

# Household slow sand filters in intermittent and continuous flows to treat water containing low mineral ion concentrations and Bisphenol A

Sabogal-Paz, L. P., Campos, L. C., Bogush, A. & Canales, M.

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

**Original citation & hyperlink:**

Sabogal-Paz, LP, Campos, LC, Bogush, A & Canales, M 2020, 'Household slow sand filters in intermittent and continuous flows to treat water containing low mineral ion concentrations and Bisphenol A' *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 702, 135078.  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135078>

DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135078

ISSN 0048-9697

ESSN 1879-1026

Publisher: Elsevier

**NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Science of the Total Environment*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Science of the Total Environment*, 702, (2019) DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135078**

© 2019, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

1 ***Household slow sand filters in intermittent and continuous flows to treat***  
2 ***water containing low mineral ion concentrations and Bisphenol A***

3 Lyda Patricia Sabogal-Paz<sup>a</sup>, Luiza Cintra Campos<sup>b</sup>, Anna Bogush<sup>c</sup>, Melisa  
4 Canales<sup>b</sup>

5 <sup>a</sup> Department of Hydraulics and Sanitation, São Carlos School of Engineering,  
6 University of São Paulo, Trabalhador São-Carlense Avenue, 400, São Paulo 13566-590,  
7 Brazil.

8 <sup>b</sup> Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, University College  
9 London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom.

10 <sup>c</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University College London (UCL), Gower Street,  
11 London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom.

12 <sup>a</sup> Email: lysaboga@sc.usp.br

13 Household slow sand filter (HSSF) has been used as an alternative to drinking  
14 water treatment in rural communities worldwide; however, its performance to  
15 treat influent water with quality similar to rainwater still needs further studies.

16 Rainwater presents low pH and slight mineral ion concentrations, an aspect that  
17 can modify the filter media and consequently the HSSF efficiency. Furthermore,  
18 house roofs used in rainwater harvesting can be made of plastic. Therefore, it  
19 can introduce chemicals such as Bisphenol A (BPA) in the water. In this context,  
20 two pilot-scale HSSFs operated in continuous and intermittent flows were  
21 evaluated to treat water containing BPA and low mineral ion concentrations in

22 order to assess the filter performance. Filter media leaching was noticed in the  
23 trials; thus, filter media and construction material selection must be carefully  
24 evaluated to eliminate risks of pollutant occurrence in drinking water.

25 Operational differences between continuous and intermittent flows influenced  
26 the HSSF efficiency for BPA and DOC removals; even so, the filters'  
27 performance was low probably due to the slow *schmutzdecke* development.

28 According to tracer test results, HSSF can be classified as a plug flow reactor  
29 and strategies to improve its hydraulic performance are not required.

30 Keywords: biosand filter; decentralised treatment; drinking water;  
31 endocrine disruptor; rainwater

## 32 **1. Introduction**

33 Access to drinking water in rural communities is a problem because they usually  
34 have a regional diffuse distribution that limits technically and/or economically the  
35 interconnection with water supply networks. Therefore, they need a decentralised  
36 supply solution. Research for efficient, easy-to-implement, operate and maintain low-  
37 cost technological solutions are essential to the success of water projects in these  
38 overlooked communities. According to WHO (2012) until reliable, safe, and piped  
39 water is accessible to every household, temporary actions, such as household water  
40 treatment and safe storage (HWTS) are needed to reduce waterborne diseases. In this  
41 context, household slow sand filter (HSSF) has acquired importance worldwide due to  
42 its efficiency and simplicity (Cawst, 2012; Sobsey et al., 2008). Real-scale HSSF has  
43 been reported in 69 countries and there are more than 300,000 units in operation  
44 worldwide (Cawst, 2012).

45

## 46 **1.1. HSSF basic concepts**

47 The worldwide requirement for a low-cost HWTS, which is simple to maintain and has  
48 safe water production, led to the development of the household slow sand filter (HSSF)  
49 in the 1990s. HSSF is a small filter that can work in intermittent or continuous flows,  
50 making it appropriate for homes (Cawst, 2012; Terin and Sabogal-Paz, 2019; Young-  
51 Rojanschi and Madramootoo, 2014). HSSF is made of concrete or plastic and it is filled  
52 with layers of sand and gravel that are carefully prepared (Cawst, 2012). The  
53 development of the biological layer (*schmutzdecke*) on top of the fine sand is required to  
54 obtain the highest efficiency. HSSF has similar limitations to SSF when removing solids  
55 and organic compounds. High concentration of suspended material in the influent water  
56 obstructs the intergranular voids causing a reduction in the filter run and an increase in  
57 the frequency of cleaning (Souza Freitas and Sabogal-Paz, 2019). However, solids and  
58 organic compound removals are easily enhanced by using pre-treatment (e.g. coagulant  
59 dosage or sedimentation) and/or post-treatment (e.g. adsorption). Influent water quality  
60 and efficiency reported by some authors are shown in Table 1.

61

62 [Table 1 near here]

63

64 The maximum turbidity for HSSF is up to 50 NTU, according to Cawst (2012);  
65 however, for countries with more restrictive drinking water standards, this value must  
66 be reduced to 10 NTU.

67

## 68 **1.2. HSSF in intermittent and continuous flows**

69 HSSF is a modified SSF which works with a higher filtration rate (up to 29 times) and a  
70 smaller sand layer (up to 50% less) than the conventional filter. HSSF cleaning

71 processes do not require removing the top of the filter media (Cawst, 2012) and it has  
72 reduced the scale, compatible with a household water treatment (WHO, 2016). A single  
73 user can build an HSSF with easily accessible materials (Faria Maciel and Sabogal-Paz,  
74 2018) and it can operate with intermittent flow, an operational aspect not possible in  
75 conventional SSF. Furthermore, HSSF can improve its performance by installing a non-  
76 woven synthetic fabric on the top of the filter media (Faria Maciel and Sabogal-Paz,  
77 2018), which can be easily positioned and fixed because the filter has a small superficial  
78 area, usually, up to 0.1 m<sup>2</sup>.

