, which is in stark contrast with previously reported data [46].

3.6. XPS Spectroscopy

The surface composition and chemical states of the materials were determined by means of XPS spectroscopy, according to the characteristic binding energies of the different elements on the materials’ surfaces. The varied physical properties of Ni-based nanoparticles are due to the dominant contributions from its defect structure; particularly, the cation (Ni\(^{2+}\)) and anion (O\(^{2-}\)) vacancies, which are the major source of defects in NiO [47]. The presence of each Ni\(^{2+}\) vacancy in the lattice leads to the transformation of two adjacent Ni\(^{2+}\) ions into Ni\(^{3+}\) ions to acquire charge neutrality, thereby inducing a lattice distortion. Each Ni\(^{2+}\) vacancy along with two Ni\(^{3+}\) ions in opposite nearest neighbour positions constitute a bound quadrupole. If a 2p electron from the nearby O\(_2\) is transferred to a Ni\(^{3+}\) ion of the quadrupole, then a hole will be induced in the 2p band of O\(^{2-}\) [48]. The presence of Ni\(^{2+}\) ions in the samples was established by XPS measurements, the results of which are shown in Figures 6A and 6B. The two sharp peaks at 854.0 eV and 872.7 eV in the Ni 2p spectrum correspond to Ni 2p\(_{3/2}\) and Ni 2p\(_{1/2}\) (Figure 6A).

Figure 5. (A) UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra and (B) band gap of the Ni-based nanoparticles plotted using the Kubelka-Munk function. Sample nomenclature corresponds to that presented in Table 1.

Satellite peaks at 879.4 eV and 861.0 eV are present as a result of the shake-up processes [49]. In regards to the O 1s spectra of the N2 (Figure 6b) sample, the peaks that appear at about
529.5 eV and 532.0 eV correspond to the O\(^2\) ions bonded to Ni\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{3+}\), respectively. For the
N3 sample, corresponding peaks were obtained at 529.7 eV and 533.5 eV. After comparison of the
peak intensities of the two samples, it was clear that the presence of Ni\(^{3+}\) in the last sample
had diminished considerably [47]. Hence, it may be concluded that the presence of uncompensated Ni\(^{2+}\) vacancies are less and, as such, the concentration of O\(^2\) vacancies is
comparatively more abundant in the last sample and in the ferrite material as well (sample N6).
Another plausible interpretation for the peak observed near 856 eV can be found in [50]. This
spectrum cannot be satisfactorily fitted with the unaltered Ni\(^{2+}\) multiplets (as it was the case for
sample N6) or with the FWHM variation alone. A satisfactory fit can only be achieved by allowing
variation in the BE positions of the multiplet contributions to the main peak.

Figure 6. (A) X-ray photoelectron spectra of Ni 2p and B) O 1s spectra and of the Ni-based
nanoparticles. Sample nomenclature corresponds to the samples IDs presented in Table 1.

There is also a broad peak associated with other intrinsic losses at a higher binding energy than
that of the main peak multiplets. In all of the above-mentioned cases, this contribution is
necessary in order to model the valley between the main peak and the satellite structures and is
consistent with the inter-band losses [50]. In the Fe 2p spectra (sample N6), the peak shape was
very broad, which may indicate several Fe-containing species e.g. Fe-OH. Fitting suggests that
the chemical state of the iron was largely Fe\(^{3+}\). However, the presence of some Fe\(^0\). Fe\(^{2+}\) cannot
be ruled out.

3.7. Magnetic Measurements

Magnetic hysteresis loops measured at room temperature for the samples prepared under non-
oxidising conditions and ferrite (N1, N6), as well as those prepared under oxidising conditions
(N2-N5) are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. (A) Hysteresis loops of the Ni-based nanoparticles with sample ID N1 and N6 and (B)
hysteresis loops of the Ni-based nanoparticles with sample IDs N2-N5. Sample nomenclature
corresponds to the samples IDs listed in Table 1.

