

The relationship between dietary phytochemical index and resting metabolic rate mediated by inflammatory factors in overweight and obese women: a cross-sectional study

Mirzababaei, A., Taheri, A., Rasaei, N., Mehranfar, S., Jamili, S., Clark, C. C. T. & Mirzaei, K

Published PDF deposited in Coventry University's Repository

Original citation:

Mirzababaei, A, Taheri, A, Rasaei, N, Mehranfar, S, Jamili, S, Clark, CCT & Mirzaei, K 2022, 'The relationship between dietary phytochemical index and resting metabolic rate mediated by inflammatory factors in overweight and obese women: a cross-sectional study', *BMC Women's Health*, vol. 22, no. 1.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-022-01894-9>

DOI 10.1186/s12905-022-01894-9

ISSN 1472-6874

Publisher: BMC

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

RESEARCH

Open Access



The relationship between dietary phytochemical index and resting metabolic rate mediated by inflammatory factors in overweight and obese women: a cross-sectional study

Atieh Mirzababaei¹, Akram Taheri², Niloufar Rasaei¹, Sanaz Mehranfar¹, Shahin Jamili³, Cain C. T. Clark⁴ and Khadijeh Mirzaei^{1,5*}

Abstract

Background: Unhealthy dietary patterns are the most important modifiable risk factors for obesity and overweight. This study aimed to examine the relationship between Dietary Phytochemical Index (DPI) and resting metabolic rate (RMR), mediated by inflammatory factors, in overweight and obese women.

Methods: A total of 404 women, aged 18–48 years, were included in the cross-sectional study. DPI was calculated using the 147-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). Anthropometric measurements, RMR, and blood biomarkers were assessed using standard protocols.

Results: There was marginally significant association between adherence to DPI and RMR status in the crude model (OR = 1.41, 95% CI 0.94–2.11, $P = 0.09$). After adjusting for potential confounders, a significant association was seen between the DPI and increase RMR.per.kg (OR = 2.77, 95% CI 0.98–7.82, $P = 0.05$). Our results indicated that plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), transforming growth factor (TGF- β), and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) had a mediatory effect on the association between RMR and DPI ($P > 0.05$). Indeed, it was shown that, PAI-1, TGF- β , and MCP-1 destroyed the significance of this association and could be considered as mediating markers. However, no mediating effect was observed for high-sensitivity C reactive protein (hs-CRP).

Conclusions: Adherence to DPI can improve the RMR by reducing levels of inflammatory markers, and may be considered as a treatment for obesity. However, more long-term studies are recommended.

Keywords: Resting metabolic rate, Obesity and overweight, Dietary phytochemical index, Inflammatory marker

Introduction

Incidence of overweight and obesity (defined as $25 \leq \text{BMI} < 29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$, and $\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ respectively) represents an ongoing epidemic, are important metabolic diseases, and the main reason for the increase in

mortality worldwide. Obesity is defined as an excessive increase in adipose tissue, that may increase the risk of other chronic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease (CVD), inflammatory disorders, high blood pressure, cancers, etc. [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the prevalence of obesity is 21% and overweight is 53%, and it is predicted that by the year 2030, the population of obese and overweight people will increase [2, 3]. The prevalence of obesity and overweight is common in women, possibly