79 HSSF in intermittent flow (I-HSSF) can operate with filtration rates up to 29  
80 m<sup>3</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> (1.2 m/h), depending on the hydraulic head (Elliott et al., 2006). Water to  
81 be treated has to rest in the pores of the filter media for a period of 1 to 48 h (i.e. pause  
82 period) between each batch operation (Cawst, 2012). This pause period is important to  
83 allow physico-chemical and biological processes to act on the *schmutzdecke* to treat  
84 water. The pause period is a design parameter directly related to the HSSF efficiency  
85 and its establishment (1 to 48 h) is not yet fully understood. The user feeds the I-HSSF  
86 manually with 15-20 L directly into the unit after the pause period. The treated volume  
87 corresponds to the water that is retained in the filter media; consequently, a unit can  
88 usually produce up to 80 L day<sup>-1</sup> according to the pause period adopted (Schmidt and  
89 Cairncross, 2009). The I-HSSF area occupied inside the residence is around 0.1 m<sup>2</sup>.

90 HSSF in continuous flow (C-HSSF) usually works with lower filtration rates, up  
91 to 9.6 m<sup>3</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> (Faria Maciel and Sabogal-Paz, 2018). The filter can produce up to  
92 200 L day<sup>-1</sup> of filtered water, depending on filter configuration. C-HSSF can be fed by  
93 gravity (using an elevated tank) or by direct pumping. This filter needs a filtration rate  
94 control and may require more area inside the home ( $\pm 1.0$  m<sup>2</sup>) as it demands an external  
95 supply unit (i.e. elevated tank or pump).

### 96 **1.3. HSSF hydraulic behaviour**

97 HSSF flow characterisation is an important operational parameter (e.g. it can  
98 define the water sampling time) and few studies have considered this aspect. Bradley et  
99 al. (2011), Elliott et al. (2008) and Lynn et al. (2013) have evaluated I-HSSF hydraulic  
100 behaviour and classified it as a plug flow reactor. The C-HSSF has been also classified  
101 as a plug flow reactor by Faria Maciel and Sabogal-Paz (2018), Terin and Sabogal-Paz  
102 (2019), and Young-Rojanschi and Madramootoo (2015). However, relatively little  
103 attention has been given to the hydrodynamics of these filters.

104

### 105 **1.4. HSSF versus emerging contaminants**

106 Various studies have been conducted on the application of SSF and HSSF for the  
107 removal of pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) and endocrine-  
108 disrupting chemicals (EDCs) from water and wastewater (D'Alessio et al., 2015; Haig et  
109 al., 2016; Katayama-Hirayama et al., 2010; Li et al., 2018; Pompei et al., 2017). These  
110 authors evaluated filtration rates between 0.02 and 4.8 m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> and the mean  
111 removal efficiencies were between 11 to 92% for the target compounds. Nevertheless,  
112 there has been relatively little understanding of the fundamental mechanisms operating  
113 during SSF.

114

### 115 **1.5. Bisphenol A, risk and detection**

116 Bisphenol A (BPA, CAS n. 80-05-7) was synthesised in 1905 from phenol and  
117 acetone and it is mainly used to generate polycarbonate and epoxy (95% of the  
118 production) and the rest (5%) is transformed into resins, antioxidants, fungicides, paints  
119 and can coating (Huang et al., 2012).

120 BPA is an endocrine disruptor; hence, it is an exogenous agent that interferes  
121 with the synthesis, production, secretion, release, transport, binding, action or  
122 elimination of natural hormones responsible for homeostasis, reproduction,  
123 development and behaviour (Kavlock et al., 1996; Zoeller et al., 2012).

124 It has been detected in drinking water and food and has been banned from plastic  
125 containers in Europe and Canada (Rogers et al., 2013). BPA in drinking water may arise  
126 from its contact with polycarbonate plastics and epoxy resins (FAO and WHO, 2011) or  
127 contaminated raw water. According to Vom Saal and Hughes (2005), 115 *in vivo*  
128 studies were published regarding the effects of low BPA dosages and 94 indicated  
129 significant effects. In addition, in 31 publications on vertebrates and invertebrates,  
130 endocrine changes were found with apparently safe dosages ( $<50 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}$ ). An  
131 estrogenic effect was confirmed by *in vitro* tests with disruption of cell function  
132 (Beausoleil et al., 2018; Vom Saal and Hughes, 2005). Finally, the above authors  
133 reported that there is a need to consider the health risk based on the scientific literature  
134 relating adverse effects on animals in dosages considered safe.

135 Regarding biological treatment, bacteria and fungi can degrade BPA (Kang et  
136 al., 2006) and this opens up space to treat water affected by endocrine disruptors by  
137 HSSF. However, BPA metabolites generated after treatment may have estrogenic  
138 effects (Huang et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2006).

139 BPA detection in environment matrixes has generated the development of  
140 chromatographic techniques. Methods based on high performance liquid  
141 chromatography (HPLC) have usually been used for BPA analyses (Rodriguez-Mozaz  
142 et al., 2004). HPLC may be impracticable in developing countries due to high cost and  
143 technical complexity. Therefore, simpler methods that can detect BPA are needed to  
144 assess the drinking water risk. From this perspective, UV absorbance of BPA can be

145 measured with a spectrophotometer, allowing its quantification in ppm (Cao et al.,  
146 2014).

147

## 148 **1.6. Rainwater harvesting and treatment**

149 Rainwater is slightly acidic and has very low dissolved mineral concentration. Thus, it  
150 is relatively aggressive and it can dissolve metals and impurities from catchment and  
151 storage tanks, resulting in unacceptably high pollutant concentrations in the water  
152 (WHO, 2017). BPA may be present in plastic materials, pipes, fittings and tanks (Huang  
153 et al., 2012) that can be used for rainwater harvesting, an aspect that needs more studies.  
154 Slow sand filtration is a technology that may be used to treat rainwater in developing  
155 countries (Helmreich and Horn, 2009) and its performance should be better understood.

156 Bearing in mind the lack of research about the endocrine disruptor's removal  
157 from rainwater, this paper aims to investigate the potential of two pilot-scale HSSFs  
158 (operating in intermittent and continuous flow regimes) in the BPA removal from water  
159 containing low mineral ion concentrations.

160

## 161 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 162 **2.1. HSSF characteristics**

163 Two pilot-scale HSSFs were constructed in acrylic with a 98 mm inside diameter (cross  
164 sectional area = 0.0075 m<sup>2</sup>). One HSSF was designed to operate intermittently (I-HSSF)  
165 and the other to operate continuously (C-HSSF). The filters were covered to protect  
166 them from light. HSSFs schemes can be found in Fig. 1.