Magnetic characterisation revealed that samples N1, N6 showed ferromagnetic-like hysteresis
loops (Figure 7A). Saturation magnetisation values at 300 K increased with the Ni content in
sample N1, approaching that of bulk Ni at 300 K (55 emu/g). In Figure 7B, a set of hysteresis
loops of selected samples, previously listed in Table 1, is plotted. All loops showed almost
superparamagnetic behaviour with very little hysteresis and with coercivity lower than 100 Oe.
Specific magnetization \(\sigma\) showed a nearly linear dependence at high fields and reached
maximum values under 1 emu/g at 70 kOe [51].

4. Gas-sensing

The gas-sensing properties of NiO nanoparticles and of NiFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) fabricated with an LJS method
were investigated in this study. These were, in turn, compared to the sensing properties of a
NiO sensor that was fabricated using a commercial powder. As reported previously, the surface
area, shape and size of the LJS nanoparticles differed across the range of test sensors. It was
therefore noteworthy to investigate whether the microstructure and morphology of the materials
had an effect on the sensing performance of the sensors.

The material inks were screen printed onto 3 mm x 3 mm alumina substrates. They were heat-
treated in a furnace for an hour and the substrates were later amalgamated into a gas sensor
device, as discussed in Section 2 above. The sensors were simultaneously exposed to a particular test gas using an in-house gas-sensing rig. They were exposed to a range of gas concentrations and tests were repeated at different operating temperatures so that the optimal experimental conditions for each individual sensor could be determined.

Bearing in mind that MOS-based sensors often see a so-called 'sensitivity maximum' when they are exposed to a test gas and heated to temperatures that typically fall in the range of 200 – 500 °C, [52] it was key to understand how the newly-fabricated sensors behaved under different experimental conditions and how these might improve or worsen the sensitivity and/or selectivity of the devices towards test gases. Furthermore, it was especially noteworthy to investigate how the NiO gas sensor fabricated with a commercial powder performed, in relation to those fabricated using the LJS method. The comparison extended to studying the effects that the incorporation of iron – to form NiFe$_2$O$_4$ – had on the functionalisation of the sensors at the time of detecting the following test gases: nitrogen dioxide, ethanol, acetone, carbon monoxide and toluene.

As extensively discussed in the field, it is often desired to have a device that will display complete specificity towards a gas, particularly when the analyte is in the presence of other interfering gases [53]. However, because this is a complex task, other ways of achieving selectivity are generally sought. For instance, an array of different sensors displaying partial specificity towards gases may be used, [54] and/or the operating temperatures of the sensors may be modulated, [55] such that a fingerprint is essentially generated upon sensor exposure to each gas. Furthermore, data mining tools based on supervised or unsupervised machine learning methods can then assist in discriminating across test analytes [35,56].

Although the explanations behind the resistance change that occurs in a MOS sensor when it is exposed to a gas are still a subject of debate, it is generally accepted that resistance changes occur either due to (1) space charge layer effects and surface potential variations – brought about by ionosorbed gaseous species, or (2) as a result of changes in the oxygen stoichiometry of the sensing material being used [57,58]. It is typical to observe n-type semiconductor materials responding with a decrease in sensor resistance when presented with an inflammable or reducing gas and, conversely, to respond by increasing in resistance when presented with an oxidising gas [6]. P-type semiconductor materials will display opposing behaviours. That is, when supplied with an inflammable gas they will increase in resistance and, in the presence of an oxidising gas, they will decrease in resistance. The literature sometimes reports variations of this expected behaviour [59-62].

NiO is a p-type semiconductor material and its suitability as a gas sensor has already been established in the literature. The sensing properties of NiFe$_2$O$_4$ have also been reported in other studies. It is commonly a p-type semiconductor, its behaviour stemming from the hole ($h^+$) hopping between Ni$^{2+}$ and Ni$^{3+}$ in octahedral sites, as follows [63]:

\[
\text{Ni}^{2+} + h^+ \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{3+}
\]

It must be noted that the Ni$^{3+}$ in NiFe$_2$O$_4$ comes from cation vacancies. These form because of nickel's draw to excess oxygen during synthesis [63]. In order to keep the electrical charge of the lattice neutral, Ni$^{2+}$ then oxidises to Ni$^{3+}$ [63]. There are certain configurations that might be beneficial for the purposes of gas sensing. In essence, when the NiFe$_2$O$_4$ contains a lower concentration of Ni$^{3+}$, in relation to that of Ni$^{2+}$, a higher concentration of oxygen species may chemisorb on the sensor surface – believed to lead to enhanced sensor responses towards test gases. The n-type conductivity of nickel ferrite has also been reported in the literature; it can be induced by replacement of as low as ~5 at% of Ni$^{2+}$ by Fe$^{3+}$ into the lattice [63].