*Correspondence: mirzaei_kh@tums.ac.ir

¹ Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

due to more adipose tissue, decreased physical activity, decreased resting metabolic rate (RMR), and improper eating pattern, etc. [4]. Excessive triglyceride (TG) accumulation in adipocytes contributes to adipocyte hypertrophy and increases the expression of chemokines such as monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and inflammatory cytokines such as plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 (PAI-1), transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β), high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and infiltration of immune cells into fat cells and the development of inflammatory responses, specifically around intra-abdominal adipose tissue, which leads to the decrease of RMR [5]. Indeed, empirical studies show that a lower RMR is commonly seen in people with obesity [6, 7]. Several factors, including gender, age, weight, fat-free mass (FFM), body fat, and body fat distribution can affect RMR [8, 9]. Factors such as deregulation in energy, behavioral factors, changes in diet, age, sex, changes in activity level and environmental factors can contribute to increasing obesity [10]. Moreover, studies show that the number of phytochemicals in all plant foods can reduce the underlying disorders of CVD, diabetes, cancer, obesity, and overweight [11–15]. Phytochemicals include phenolic compounds (flavonoids, phenolic acids, hydroxycinnamic acids, lignans, stilbenoids, isoprenoids), organosulphur compounds (allyl sulfurs, isothiocyanates) and carotenoids (beta-carotene, lycopene, lutein, zeaxanthin) are natural bioactive compounds found abundantly in fruits, vegetables, whole grains, legumes, seeds, nuts, spices, soybeans and soybeans products, cocoa and their derived beverages such as coffee, tea and wine [16, 17]. Polyphenols in fruits and vegetables, soybeans and soybean products, spices, coffee and tea, such as quercetin, naringenin, rutin, hesperidin, resveratrol, anthocyanin, isoflavones, phytosterol, cinnamon, caffeine, and catechins, can reduce appetite, regulate the metabolism of carbohydrates and lipids, and increase lipid peroxidation and fatty acid mitochondrial beta-oxidation, all of which play an important role in reducing the accumulated fat in the body [18, 19]. Antioxidants, dietary phytochemicals, polyphenols, and other components in the DPI stimulate the sympathetic system, therefore, increasing thermogenic consequently affects RMR [20–22]. The aforementioned factors also reduce inflammatory factors by reducing reactive oxygen (ROS) and inhibiting cyclooxygenase 2 [19, 23]. Vincent et al. showed that DPI was inversely related to body fat mass and oxidative stress [23]; in addition, DPI based on the percentage of daily energy intake of foods rich in phytochemicals is related to the total energy intake [24, 25]. According to this index, vegetarian diets will have a score of 100 and western diets will have a score of less than 20 [26]. This index is a simple method to assess the intake of

phytochemicals in the daily diet and despite some limitations, it can have clinical applications [27]. The study of the relationship between DPI and RMR and the mediating effect of inflammatory factors among obese and overweight women is important, particularly because RMR is low in obese and overweight women, which may be due to the mediating effect of inflammatory factors [28, 29]. For this reason, this study evaluated the association between DPI and RMR, mediated by inflammatory factors (MCP-1, PAI-1, TGF- β and hs-CRP), in overweight and obese women.

Materials and methods

Study population

In this cross-sectional study, 404 overweight and obese women were recruited between 2017 to 2019, from health centers of all the regions of West and Central Tehran, using community-based comfort sampling. The protocol was accepted by Ethical Commission at Tehran University of Medical Science (IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1395.1597). All methods were performed in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. This study was supported by grants from the TUMS (Grant's ID: 97-03-161-41,155). All participants were invited to the nutritional laboratory of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and all signed a written informed consent prior to participation in the study. The study participants were selected based on pre-defined inclusion criteria, body mass index (BMI 25–40 kg/m²), aged 18–48 years, an absence of any acute or chronic inflammatory disease, no history of hypertension, no alcohol or drug abuse, and not being pregnant. Accordingly, participants who had a history of diabetes mellitus, stroke, kidney disease, and cancer, cardiovascular and thyroid diseases were excluded from the study. Furthermore, we excluded those women with weight loss history in recent years, menopause, smokers, and those who reported total daily energy lower than 800 kcal/d (3347 kJ/d) or higher than 4200 kcal/d (17,573 kJ/d) [30].

Anthropometric measurements

Body weight was measured with a calibrated digital scale to the nearest 0.1 kg with minimum of clothes and without shoes. Standing height was measured with an accuracy of 0.5 cm without shoes, using a tape measure. BMI was calculated by weight (kg)/ height (m) squared. A non-elastic tape was used to assess waist and hip circumferences to the nearest 1.0 cm. These measurements were performed in compliance with WHO recommendations [31]. All measurements were performed by one person to reduce the measurement errors. According to the definition of the world health organization, overweight as $25 \leq \text{BMI} \leq 29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and obesity is grading Grade 1, 2

and 3 as $30 \leq \text{BMI} \leq 34.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$, $35 \leq \text{BMI} \leq 39.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and $\text{BMI} \geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$.