167

168 [Figure 1 near here]

169

170 The HSSF filter media was a 55 cm fine sand layer (0.09 mm to 0.5 mm) with an  
171 effective size ( $D_{10}$ ) of 0.18 mm and uniformity coefficient (UC) of 1.64. Fine sand used  
172 (CH52, Minerals Marketing, UK) presented the following chemical composition:  $\text{SiO}_2$   
173 = 97.3%,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  = 0.1%,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  = 1.37%,  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  = 0.83% and loss-on-ignition = 0.25%.  
174 Support media consisted of a 5 cm layer of coarse sand (1 to 3 mm), 5 cm layer of fine  
175 gravel (3 to 6 mm) and 7.5 cm layer of coarse gravel (10 to 12 mm). The average  
176 porosity of the filter materials was 32%. Fine sand and support media were washed in  
177 tap water prior to their introduction inside each unit. Acrylic columns were filled with  
178 tap water before inserting the filter media to avoid air pocket formation and to allow  
179 fine sand stratification as well.

180 Finally, a non-woven synthetic fabric (specific gravity:  $\pm 0.2 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  
181 composition: 100% polyester, and thickness = 2.8 mm with 25  $\mu\text{m}$  fibres) was  
182 positioned at the filter media top. After the HSSF assembling, deionised water  
183 continuously fed each filter by 24 h to remove the chlorine from the tap water.

184 Water from Regent's Park Lake (London, UK) was used as a ripening agent (i.e.  
185 agent to accelerate the filter maturation in a simple way) and was only added at the  
186 beginning of the HSSF operation. The filter volume (i.e. sum of standing water volume,  
187 outlet pipe volume and filter media and support layer pore volumes) was introduced  
188 twice to each HSFF (i.e. 2 L from Regent's Park Lake) and it was left for one day before  
189 starting off the operation with influent water. Regent's Park water quality comprised  
190 total coliforms of  $1.8 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU } 100 \text{ mL}^{-1}$ , *Escherichia coli* of 200 CFU 100 mL<sup>-1</sup>,  
191 turbidity of 2.02 NTU, conductivity of 1158  $\mu\text{S m}^{-1}$ , pH of 7.69, temperature of 23 °C,  
192 dissolved oxygen (DO) of 4.34 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) of 19.7 mg  
193 L<sup>-1</sup>. Filtered water samples were collected one day after the maturation process, when

194 the filters started the operation with influent water, to assess the efficiency of the  
195 HSSFs.

196 HSSFs were cleaned when they reached the maximum hydraulic head.  
197 Maintenance consisted of removing the synthetic fabric, scraping off the top and  
198 draining the supernatant without removing the sand from the top. The fabric was  
199 washed in deionised water and it was then placed back on the filter.

200

## 201 **2.2. HSSF operation**

202 HSSFs were operated for 90 continuous days. Influent water was prepared weekly by  
203 diluting BPA (Alfa Aesar ®, 97%) stock solution in deionised water to simulate  
204 rainwater contaminated by endocrine disruptor (Table 2).

205

206 [Table 2 near here]

207

208 HSSF filtration rates were calculated considering a daily production of  $2.9 \pm 0.9$   
209 L for the C-HSSF and  $2.6 \pm 0.8$  L for the I-HSSF. The flow rate in the C-HSSF was  
210 controlled by a peristaltic pump (Watson-Warlow, MHRE 100) producing a filtration  
211 rate of  $0.38 \pm 0.13$  m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. The I-HSSF hydraulic head was variable generating a  
212 filtration rate between 0 to 21 m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. The I-HSSF was filled with 1.0 L (filter  
213 volume) three times per day by a submersible pump (Jeneca ®, HM 5063) controlled  
214 with a valve and timer, causing an 8-hour pause period.

## 215 **2.3. Tracer tests**

216 HSSF flow characterisation was carried out using 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup> sodium chloride  
217 (NaCl) solution as a tracer, prepared with tap water (the tests were performed in

218 triplicate). Electric conductivity variation in the filtered water was detected using a  
219 conductivity probe (*Vernier*, USA) situated in the outlet hose. Data was collected by  
220 *Logger Lite* software (*Vernier*, EUA) and it was processed by Excel 2013 (*Microsoft*,  
221 EUA) and Origin 8.6 (*OriginLab*, EUA). In each tracer test, the HSSFs were cleaned  
222 with tap water until the salt solution from the previous test was completely removed.

223 NaCl solution was applied to the C-HSSF as a step input and the probe allowed a  
224 correlating conductivity variation with tracer concentration. The filtration rate was kept  
225 on  $0.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$  and the hydraulic retention time (HRT) was determined. The flow  
226 pattern was adjusted into three hydrodynamic mathematical models: dispersion models  
227 (low and high dispersion) and N-continuous stirred tank reactors (N-CSTRs), as  
228 reported by Levenspiel (1999).

229 The first filling to the I-HSSF was carried out with NaCl solution and the  
230 subsequent feedings were with tap water. The filtration rate declined to zero when the  
231 hydraulic head reached the lowest level, at which time a new water charging was  
232 performed ( $V = 1.0 \text{ L}$ ). Salt concentration versus filter volume curves produced a  
233 positive step followed by a negative step (increased and decreased concentrations).  
234 Afterwards, the Morrill Dispersion Index (MDI) and the modified MDI (mMDI) were  
235 calculated as described by Tchobanoglous et al. (2003) and Lynn et al. (2013),  
236 respectively.

237

#### 238 **2.4. BPA detection**

239 BPA was measured by UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV 2600, Japan). UV  
240 absorbance for six BPA concentrations ( $0$  to  $12 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) was measured from  $200$  to  $1000$   
241 nm wavelengths, in triplicate, in order to identify the characteristic absorbance peak (it  
242 was detected at  $224 \text{ nm}$ ). Afterwards, the BPA standard curve was made from data

243 obtained at 224 nm. The relationship between UV absorbance and BPA concentration  
244 was established [UV absorbance = 0.0748 x BPA concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>)]. The  
245 calibrated curve showed r<sup>2</sup> of 0.94, detection limit of 0.03 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and limit of  
246 quantification of 0.10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

247

## 248 **2.5. *Schmutzdecke* evaluation**

249 Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and flow cytometry (FC) were used to evaluate  
250 the biological layer (*schmutzdecke*) at the end of the HSSF operating period.