In this study, the commercially-based NiO sensor and those fabricated with NiO nanoparticles displayed p-type conductivity, as originally expected. Conversely, the nickel ferrite sensor displayed n-type conductivity. In general, the array of sensors provided different response magnitudes and peak shape patterns to the various analytes tested. It was often the case that the nickel ferrite sensor provided considerably enhanced sensor responses, particularly when
was exposed to ethanol, nitrogen dioxide, toluene and acetone. The sensors were mildly sensitive to carbon monoxide. Results are presented and discussed in more detail below.

4.1. Sensor Exposure to Ethanol

The sensors were most responsive to ethanol gas at 300 °C. This can be observed in Figure 8, where the sensor responses to 100 ppm of the gas have been illustrated at various temperatures. Figure 9 shows the sensor responses and peak shape patterns attained when exposing the sensors to different concentrations of ethanol at 300 °C. In the latter figure, it can be seen that the nickel ferrite sensor was consistently the most responsive one to ethanol and it displayed flat peak shape characteristics that are commonly sought in practical settings. More specifically, the sensor saturated and reached steady state, particularly when exposed to 50 ppm, 80 ppm and 100 ppm of the gas. As expected, at lower operating temperatures the sensors’ response times were longer and ranged between 99 - 299 seconds, which could be undesirable in practical applications. These results are in line with what other studies investigating NiO-based nanoparticles have reported [64]. With lower ethanol concentrations, the sensors took even longer times to respond. In regards to the recovery times of the sensors, most failed to fully recover in the allocated recovery time. Nevertheless, the nickel ferrite sensor fully recovered in just over a minute. It must be noted that the shark-fin shape that is observed in some sensors is indicative of an unsaturated surface, and suggests that the dynamic range of the sensors is greater than that investigated here.

It is interesting to see that the greater surface area of some sensors, namely N2 and N3 (S = 103 m²/g and S = 117 m²/g, respectively) did not lead to the expected improvements in sensor response that were observed with sensor N6 (nickel ferrite) which, in turn, had a surface area of 36.2 m²/g and larger particle size of ~31 nm, in relation to 8 nm and 7 nm of samples N2 and N3, respectively. The N4 (LJS-synthesised) and N5 (commercial NiO powder) sensors responded to ethanol gas comparatively, which could be due to their similar surface area. This was in spite of the larger particle size of the N5 material and their very different particle morphology (Figure 2D and 2E). It is thought that the enhanced responsiveness to ethanol seen in sensor N2 was because of its higher surface area and higher concentration of surface-reactive sites available for gas interaction. It is thought that a more open and porous sensing material microstructure can result in an amplification in the material’s conductivity [7]. It must be noted, however, that despite the similarities in surface area and particle size of sensors N2 and N3, the responsiveness of the latter to ethanol was more conservative. It is possible that the microstructure of this sensor was affected differently during the sensor’s heat treatment process, leading to the observed lower sensor responses. Sensing materials with different particle shapes can provide adsorption sites for gases with different energies [20]. As such, it is interesting to see that sensors N1 and N2, for instance, displayed very different particle sizes and shapes, yet they were both responsive to ethanol at 300 °C, rendering them as promising gas sensor candidates, particularly when supplied with ethanol concentrations that were equal to or exceeded 50 ppm. As suggested previously, the relatively high sensitivity of the NiFe₂O₄ sensor may be due to the number of step and kink sites in the morphology of the sensor. It is possible that this morphology promotes the better adsorption and ionisation of oxygen at the interface of the materials, thus leading to the observed enhanced sensor responses.

**Figure 8.** Gas responses of sensors to 100 ppm ethanol at varied operating temperatures. Note that the ferrite sensor (N6) displayed n-type behaviour and its response was therefore calculated as R₀/R.