Biochemical evaluation

Fasting blood samples were collected after 12–14 h from all study participants. The serum was centrifuged and stored at a temperature of -80 C . Fasting serum glucose, triglyceride levels, total cholesterol levels and direct high density lipoprotein-cholesterol were measured by the GOD/PAP method, GPO–PAP method, Enzymatic Endpoint method and enzymatic clearance assay, respectively. Serum hs-CRP was measured by the use of an immunoturbidimetric assay (high-sensitivity assay, Hitachi 902) and for other inflammatory factors (MCP-1, PAI-1 and TGF- β) the ELISA kit was used (AccuBind, Monobind Inc., USA).

Dietary assessment and phytochemical index calculation

Dietary intake was assessed by a validated and reliable semi-quantitative 147-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) that has been validated in previous work [32]. Items are defined based on a series of foods or beverages which are categorized into 9 major food groups. Food frequency categories, “daily/weekly/monthly”, were used, and participants were asked to report their consumption frequency of each food item. FFQ data were analyzed using nutritionist 4 software, and used to compute energy and nutrient content of foods based on united states department of agriculture (USDA) food composition table, modified for Iranian foods [33, 34]. Dietary data were analyzed by an expert professional using FFQ. The DPI was proposed and calculated by McCarty. $\{\text{DPI} = [\text{daily energy derived from phytochemical-rich foods kJ (kcal)} / \text{total daily energy intake kJ (kcal)}] \times 100\}$ [26, 35, 36].

IPAQ assessment

Physical activity (PA) levels were measured using the international physical activity questionnaire-short form (IPAQ), which also included normal activities of daily living, frequency, and time spent each week for that activity during the last 12 months. The level of physical activity was expressed as metabolic equivalent hours per week (METs-h/week) [37].

Resting metabolic rate measurement

RMR was measured by indirect calorimetry using a metabolic cart (MetaLyzer[®]3B, made in Germany). The RMR was assessed in the morning after a requested overnight fast (10–12 h). The indirect calorimetry device was calibrated before each assessment. Before the test, participants had to rest in a supine position for 10–20 min to create relatively stable conditions. Further, the first 5 min

were not considered for analysis to ensure a stable condition, and only the final 15 min were used to calculate the RMR [38]. Patients were required to avoid nicotine, caffeine, and exercise for at least 4 h before the calorimetry assessment [33, 39]. Based on a previous study, we considered the cutoff for dichotomization to be 20 kcal/24 h/kg, which has been posited to predict the risk of obesity and its complications [40]. Accordingly, participants were classified into two groups, group 1 ($n = 171$) who had low RMR/kg ($< 20 \text{ kcal/24 h/kg}$), and group 2 ($n = 233$), who had high (RMR/kg $> 20 \text{ kcal/24 h/kg}$).

Statistical analyses

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to evaluate the normality of the data. All statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 23.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, 131 US). Quantitative variables were presented as mean and SD and qualitative variables were reported in percentages. The relationship between quantitative variables among high and low RMR.per.kg and DPI was assessed using independent-sample t-tests and re-analyzed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to adjust the effects of confounders, including age, BMI, energy intake, and physical activity. Binary logistic regression was used to discern the mediating role of inflammatory factors on the relationship between RMR.per.kg and DPI. Low adherence to DPI and RMR.per.Kg $< 20 \text{ kcal/24 h/kg}$ represented our reference group. In model 1, the effect of age, waist to hip ratio (WHR), energy intake, and physical activity were adjusted, and in model 2, all components of food which were significant after adjustment for energy intake, marital status, housing status, job, weight loss history in past years, economic status, number of family members, housing situation, blood pressure, and education, were also added to model 1. To estimate the mediating role of inflammatory factors, we added the final modified model to the complete model. If inflammatory factors attenuated significance, they were considered as mediators, but if a significant relationship remained, they were regarded as having no mediating effect. In the present study $P < 0.05$ was a priori considered statistically significant.