251 SEM with energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) (JEOL JSM-6480LV,  
252 Japan) was used to capture photomicrographs and chemical compositions from  
253 synthetic fabric and fine sand of dried samples at room temperature. Samples were  
254 analysed at different magnifications, variable pressure analytical scanning electron  
255 microscope with secondary electron imaging (SEI) and backscattered electron imaging  
256 (BEI) detectors and with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. Individual particles and  
257 compacted samples were rigidly mounted on a specimen stub and they were coated with  
258 an ultrathin gold layer. EDS did more than a hundred spot analyses.

259 Bacteria cells (alive and dead) were determined by flow cytometry using  
260 Guava® easyCyte 5HT Benchtop Flow Cytometer (Millipore, UK). Samples from the  
261 biological layer for I-HSSF and C-HSSF at the end of the filter operation were collected  
262 and stored at 4 °C before processing. LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability kit  
263 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK), with propidium iodide dye and SYTO® 9 dye, was  
264 prepared and applied according to the manufacturer's instructions. 20 µL of sample  
265 (*schmutzdecke*) and controls (*E. coli* strain K-12 and deionised water) were added to  
266 180 µL of the prepared stock staining into 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes.

267 *E. coli* was diluted before measuring in the flow cytometer in filtered deionised  
268 water (0.22 µm; PTFE Syringe, Gilson scientific). It was used as a biological positive  
269 control, and filtered deionised water was utilised as a control for background  
270 fluorescence. All prepared samples were incubated at room temperature in the dark for  
271 15 min. The bacteria acquisition gate was determined according to forward scatter  
272 (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) channels to eliminate background noise and debris.

273

## 274 **2.6. Sample collection and analysis**

275 Influent water and filtered water samples were collected and analysed daily, according  
276 to the water sampling time defined by the tracer tests. The water quality parameters  
277 analysed were turbidity (Hach 2100N, USA), DO (Jenway 9200, USA), conductivity,  
278 temperature and pH (Mettler Toledo, S47K, USA), DOC (TOC-L, Shimadzu, Japan),  
279 cations and anions (IC1100, Dionex, USA and Varian ICP-AES 720-ES, USA), and  
280 coliforms (m-ColiBlue24®, Hach, USA). Standard methods defined by APHA, AWWA  
281 and WEF (2012) were followed to evaluate the above parameters. Head loss was  
282 measured daily in both filters.

## 283 **2.7. Statistical analyses**

284 Statistical analyses were performed using PAST 3 software (PAleontological  
285 Statistics) created by Hammer et al. (2018). The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to  
286 compare data from the filtered water samples among each other and with influent water  
287 (95% confidence interval). When statistical analyses showed that the mean values were  
288 significantly different, the Mann-Whitney test was selected to define which sample was  
289 different from another (95% confidence interval).

290

### 291 3. Results and Discussion

#### 292 3.1. Tracer tests

293 Tracer test results for the I-HSSF are shown in Fig. 2a. Tracer concentration increased  
294 from 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> up to 182 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and this 9% difference relative to the initial  
295 concentration (200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) can be attributed to the filter's hydraulic head, which may  
296 have diluted the tracer solution (Terin and Sabogal-Paz, 2019).

297

298 [Figure 2 near here]

299

300 According to the results, two feedings were required before collecting samples  
301 for the I-HSSF performance evaluation. Salt concentration decreased from the third  
302 filter volume and after the fifth feeding, the tracer left the filter (Fig. 2a). Similar  
303 behaviour was described by Bradley et al. (2011), Faria Maciel and Sabogal-Paz (2018)  
304 and Terin and Sabogal-Paz (2019), characterising a plug flow reactor for HSSF.

305 I-HSSF MDI was  $1.54 \pm 0.01$ , lower than the one observed by Young-Rojanschi  
306 and Madramootoo (2015), who found an MDI value of 1.8 and slightly higher than the  
307 ones reported by Elliot et al. (2008) and Bradley et al. (2011) of 1.3 and 1.4,  
308 respectively. As stated by USEPA (1986) and Tchobanoglous et al. (2003), this MDI  
309 characterises the I-HSSF as a plug flow reactor (MDI up to 2).

310 I-HSSF mMDI was  $0.95 \pm 0.1$ , lower than the one found by Lynn et al. (2013),  
311 who reported values of 2.86 and 3.01. According to Lynn et al. (2013), the calculated  
312 mMDI did not change significantly over time, which was a phenomenon noticed in our  
313 study. Consequently, additional strategies to improve the I-HSSF hydraulic performance  
314 in comparison to the ideal plug-flow reactor are not required.

315 Tracer test results for the C-HSSF are shown in Fig. 2b and Table 3. HRT was  
316  $857 \pm 21$  min and it was used to determine the sample collection time. The N-CSTR  
317 model showed a better adjustment with an  $r^2$  of 0.75 and N of 17. As indicated by  
318 Levenspiel (1999), a high N value also designates a plug flow reactor.

319

320 [Table 3 near here]

321

322 In the plug flow reactor, the fluid passes through the reactor (filter) with no  
323 mixing of earlier and later entering fluid (no overtaking). The necessary and sufficient  
324 condition for plug flow condition is that the residence time in the reactor must be the  
325 same for all elements of fluid (Levenspiel, 1999). In this context, a HSSF evaluated by  
326 Elliott et al. (2008) showed a minimal effect of dispersion by flow paths through the  
327 porous media, a result analogous to our study for both filters. Therefore, from the  
328 perspective of the biological layer development and microbial removal processes, the  
329 results suggest the same time is available for all portions of water that enter the HSSF,  
330 helping the water treatment.

331

### 332 **3.2. HSSF operation**

333 Filtered water quality and removal or variation rates are shown in Table 4.

334 Turbidity removal showed a negative value for both filters (i.e. filtered water presented  
335 74-76% higher turbidity) and there was no removal improvement over time (Fig. 3),  
336 contradicting the literature.

337

338 [Table 4 near here]

339

340 [Figure 3 near here]

341

342 Turbidity removal within the range of 70% to 96% in laboratory and field  
343 studies has been described worldwide with influent water turbidity up to 58 NTU  
344 (Cawst, 2012; Frank et al., 2014; Jenkins et al., 2011). However, according to Frank et  
345 al. (2014), HSSF generally has greater turbidity removal when influent levels are  
346 higher. This may explain the performance found in our study, since the influent water  
347 turbidity was only  $0.37 \pm 0.11$  NTU (Table 2).