Other studies have also investigated Ni-based materials for ethanol detection. For instance, in [19], NiO/NiFe₂O₄ composites were prepared to determine the sensing performance of the new sensors at room temperature. The sensors showed good sensitivity to gases such as ethanol, acetone, THF and methanol. However, the concentrations tested were well in excess of
concentrations sought in environmental-monitoring practices. In [65], a NiO nanplate sensor was modified by mixture with a ZnO material to obtain selectivity towards 500 ppm ethanol gas (R/R₀ = ~35, in relation to R/R₀ <2.5 that they obtained with sensor exposure to gases such as CO, H₂, H₂S).

**Figure 9.** Gas responses of sensors to the varying concentrations of ethanol (5 ppm, 10 ppm, 20 ppm, 80 ppm & 100 ppm) at the optimal operating temperature of 300 ºC. Note that the ferrite sensor (N6) displayed n-type behaviour its response was therefore calculated as R₀/R.

### 4.2. Sensor Exposure to Acetone

In regards to the sensor responses towards acetone gas (Figure 10), it can be seen that, in this instance, sensor responsiveness was more prominent at 350 ºC, rather than at 300 ºC (see exposure to ethanol gas above). At the latter temperature, the sensors presented characteristics that would be considered unfavourable if used in practical applications, such as long response and recovery times of the sensors.

It can also be observed in Figure 10 that heating the sensors to 350 ºC directly affected the peak shape of the sensors upon exposure to the acetone, leading to faster response times, as expected. This is because thermal excitation leads to accelerated surface reactions and the penetration depth of the gases into the sensing system becomes limited, as gases are consumed in the outermost layer of the material [66,67]. As the operating temperature was incremented to 400 ºC, 450 ºC and 500 ºC, sensor responses diminished in magnitude and sensor N3, for instance, continuously failed to recover when the supply of gas was switched off.

The nickel ferrite sensor provided the most responsive outputs to acetone across the selection of temperatures investigated. Although the latter provided n-type responses in relation to the p-type responses attained with the remaining sensors, a mere comparison of the response magnitudes of the sensors revealed an 8-fold enhancement of the NiFe₂O₄ sensor, in relation to the N5 sample (NiO sensor prepared with a commercial powder). As it occurred with sensor exposure to ethanol gas, the N2 sensor was more responsive to acetone than the other NiO-based (LJS and commercially-based) sensors. At 350 ºC, the remaining sensors (N3-N5) responded very similarly to acetone, providing no significant differences in magnitude of response and peak shape patterns (Figure 10).

**Figure 10.** Gas responses of sensors to the varying concentrations of acetone (5ppm, 10 ppm, 20 ppm, 50 ppm and 100 ppm) at an operating temperature of 300 ºC (top image) and 350 ºC (bottom image). Note that N6 behaved as an n-type semiconductor its response was thus calculated as R₀/R.

The literature reports studies that fabricated NiO/ZnO hierarchical nanostructured composites, which displayed great responsiveness to 100 ppm acetone both at 300 ºC and 350 ºC, with R₀/R >100 and ~50, respectively. Other groups that synthesised NiO/ZnO hollow spheres attained sensor responses R₀/R = 5 towards 100 ppm of acetone at 350 ºC. In [68] a Ca-doped NiFe₂O₄ sensor displayed selectivity towards acetone. Nevertheless, the concentrations tested were not specified, making it difficult to compare their results to the ones reported here.

### 4.3. Sensor Exposure to Toluene

Sensors were also exposed to toluene gas, the results of which are presented in Figure 11. As observed in the figure, the sensors were most responsive to toluene at 350 ºC.
With the exception of the N6 (nickel ferrite) sensor, which was the most responsive to the gas, the other LJS-based nanoparticle sensors and the commercially-based NiO sensor were not particularly sensitive to toluene. It is noteworthy that the N6 sensor was responsive to trace concentrations of toluene i.e. 2.5-50 ppm and, for this reason, lower concentrations would be worth testing in future. The sensor responded linearly when supplied with toluene concentrations ranging between 2.5—25 ppm. The response vs. concentration curve appeared to saturate when supplied with higher concentrations of toluene, suggesting a limited dynamic range of the sensor towards this gas. At 350 °C, the nickel ferrite sensor provided a ~4.8 enhancement in sensor response over the commercially-sourced NiO sensor (N5), when supplied with 50 ppm of gas.