Results

Study population characteristics

The mean age, weight, BMI, RMR.per.kg, RMR, WC, and WHR of 404 women who were participating in our study were 36.72 (SD = 9.10) years, 81.29 (SD = 12.43) kg, 31.26 (SD = 4.29) kg/m^2 , 19.59 (SD = 3.09) kcal/24 h/kg, 1574.96 (SD = 259.71) kcal/day, 99.61 (SD = 10.07) cm, and 1.16 (SD = 4.54) cm, respectively. The percentage of participants that were illiterate, had a

diploma, and >Diploma was 0.9%, 12.12%, and 86.88%, respectively.

Characteristics of study population based on RMR.per.kg categorization

To examine the association of biochemical variables, body composition and serum inflammatory marker levels with RMR.per.kg, the individuals were grouped based on RMR/kg; group 1 with low RMR/kg (< 20 kcal/24 h/kg) and group 2 with high RMR/kg (\geq 20 kcal/24 h/kg) ($n=171$ and $n=233$ respectively), (Table 1). The results showed that there were significant differences in BMI ($P<0.0001$), weight ($P<0.0001$), LDL ($P=0.05$), WC ($P<0.0001$), RMR ($P<0.0001$) and marital status ($P=0.01$) between people with RMR/kg < 20 kcal/24 h/kg, and RMR/kg \geq 20 kcal/24 h/kg. After adjusting for age, BMI, PA (physical activity) and energy intake a significant difference was seen between weight ($P<0.0001$), WC ($P<0.0001$), WHR ($P<0.0001$), TG ($P=0.04$), RMR ($P=0.001$), DBP ($P=0.009$), SBP ($P=0.03$) and job ($P=0.02$) between two groups.

Dietary intake of study population based on RMR.per.kg categorization

The dietary intake of the participants across two groups of RMR.per.kg is shown in Table 2. After adjustment for energy intake, seen significant differences in dietary fibers intake ($P=0.005$), glucose ($P=0.01$), fructose ($P=0.005$), sucrose ($P=0.007$), and olive ($P=0.02$) between the two groups.

Characteristics of the study population according to categorized DPI

Participants were divided into two groups based on median intake DPI. General characteristics of participants, such as body composition, blood pressure, biochemical assessment, Inflammatory factors, RMR measurement, qualitative variable and others among lower vs. higher than the median of intake DPI, are presented in Table 3. After adjusting for potential confounders the results showed that there was a significant mean difference among DPI categories for RMR.per.kg ($P<0.0001$) and there was a significant difference between two groups for economic status ($P<0.05$) (Table 3).

Association between DPI and RMR.per.kg in participants

The association between DPI and RMR/kg is displayed in the crude and two adjusted binary regression models in Table 4. In crude model, there was a marginally significant association between DPI and RMR.per.kg (OR=1.41, 95% CI=0.94–2.11, $P=0.09$). However, in model 1 after adjusting for age, WHR, energy intake and

PA, there was no significant association (OR=1.27, 95% CI=0.74–2.18, $P=0.37$). But in model 2, after adjusting for model 1 plus all components of dietary intakes that were significant after adjustment, marital status, housing status, job, weight loss history in past years, number of family members, economic status, blood pressure and education the results showed that woman with higher adherence to DPI increased odds of RMR.per.kg (\geq 20 kcal/24 h/kg) (OR=2.77, 95% CI=0.98–7.82, $P=0.05$), on the other words had lower odds of hypo-metabolic status (RMR/kg < 20 kcal/24 h/kg).

Mediatory effect of inflammatory markers on association between RMR.per.kg and DPI

We assessed the effect of inflammatory markers including; PAI-1, TGF- β , MCP-1 and hs-CRP as mediatory markers for the significant association between DPI and RMR.per.kg. (Table 5). By including each of these inflammatory markers as a confounding variable along with the other confounders in the final model, which showed a significant association between DPI and RMR.per.kg. We observed that inflammatory markers including: PAI-1 (OR=0.98, 95% CI = 0.10–7.98, $P=0.95$), TGF- β (OR=0.83, 95% CI 0.09–7.67, $P=0.87$) and MCP-1 (OR=3.11, 95% CI 0.92–10.50, $P=0.06$) eliminated this significance so they can be considered as mediatory markers. Although, the results shown that hs-CRP cannot be considered as mediatory markers because remain this significance (OR=3.11, 95% CI 0.92–10.50, $P=0.04$).