348 Another possible explanation for the increased filtered turbidity may be  
349 attributed to the filter media leaching. Thiry et al. (1988) reported this phenomenon,  
350 when the effect of groundwater in sands was analysed. This can be confirmed by the ion  
351 concentration increase in the filtered water for both filters (Table 4). It should be noted  
352 that the sands used in HSSF in real scale are washed only with water; therefore, it is not  
353 possible remove all the minerals prior to use. On the other hand, the HSSFs produced  
354 most of the time filtered water with turbidity below 1.0 NTU and this value is  
355 associated with 1-2 log and 2.5-3 log reduction of viruses and protozoa, respectively  
356 (WHO, 2017). There was no significant statistical difference between filter efficiencies  
357 when turbidity was evaluated ( $p = 0.972$ ).

358 It is important to highlight that HSSF accepts a maximum turbidity of 50 NTU,  
359 according to Cawst (2012); however, high turbidity values often generate cleanliness of  
360 the unit, reducing the filter efficiency when the overall performance is evaluated. In this  
361 context, influent water with low turbidity is always desired.

362 Conductivity drastically increased in the filtered water with a statistically  
363 significant difference for I-HSSF ( $p = 0.001$ ). However, the value was always below 50  
364  $\mu\text{S m}^{-1}$  for both filters. Conductivity depends on ion concentration (i.e. phosphate,

365 chloride, sulphate, nitrate, silicon, aluminium, calcium, iron, magnesium, sodium, etc.)  
366 and most of the time all these ions increased considerably after filtration (Table 4), and  
367 this may explain our findings. Likewise, Young-Rojanschi and Madramootoo (2015)  
368 noticed an increase in the conductivity and pH from filtered water and this anomaly was  
369 intensified when the influent water stayed longer in contact with the filter media (i.e.  
370 longer residence period) and they attributed this phenomenon to the filter media  
371 leaching. Therefore, this finding may explain why the conductivity was higher for the  
372 C-HSSF in our study (mean HRT = 14.3 h).

373 Increased pH (2-4%) in the filtered water was observed in both filters, a similar  
374 fact also reported by Young-Rojanschi and Madramootoo (2015). Murphy et al. (2010)  
375 attributed the increased pH to the calcium carbonate leaching from concrete-built HSSF  
376 walls. As the filters were acrylic fabricated in our study, the leaching from filter media  
377 may better explain this phenomenon. No significant statistical difference between filters  
378 was found for this parameter ( $p = 0.061$ ).

379 There was a slight temperature variation (1.0%) throughout the tests with around  
380 22 °C in the filtered water. However, no significant statistical difference between filters  
381 was found ( $p = 0.860$ ). Arnold et al. (2016) stated that HSSF could be effective at any  
382 temperature above freezing; nevertheless, the biological layer needs time to adapt to  
383 changes in the temperature. They also indicated that HSSF should be kept at warmer  
384 temperatures since the coldest temperatures have less bacteria removal in the  
385 operational beginning. In this context, this parameter was not pointed out as a limiting  
386 factor for the HSSF efficiency in our study.

387 DO reductions were detected in filtered water (60-66%); however, anoxic  
388 conditions were not noticed. No significant statistical difference between HSSFs was  
389 identified ( $p = 0.181$ ). DO consumption is expected in HSSF due to the biological layer

390 development (Young-Rojanschi and Madramootoo, 2015). According to Kennedy et al.  
391 (2012), both pH and DO decreased during the operation of their tested HSSFs and this  
392 phenomenon was most likely due to carbon oxidation. Young-Rojanschi and  
393 Madramootoo (2014) found anoxic conditions in HSSF and this condition is not desired  
394 since nitrate reduction may occur to nitrite, as observed by Murphy et al. (2010). Based  
395 upon our experimental results, DO cannot be considered as a restrictive factor for HSSF  
396 efficiency.

397 I-HSSF showed statistically significant BPA removal efficiency than the C-  
398 HSSF ( $p = 0.001$ ). However, mean PBA removal was low (3%) and on some occasions,  
399 the PBA concentration was higher in the filtered water than the influent water (Fig. 4).  
400 BPA removal in the I-HSSF may be explained by biosorption from bacteria, as  
401 described by Vecchio et al. (1998), who evaluated heavy metal biosorption by bacterial  
402 cells, and by Vijayaraghavan and Yun (2008), who published a review about the status  
403 of biosorption technology.

404

405 [Figure 4 near here]

406

407 There was an unexpected BPA increase in the C-HSSF filtered water.  
408 Nonetheless, this may be explained by PBA desorption from the sand surface, as  
409 reported by Tran et al. (2002) for cadmium. In addition, this could be caused by BPA  
410 accumulation inside the living cells and when they die, the accumulated BPA may enter  
411 the water again, as reported by Terin and Sabogal-Paz (2019) for cyanobacteria and  
412 consequent microcystin production. Katayama-Hirayama et al. (2010) evaluated a lab-  
413 scale SSF efficiency to treat river water with tetrabromobisphenol A. They found low  
414 removal (20%) at the initial concentration of  $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  throughout the experimental

415 period (18 days). According to these authors, bisphenol removal by SSF may be related  
416 to the type of chemical structure, since hydroxylation of a phenol ring is an early step in  
417 microbial aromatic degradation. An attached group next to a hydroxyl group may inhibit  
418 phenol hydroxylation and this may explain the results obtained in our study.

419 Both filters showed low DOC removal (7 to 12%), however the C-HSSF had  
420 statistically significant DOC reduction efficiency ( $p = 0.003$ ). This result agrees well  
421 with D'Alessio et al. (2015) and Terin and Sabogal-Paz (2019) who found TOC  
422 removals up to 11% in the filtered water. Contrary to other research, DOC in the  
423 influent water was higher ( $132.92 \pm 15.50 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) once Elliott et al. (2015) reported  
424 TOC values up to  $12.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  in influent water to HSSFs.

425 According to PBA and DOC removals, HSSF as a single treatment was not  
426 effective in terms of eliminating organic compounds; therefore, activated carbon  
427 adsorption as an HSSF's post-treatment is recommended for generating safe water in  
428 rural communities. Li et al. (2018) obtained promising results when using granular  
429 activated carbon sandwich slow sand filtration to remove pharmaceutical and personal  
430 care products.