Sensor N2 also provided promising outcomes for toluene detection, albeit the rather conservative response attained, in relation to that of sensor N6. Sensors N1, N3, N4 and N5 responded very similarly to toluene, despite the important differences in surface area, particle size, morphology and synthesis procedure.

NiO nanostructures have been proposed in the literature as a great material for the detection of toluene, particularly when doped with chromium [69]. The Cr-doped nanostructures were found to be selective towards the gas, in relation to the responses that were attained when exposing the sensor to molecules with similar molecular structures e.g. benzene and xylene. Other studies have utilised α-Fe₂O₃/NiO composites with a hollow nanostructure for the selective detection of the gas [70]. The response magnitude of the composite sensor they investigated was comparable to that of the NiFe₂O₄ sensor presented here when exposed to concentrations of 5 ppm and 10 ppm.

Other studies that fabricated NiFe₂O₄ using an inverse titrating chemical co-precipitation technique reported lower sensing responses to higher concentrations of toluene than reported here [71]. However, their sensor displayed selectivity towards toluene, in relation to other gases such as methane, ethanol, carbon monoxide and ammonia. NiO/Fe₂O₃ composites have been reported to show great sensitivity towards toluene, in relation to other gases such as ethanol, propanol, acetone and THF [19]. Similar results were found when co-doping NiO with Li and Ti, where the sensor provided a clear advantage for the detection of toluene and not towards other gases [64].

**Figure 11.** (Top) Gas responses of sensors to varying concentrations of toluene (2.5 ppm, 5 ppm, 10 ppm, 25 ppm, 40 ppm and 50 ppm) at an operating temperature of 350 °C and (Bottom) Gas responses to toluene concentrations at a range of operating temperatures. Note that N6 behaved as an n-type semiconductor and its response was thus calculated as $R_0/R$.

### 4.4. Sensor Exposure to Carbon Monoxide

The sensors were also exposed to carbon monoxide, at concentrations that ranged between 50 – 500 ppm and also at various temperatures (300 – 500 °C). However, the sensors were almost unresponsive to these test concentrations and this was true across the temperatures investigated. This can be observed in more detail in Figure 12. Similar results were reported by [71,72] upon exposure to CO concentrations <500 ppm with a NiFe₂O₄ sensor. The sensor responses increased slightly when supplied with CO concentrations that exceeded 1000 ppm [71] but their sensor was unable to differentiate between CO and CH₄ gases.

**Figure 12.** Sensor responses to a range of carbon monoxide concentrations and temperatures. Note that N6 behaved as an n-type semiconductor and its response was thus calculated as $R_0/R$. 
4.5. Sensor Exposure to Nitrogen Dioxide

When exposing the sensors to trace concentrations of NO₂ (ppb level), the sensors behaved as expected. That is, those originally behaving as n-type increased in resistance in its presence and, those that were originally p-type, showed a decrease in resistance.

The sensors were, for the most part, barely responsive to NO₂, with one exception: the nickel ferrite sensor (Figure 13). Although at 350 °C the nickel ferrite sensor began to show a response towards 50 ppb NO₂, it increased significantly when the operating temperature was dropped down to 300 °C. At concentrations of 200 ppb and above, the response output of sensor N6 appeared noisy. Some researchers have expressed it be due to poor particle connectivity [73,74]. Because this behaviour was not seen in previous tests this was, therefore, unlikely and the reasons behind this behaviour will be investigated in future. It can also be observed that the shape of the peak (500 ppb) appeared to decrease in magnitude during the supply of gas, which could be due to a number of surface reactions taking place in the sensor. Different reaction products may then lead to enhancements or reductions in the response of the sensing material, according to its sensitivity to the formed gas.

Figure 13 (Top) Gas responses of sensors to varying concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (50 ppb, 5 ppm, 100 ppb, 200 ppb and 500 ppb) at an operating temperature of 300 °C and (Bottom) inset of sensors N1-N5 in (Top) for clarification purposes. Note that N6 behaved as an n-type semiconductor and its response was thus calculated as R_o/R.