Discussion

In this study, we assessed any potential association between DPI and RMR, mediated by inflammatory factors (MCP-1, PAI-1, TGF- β and hs-CRP), in overweight and obese women. The findings of this study provide novel insight into the relationship between adherence to DPI and reducing the chance of developing hypo-metabolism and mediation of inflammatory factors (MCP-1, PAI-1 and TGF- β) in obese and overweight women. By modulating the effect of other confounders, this association remained, and no mediating effect was observed for hs-CRP. Previous studies have shown that the quality of a diet is positively associated with obesity and overweight, which is consistent with the present study [41]. Indeed, our study indicated that there was a positive association between RMR and high phytochemical diets in adult women. DPI, which includes fruits, vegetables, grains, legumes, seeds, nuts, soybeans and soybean products, can impact on RMR due to their fiber, vitamin, and mineral content [26]. In agreement with our study, Jones et al. reported that diets rich in oleic acid from olive oil may increase energy intake. Polyphenols, such as coffee

Table 1 Characteristics of study population based on RMR categorization

Variables	RMR.per.kg < 20 kcal/24 h/kg (n = 171) Mean ± SD	RMR.per.kg ≥ 20 kcal/24 h/kg (n = 233) Mean ± SD	0.95% CI	P-value	P-value*
<i>Quantitative variables</i>					
Demography					
Age (years)	37.50 ± 8.02	36.06 ± 9.78	− 0.36, 3.25	0.11	0.16
Body composition					
BMI (kg/m ²)	32.3 ± 4.49	30.47 ± 3.97	1.01, 2.69	< 0.0001	0.73
Weight (kg)	83.96 ± 13.53	79.30 ± 11.16	2.22, 7.08	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Height (cm)	160.98 ± 5.96	161.40 ± 5.80	− 1.58, 0.75	0.48	0.07
WC (cm)	102 ± 10.36	97.86 ± 9.50	2.17, 6.10	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
WHR	1.48 ± 7.00	0.92 ± 0.05	− 0.34, 1.45	0.22	< 0.0001
Biochemical measurements					
FBS (mmol/L)	88.0 ± 10.12	86.71 ± 8.90	1.09, 3.73	0.28	0.42
TG (mmol/L)	125.77 ± 63.37	113.72 ± 55.88	− 3.06, 27.16	0.13	0.04
T-chol (mmol/L)	186.71 ± 35.46	183.26 ± 36.27	− 5.51, 12.4	0.45	0.22
LDL (mg/dL)	97.68 ± 24.85	91.86 ± 22.71	− 0.18, 11.82	0.05	0.62
HDL-C (mg/dL)	45.64 ± 10.96	47.93 ± 10.62	− 5.00, 0.41	0.09	0.49
Blood pressure					
SBP (mmHg)	111.94 ± 13.91	110.66 ± 15.91	− 2.18, 4.73	0.47	0.03
DBP (mmHg)	77.37 ± 9.07	77.91 ± 11.93	− 2.97, 1.89	0.67	0.009
Inflammatory factors					
PAI-1 (mg/dl)	18.04 ± 32.11	13.73 ± 27.03	− 4.82, 13.45	0.34	0.65
MCP-1 (mg/dl)	54.33 ± 102.47	41.89 ± 71.28	− 11.57, 36.4	0.27	0.42
hs-CRP (mg/L)	4.77 ± 4.62	3.73 ± 4.57	− 0.13, 2.22	0.08	0.65
TGF-β (mg/L)	83.24 ± 59.31	73.29 ± 29.87	− 5.03, 24.94	0.19	0.46
RMR measurements					
RMR (Kcal)	1482.52 ± 254.03	1701.42 ± 209.97	− 273.66, 164.5	< 0.0001	0.001
RMR.per.kg	17.56 ± 1.88	22.37 ± 2.11	− 5.27, − 4.35	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Physical activity					
Physical activity	1145.82 ± 1759.29	1310.58 ± 2519.97	823.52, 1479.08	0.54	0.60
<i>Qualitative variables</i>					
Education					
Illiterate	2 (50.0)	2 (50.0)	–	0.22	0.69
Diploma	26 (53.1)	23 (46.9)			
> Diploma	143 (40.75)	208 (59.25)			
Marital status					
Married	131 (45.8)	155 (54.2)	–	0.01	0.81
Single	40 (33.9)	78 (66.1)			
Job					
Unemployed	111 (44.4)	139 (55.6)	–	0.27	0.02
Employed	60 (38.96)	94 (61.04)			
Housing status					
Landlord	97 (40.9)	140 (59.1)	–	0.61	0.91
Leased	74 (44.32)	93 (55.68)			
Number of family members					
< 4	137 (42.0)	189 (58.0)	–	0.28	0.61
≥ 4	34 (43.59)	44 (56.41)			
Weight loss history in past years					
Yes	82 (41.8)	114 (58.2)	–	0.26	0.60
No	89 (42.79)	119 (57.21)			