431 Both HSSFs did not show a significant statistical difference in the reduction of  
432 total coliforms ( $p = 0.686$ ), with the mean in the range of 0.78 to 0.84 log. This  
433 efficiency was lower than the ones reported by Lynn et al. (2013) and Pompei et al.  
434 (2017) with 1.2 log and 2.0 log, respectively. Coliform removal depends on  
435 *schmutzdecke* development and a slow ripening may be responsible for the low  
436 reduction rate. The filters in our study needed frequent cleaning (vertical lines indicate  
437 maintenance activity in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4), since both HSSFs reached their maximum  
438 hydraulic head quickly, a fact that may have influenced the complete development of  
439 the biological layer.

440 Filtered water presented an increase in phosphate, chloride, sulphate, nitrate,  
441 silicon, aluminium, calcium, iron, potassium, magnesium and sodium concentrations for  
442 both HSSFs (Table 4). This indicates that there was a mineralisation in the filtered  
443 water. There was a higher calcium and magnesium increase in the C-HSSF ( $p = 0.004$   
444 and  $p = 0.036$ , respectively) and, on the other hand, for the other ions there were no  
445 significant statistical differences between filters.

446 The presence of some of these ions may be a result of sand leaching, a fact that  
447 can be confirmed, since the fine sand presented  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  in its  
448 composition, according to the supplier's information. The influent water (which  
449 simulated rainwater) was slightly acidic and had low mineral ion concentrations.  
450 Therefore, it was relatively aggressive and could dissolve some compounds from the  
451 filter media. WHO (2017) established guideline values for some of the above ions, and  
452 for those regulated, the drinking water recommendations were met.

453 Both filters removed fluoride (55 to 88%) as stated by Devi et al. (2008), who  
454 reached an 85.6% reduction by an HSSF. There was a significant statistical difference  
455 between filters in our study ( $p = 0.045$ ) showing a better performance for the I-HSSF.  
456 According to the WHO (2017), the guideline value is  $1.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  in drinking water;  
457 therefore, the filtered water in our study met this recommendation.

458

### 459 **3.3. *Schmutzdecke* analysis**

460 SEM photomicrographs and chemical compositions from synthetic fabric and fine sand  
461 are shown in Fig. 5. Potassium, silicon, aluminium, calcium, sodium, chloride and iron  
462 were detected in the original fine sand (Fig. 5a), an already expected composition, as  
463 discussed above. Potassium was not found in the original synthetic fabric (Fig. 5b). C-  
464 HSSF biofilm presented mainly silicon, potassium, magnesium and aluminium in its

465 chemical composition (Fig. 5 c and Fig. 5 d); however, magnesium was not detected in  
466 the I-HSSF biofilm (Fig. 5 e and Fig. 5 f). Evidently, all the above ions helped the  
467 development of the biological layer in the filters (Fig. 6), providing essential nutrients.  
468 As established by Faria Maciel and Sabogal-Paz (2018), the increase of nutrients in  
469 HSSFs accelerates the filter maturation process.

470

471 [Figure 5 near here]

472

473 [Figure 6 near here]

474

475 Flow cytometry assay results are shown in Fig. 7. C-HSSF showed a high  
476 number of live and dead cells; however, I-HSSF presented slightly higher live cell  
477 percentages (99.7% vs 98.9%).

478

479 [Figure 7 near here]

480

481 According to Chan et al. (2018), flow cytometry with DNA staining can be used  
482 to study the microbial dynamics in both treatment and distribution of drinking water  
483 and, in the case of our study, the technique may evaluate the state of the biological layer  
484 in relation to the presence of live microorganisms, which can help the water treatment.

485 As reported by Hall-Stoodley et al. (2004), biofilms are structurally complex,  
486 dynamic systems with attributes of both primordial multicellular organisms and  
487 multifaceted ecosystems. Biofilm formation is a protected mode of growth that allows  
488 cells to colonise new niches or survive in adverse environments. Optimising nutrient  
489 and waste-product exchange provides the first link between form and function of the

490 biofilm in both natural and fabricated environments. In addition, this theory can be  
491 applied to the *schmutzdecke* development in both filters of our study. Evidently, there is  
492 still a need to understand how the microorganisms grow in the HSSF biofilm, therefore,  
493 further research is recommended.

#### 494 4. Conclusions

- 495 • Water with low mineral ion concentrations generated sand leaching, increasing  
496 the values of turbidity, conductivity, pH, phosphate, chloride, sulphate, nitrate,  
497 silicon, aluminium, calcium, iron, potassium, magnesium and sodium in the  
498 filtered water. In this context, when making the analogy with rainwater, care  
499 must be taken in relation to the selection of filter media and construction  
500 materials in order to reduce the risk of introducing pollutants in drinking water.
- 501 • Operational differences related to continuous and intermittent flow showed  
502 influence in the filter efficiency for BPA and DOC for the I-HSSF and C-HSSF,  
503 respectively, although the mean performance was low. Consequently, HSSF as a  
504 single treatment was not effective for the removal of organic compounds,  
505 possibly by the slow *schmutzdecke* development in both filters.
- 506 • Activated carbon adsorption as an HSSF's post-treatment must be researched to  
507 improve BPA and DOC removals in drinking water for rural communities.
- 508 • Strategies to improve the HSSF hydraulic performance compared to ideal plug  
509 flow reactor are not required. However, more research is needed to understand  
510 the role of the HSSF biological layer in water treatment.

**511 Acknowledgements**

512 The authors acknowledge the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) under Grant  
513 Process 17/02512-0 for the support to this work.

**514 Statement**

515 The authors hereby declare previous originality check, no conflict of interest and open  
516 access to the repository of data used in this paper for scientific purposes.

**517 5. Bibliography**

518 Arnold, N., Archer, A., Barkdoll, B. 2016. Bacterial adaptation and performance of  
519 household biosand water filters in differing temperatures. *Water Sci Tech Water*  
520 *Supply*, 16(3), 794-801. <https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2015.192>

521 APHA, AWWA, WEF, 2012. *Standard Methods for Examination of Water and*  
522 *Wastewater*, twenty-second ed. American Public Health Association, Washington,  
523 ISBN 978-087553-013-0, p. 1360.