The enhancement in the response of the N6 (nickel ferrite) sensor towards 500 ppb NO₂ (ca. 11-fold), in relation to the commercially-based NiO sensor was outstanding. The enhancement was also excellent when compared to the other LJS-based NiO nanoparticle sensors (ca. 11-fold). In this instance, sensor N2 displayed similar behaviour to the other sensors (N1, N3-N5). Other studies that have reported the sensitivity of NiO-based nanoparticles towards NO₂ found similar results, in that the materials were poorly sensitive to the gas, even when supplied with 10 ppm [72,75].

5. Summary and Outlook

Although a few studies have, indeed, evaluated the gas sensing properties of NiFe₂O₄, [76-78], a very limited number of gases have been previously evaluated and poor sensitivity and selectivity of the sensors has typically been reported [4,79]. Generally speaking, the nickel ferrite sensors are commonly found to be selective towards a particular gas, but the gas concentrations investigated have commonly been very high [4,80-81], and far in excess of what can reasonably be expected in real-world investigations of environmental pollutants [3]. Conversely, the nickel ferrite gas sensor prepared here provided excellent sensor performance when exposed to trace gas concentrations and it responded very differently to all the gases that were investigated which, in turn, makes it a good candidate as a selective sensor in future investigations.

The LJS method employed here to synthesise nanoparticle materials offers very attractive advantages over other synthesis methods, such as enabling particle size and shape control and not requiring additional surfactants or capping agents which, consequently, reduces the number of precursors needed for fabrication and facilitates large-scale production of highly pure materials.

It is worth noting that the sensing performance of the LJS nickel ferrite sensor was far better than the other LJS NiO nanoparticle-based sensors prepared in this study and also in relation to that of the NiO sensor prepared using a commercial powder. Sensor N1 and N2 also showed promise for future gas sensing investigations. Although N1 and N2 were both responsive to gases such as ethanol and acetone, the former displayed particle morphologies that were oval in shape, whereas the latter had a higher surface area and particle morphologies that were square...
in appearance. Conversely, it was interesting to see that although sensors N2 and N3 had similar microstructures and particle morphologies, they responded rather differently to the test gases. This may be the result of the different synthesis conditions used to fabricate the materials and/or the result of the materials sintering differently when undergoing heat treatment during the sensor fabrication steps. This may have affected the microstructure of the sensing materials such that the access of the gases through the sensing system was somewhat limited in the N3 sensor microstructure. Further work ought to be carried out in future to investigate this in depth. The sensors were typically more responsive to gases at 350 °C, which is in line with what other groups investigating Ni-based gas sensors have reported in the literature[71].

It has been shown here that modifying the synthesis conditions of the LJS method could lead to different particle properties that worked in favour of gas detection. When the LJS method synthesised particles that were comparable to those of the commercially-sourced NiO powder, the gas sensing results were very similar among sensors. This study illustrates the value of LJS as an excellent and straightforward means of fabricating nanoparticle materials but, also, as a means of fabricating materials that may be used for the purposes of trace gas detection and with improved sensing performance over commercially-sourced powder materials.

6. Conclusions
A levitation-jet synthesis (LJS) method was employed to synthesise a range of nickel-based metal oxide nanoparticles in order to assess their feasibility as gas sensing materials.

The properties of the LJS-based sensors were compared to those of a NiO sensor fabricated with a commercially-sourced material. The sensing performance of the LJS-fabricated sensors was typically enhanced in relation to that of the sensor fabricated with the commercial NiO powder. Very interestingly, the NiFe₂O₄ gas sensor provided the most responsive sensor outputs to the gases of interest, despite having other sensors in the array that had much-increased surface areas. Furthermore, this sensor alone responded to the gases with different degrees of sensitivity, highlighting its potential as a selective sensor if used as part of a gas sensor array. As a technique, LJS shows great promise for the production of complex oxide materials and it has provided excellent control of the nanoparticle sizes, morphology and phase compositions. This, in turn, has led to the performance of the gas sensors investigated here to be very attractive for future environmental and air-quality applications.
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CAPTION: Sensor substrate schematic showing (A) obverse of sensor with interdigitated gold electrodes (B) alumina substrate with printed metal oxide semiconductor thick film and (C) reverse of sensor showing platinum heater track. Each substrate measures 3 mm × 3 mm. Figure drawn using Google SketchUp 2014. REFERENCE: Tarttelin Hernandez P., 2017, ‘Modification of n-type and p-type metal oxide semiconductor systems for gas sensing applications’, PhD Thesis, University College London, London.