524 Beausoleil, C., Emond, C., Cravedi, J. P., Antignac, J. P., Applanat, M., Appenzeller, B.  
525 R., ...Chevrier, C. 2018. Regulatory identification of BPA as an endocrine  
526 disruptor: context and methodology. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*, 475, 4-9.  
527 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2018.02.001>

528 Bradley, I., Straub, A., Maraccini, P., Markazi, S., Nguyen, T.H., 2011. Iron oxide  
529 amended biosand filters for virus removal. *Water Res.* 45, 4501–4510.  
530 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.05.045>

531 Chan, S., Pullerits, K., Riechelmann, J., Persson, K. M., Rådström, P., Paul, C. J. 2018.  
532 Monitoring biofilm function in new and matured full-scale slow sand filters using

- 533 flow cytometric histogram image comparison (CHIC). *Water Res.* 138, 27-36.  
534 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.032>
- 535 Cao, G., Zhuang, Y., Liu, B. 2014. Simultaneous determination of bisphenol A and  
536 bisphenol S in environmental water using ratio derivative ultraviolet spectrometry.  
537 *S. Afr. J. Chem.* 67(1), 99-103. <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC157112>
- 538 Cawst, 2012. *Biosand Filter Construction Manual*, Centre for Affordable Water and  
539 Sanitation Technology. Canada, Calgary.
- 540 D'Alessio, M., Yoneyama, B., Kirs, M., Kisand, V., Ray, C. 2015. Pharmaceutically  
541 active compounds: Their removal during slow sand filtration and their impact on  
542 slow sand filtration bacterial removal. *Sci Total Environ.* 524, 124-135.  
543 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.04.014>
- 544 Devi, R., Alemayehu, E., Singh, V., Kumar, A., Mengistie, E. 2008. Removal of  
545 fluoride, arsenic and coliform bacteria by modified homemade filter media from  
546 drinking water. *Bioresour Technol.*, 99(7), 2269-2274.  
547 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.05.002>
- 548 Elliott, M., Stauber, C.E., Digiano, F.A., de Aceituno, A.F., Sobsey, M.D., 2015.  
549 Investigation of *E. coli* and virus reductions using replicate, bench-scale biosand  
550 filter columns and two filter media. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 12, 10276–  
551 10299. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120910276>
- 552 Elliott, M.A., Stauber, C.E., Koksall, F., DiGiano, F.A., Sobsey, M.D., 2008. Reductions  
553 of *E. coli*, echovirus type 12 and bacteriophages in an intermittently operated  
554 household-scale slow sand filter. *Water Res.* 42, 2662–2670.  
555 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.01.016>

- 556 Elliott, M. A., Stauber, C. E., Koksal, F., Liang, K. R., Huslage, F. A., DiGiano, F. A.,  
557 Sobsey, M. D. 2006. The operation, flow conditions and microbial reductions of an  
558 intermittently operated, household-scale slow sand filter. In: Recent Progress in  
559 Slow Sand and Alternative Biofiltration Processes (R. Gimbel, N. J. D. Graham &  
560 M. R. Collins, eds). IWA, London.
- 561 Faria Maciel, P.M., Sabogal-Paz, L.P., 2018. Household slow sand filters with and  
562 without water level control: continuous and intermittent flow efficiencies. Environ.  
563 Technol. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2018.1515988>
- 564 Food and Agriculture Organization, World Health Organization. 2011. Toxicological  
565 and Health Aspects of Bisphenol A: Report of Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting  
566 and Report of Stakeholder Meeting on Bisphenol A. ISBN 978 92 14 156427 4.
- 567 Frank, T. E., Scheie, M. L., Cachro, V., Muñoz, A. S. 2014. The effect of increasing  
568 grain size in biosand water filters in combination with ultraviolet disinfection. J  
569 Water Sanit Hyg Dev., 4(2), 206-213. <https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2013.171>
- 570 Haig, S. J., Gauchotte-Lindsay, C., Collins, G., Quince, C. 2016. Bioaugmentation  
571 mitigates the impact of estrogen on coliform-grazing protozoa in slow sand filters.  
572 Environ Sci Technol., 50(6), 3101-3110. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05027>
- 573 Hall-Stoodley, L., Costerton, J. W., Stoodley, P. 2004. Bacterial biofilms: from the  
574 natural environment to infectious diseases. Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2(2), 95.  
575 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro821>
- 576 Hammer O., Harper D.A., Ryan, P.D. 2018. PAST3palaeontological statistics, ver. 3.22  
577 Paleontological Museum, University of Oslo, Norway.

- 578 Helmreich, B., Horn, H. 2009. Opportunities in rainwater harvesting. *Desalination*,  
579 248(1-3), 118-124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.05.046>
- 580 Huang, Y. Q., Wong, C. K. C., Zheng, J. S., Bouwman, H., Barra, R., Wahlström, B., ...  
581 Wong, M. H. 2012. Bisphenol A (BPA) in China: a review of sources,  
582 environmental levels, and potential human health impacts. *Environ Int.* 42, 91-99.  
583 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2011.04.010>
- 584 Jenkins, M.W., Tiwari, S.K., Darby, J., 2011. Bacterial, viral and turbidity removal by  
585 intermittent slow sand filtration for household use in developing countries:  
586 Experimental investigation and modeling. *Water Res.* 45, 6227–6239.  
587 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.09.022>
- 588 Kang, J. H., Katayama, Y., Kondo, F. 2006. Biodegradation or metabolism of bisphenol  
589 A: from microorganisms to mammals. *Toxicology*, 217(2-3), 81-90.  
590 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2005.10.001>
- 591 Kavlock, R.J., Daston, G.P., DeRosa, C., Fenner-Crisp, P., Gray, L.E., Kaattari, S.,  
592 Lucier, G., Luster, M., Mac, M.J., Maczka, C., Miller, R., Moore J, Rolland, R.,  
593 Scott, G., Sheehan, D.M., Sinks, T., Tilson, H. A., 1996. Research needs for the  
594 risk assessment of health and environmental effect of endocrine disruptors: a report  
595 of the USEPA-sponsored workshop. *Environ Health Perspect* 104 (Suppl 4):715–  
596 740. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.96104s4715>
- 597 Katayama-Hirayama, K., Suzuki, A., Mukaiyama, S., Kaneko, H., Hirayama, K.,  
598 Akitsu, T. 2010. Removal of tetrabromobisphenol A by slow sand filtration and a  
599 high-performance UV lamp system. *Sustain. Environ. Res.* 20(4), 221-225.