Figure 1. (A) X-ray diffraction patterns of Ni-based nanoparticles and (B) of the NiFe$_2$O$_4$ (N6) sample. The sample nomenclature corresponds to that presented in Table 1.
Figure 2. TEM images of Ni-based nanoparticles a) N1 b) N2 c) N3 d) N4 e) N5 f) N6 (all the sample numbers are detailed in Table 1).
Figure 3. Raman spectra of the Ni-based nanoparticles. The curve numbers correspond to the samples IDs presented in Table 1.

Figure 4. FTIR spectra of the Ni-based nanoparticles. The sample nomenclature corresponds to that presented in Table 1.
Figure 5. (A) UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra and (B) band gap of the Ni-based nanoparticles plotted using the Kubelka-Munk function. Sample nomenclature corresponds to that presented in Table 1.
Figure 6. (A) X-ray photoelectron spectra of Ni 2p and B) O 1s spectra and of the Ni-based nanoparticles. Sample nomenclature corresponds to the samples IDs presented in Table 1.
Figure 7. (A) Hysteresis loops of the Ni-based nanoparticles with sample ID N1 and N6 and (B) hysteresis loops of the Ni-based nanoparticles with sample IDs N2-N5. Sample nomenclature corresponds to the samples IDs listed in Table 1.

Figure 8. Gas responses of sensors to 100 ppm ethanol at varied operating temperatures. Note that the ferrite sensor (N6) displayed n-type behaviour and its response was therefore calculated as $R_0/R$. 
Figure 9. Gas responses of sensors to the varying concentrations of ethanol (5 ppm, 10 ppm, 20 ppm, 80 ppm & 100 ppm) at the optimal operating temperature of 300 °C. Note that the ferrite sensor (N6) displayed n-type behaviour its response was therefore calculated as $R_0/R$.

Figure 10. Gas responses of sensors to the varying concentrations of acetone (5ppm, 10 ppm, 20 ppm, 50 ppm and 100 ppm) at an operating temperature of 300 °C (top image) and 350 °C (bottom image). Note that N6 behaved as an n-type semiconductor its response was thus calculated as $R_0/R$. 

Exposure to Toluene 350 °C
Figure 11. (Top) Gas responses of sensors to varying concentrations of toluene (2.5 ppm, 5 ppm, 10 ppm, 25 ppm, 40 ppm and 50 ppm) at an operating temperature of 350 °C and (Bottom) Gas responses to toluene concentrations at a range of operating temperatures. Note that N6 behaved as an n-type semiconductor and its response was thus calculated as $R_0/R$. 

Figure 12. Sensor responses to a range of carbon monoxide concentrations and temperatures. Note that N6 behaved as an n-type semiconductor and its response was thus calculated as $R_0/R$.

Figure 13 (Top) Gas responses of sensors to varying concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (50 ppb, 5 ppm, 100 ppb, 200 ppb and 500 ppb) at an operating temperature of 300 °C and (Bottom) inset of sensors N1-N5 in (Top) for clarification purposes. Note that N6 behaved as an n-type semiconductor and its response was thus calculated as $R_0/R$. 

Exposure to Nitrogen Dioxide at 300 °C
Table 1. Sample numbers, synthetic conditions and some physico-chemical characteristics of the Ni-based nanoparticles produced by levitation-jet method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Synthesis conditions</th>
<th>$&lt;d&gt;$ (nm)</th>
<th>at. % NiO</th>
<th>$E_g$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{max}$ (emu/g)</th>
<th>S (m$^2$/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>He – 500 l/h, Ni – 1 g/h</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>42.30</td>
<td>29.84 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>He – 1000 l/h, O$_2$ – 200 l/h, Ni – 1 g/h</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>103.4 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>He – 1000 l/h, O$_2$ – 100 l/h, Ni – 0.4 g/h</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>117.2 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4</td>
<td>Commercial NiO N2</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5</td>
<td>NiO Aldrich 6-67</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>23.55 ± 1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N6</td>
<td>He – 500 l/h, air – 9 l/h, Ni – 2 g/h, Fe – 3.5 g/h</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>36.27 ± 3.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>