- 600 Kennedy, T. J., Hernandez, E. A., Morse, A. N., Anderson, T. A. 2012. Hydraulic  
601 loading rate effect on removal rates in a biosand filter: a pilot study of three  
602 conditions. *Water Air Soil Pollut*, 223(7), 4527-4537.  
603 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11270-012-1215-4>
- 604 Levenspiel, O., 1999. *Chemical Reaction Engineering*, Industrial & Engineering  
605 Chemistry Research. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie990488g>
- 606 Li, J., Zhou, Q., & Campos, L. C. 2018. The application of GAC sandwich slow sand  
607 filtration to remove pharmaceutical and personal care products. *Sci Total Environ*,  
608 635, 1182-1190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.198>
- 609 Lynn, T. J., Wanjugi, P., Harwood, V. J., Ergas, S. J. 2013. Dynamic performance of  
610 biosand filters. *J Am Water Works Assoc*, 105(10), E587-E595.  
611 <https://doi.org/10.5942/jawwa.2013.105.0116>
- 612 Murphy, H. M., McBean, E. A., Farahbakhsh, K. 2010. A critical evaluation of two  
613 point-of-use water treatment technologies: can they provide water that meets WHO  
614 drinking water guidelines?. *J Water Health*, 8(4), 611-630.  
615 <https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2010.156>
- 616 Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., de Alda, M. J. L., Barceló, D. 2004. Monitoring of estrogens,  
617 pesticides and bisphenol A in natural waters and drinking water treatment plants by  
618 solid-phase extraction–liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr*  
619 *A.*, 1045(1-2), 85-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2004.06.040>
- 620 Rogers, J. A., Metz, L., Yong, V. W. 2013. Review: Endocrine disrupting chemicals  
621 and immune responses: A focus on bisphenol-A and its potential mechanisms. *Mol*  
622 *Immunol.* 53(4), 421-430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2012.09.013>

- 623 Schmidt, W. P., Cairncross, S. 2009. Household water treatment in poor populations: is  
624 there enough evidence for scaling up now? *Environ Sci Technol.*, 43(4), 986-992.  
625 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es802232w>
- 626 Sobsey, M.D., Stauber, C.E., Casanova, L.M., Brown, J.M., Elliott, M. a., Elliot, M.A.,  
627 2008. Point of Use Household Drinking Water Filtration: A Practical, Effective  
628 Solution for Providing Sustained Access to Safe Drinking Water in the Developing  
629 World. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 4261-4267. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es702746n>
- 630 Souza Freitas, B. L., Sabogal-Paz, L. P. 2019. Pretreatment using *Opuntia*  
631 *cochenillifera* followed by household slow sand filters: technological alternatives  
632 for supplying isolated communities. *Environmental technology*, 1-12.  
633 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2019.1582700>
- 634 Pompei, C. M., Ciric, L., Canales, M., Karu, K., Vieira, E. M., Campos, L. C. 2017  
635 Influence of PPCPs on the performance of intermittently operated slow sand filters  
636 for household water purification. *Sci Total Environ.* 581, 174-185.  
637 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.091>
- 638 Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F.L., Stensel, H.D., 2003. *Wastewater Engineering:*  
639 *Treatment and Reuse.* McGraw-Hill Higher Education, New York.
- 640 Terin, U. C., Sabogal-Paz, L. P. 2019. *Microcystis aeruginosa* and microcystin-LR  
641 removal by household slow sand filters operating in continuous and intermittent  
642 flows. *Water Res.* 150, 29-39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.11.055>
- 643 Thiry, M., Ayrault, M. B., Grisoni, J. C. 1988. Ground-water silicification and leaching  
644 in sands: Example of the Fontainebleau Sand (Oligocene) in the Paris Basin. *Geol*

- 645 Soc Am Bull. 100(8), 1283-1290. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1988\)100<1283:GWSALI>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1988)100<1283:GWSALI>2.3.CO;2)
- 646
- 647 Tran, Y. T., Barry, D. A., Bajracharya, K. 2002. Cadmium desorption in sand. Environ  
648 Int. 28(6), 493-502. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120\(02\)00077-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(02)00077-6)
- 649 US Environmental Protection Agency, 1986. Design manual, municipal wastewater  
650 disinfection, Cincinnati, OH, EPA/625/ 1-86/021
- 651 Vecchio, A., Finoli, C., Di Simone, D., Andreoni, V. 1998. Heavy metal biosorption by  
652 bacterial cells. Fresenius J Anal Chem, 361(4), 338-342.  
653 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002160050899>
- 654 Vijayaraghavan, K., Yun, Y. S. 2008. Bacterial biosorbents and biosorption. Biotechnol  
655 Adv., 26(3), 266-291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2008.02.002>
- 656 Vom Saal, F. S., Hughes, C. 2005. An extensive new literature concerning low-dose  
657 effects of bisphenol A shows the need for a new risk assessment. Environ Health  
658 Perspect. 113(8), 926. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7713>
- 659 World Health Organization, 2017. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality: fourth edition  
660 incorporating the first addendum. World Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland.
- 661 World Health Organization. 2016. Results of round I of the WHO international scheme  
662 to evaluate household water treatment technologies. World Health Organization.  
663 Geneva, Switzerland.
- 664 World Health Organization. 2012. A toolkit for monitoring and evaluating household  
665 water treatment and safe storage programmes. World Health Organization. WHO  
666 Press. Geneva, Switzerland.

- 667 Young-Rojanschi, C., Madramootoo, C., 2015. Comparing the performance of biosand  
668 filters operated with multiday residence periods. *J. Water Supply Res. Technol.* 64,  
669 157. <https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2014.027>
- 670 Young-Rojanschi, C., Madramootoo, C., 2014. Intermittent versus continuous operation  
671 of biosand filters. *Water Res.* 49, 1–10.  
672 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.11.011>
- 673 Zoeller, R. T., Brown, T. R., Doan, L. L., Gore, A. C., Skakkebaek, N. E., Soto, A. M.,  
674 Woodruff, T. J., Vom Saal, F. S. 2012 . Endocrine-disrupting chemicals and public  
675 health protection: a statement of principles from The Endocrine Society.  
676 *Endocrinology*, 153(9), 4097-4110. <https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2012-1422>