Table 1 (continued)

Variables	RMR.per.kg < 20 kcal/24 h/kg (n = 171) Mean ± SD	RMR.per.kg ≥ 20 kcal/24 h/kg (n = 233) Mean ± SD	0.95% CI	P-value	P-value*
Economic status					
Low	16 (40.0)	24 (60.0)	–	0.64	0.76
Moderate	75 (44.91)	92 (55.09)			
High	60 (38.7)	95 (61.3)			
Very high	20 (47.62)	22 (52.38)			

Data are presented as Mean ± SD or number (percent)

Bold: P value ≤ 0.05

BMI Body mass index, WHR Waist, hip ratio, WC Waist circumference, FBS Fasting blood sugar, TG Triglyceride, T-choI Total cholesterol, LDL Low-density lipoprotein, HDL-C High density lipoprotein cholesterol, DBP Diastolic blood pressure, SBP Systolic blood pressure, PAI-1 Plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1, MCP-1 Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, hs-CRP High-sensitivity C-reactive protein, TGF-β Transforming growth factor beta, RMR Resting metabolic rate

P-value was for t test and P-value* was for ANCOVA, adjusted for age, BMI, physical activity, energy, *P ≤ 0/05 is significant

Table 2 Dietary intake of study population based on RMR.per.kg categorization

Variables	RMR.per.kg < 20 kcal/24 h/kg (n = 171) Mean ± SD	RMR.per.kg ≥ 20 kcal/24 h/kg (n = 233) Mean ± SD	P-value	P-value*
Energy (kcal/d)	2566.26 ± 767.68	2680.19 ± 835.86	0.17	–
Carbohydrate (g/d)	365.68 ± 122.51	377.18 ± 126.08	0.36	0.30
Protein (g/d)	87.50 ± 30.12	93.97 ± 32.16	0.04	0.12
Fat (g/d)	93.54 ± 36.86	105.01 ± 32.44	0.52	0.32
Total fiber (g/d)	43.44 ± 18.01	50.07 ± 23.06	0.002	0.005
<i>Carbohydrates subgroups</i>				
Glucose (g/d)	20.67 ± 12.69	19.39 ± 9.05	0.26	0.01
Fructose (g/d)	25.02 ± 14.78	23.06 ± 10.77	0.13	0.005
Sucrose (g/d)	33.42 ± 23.45	30.03 ± 16.90	0.09	0.007
<i>Fatty acids</i>				
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (g/d)	19.61 ± 9.70	20.40 ± 9.47	0.42	0.96
Monounsaturated fatty acids (g/d)	30.72 ± 12.31	32.90 ± 13.27	0.10	0.35
Linoleic (g/d)	16.86 ± 9.15	17.77 ± 8.87	0.33	0.81
Linolenic (g/d)	1.21 ± 0.71	1.21 ± 0.063	0.96	0.37
<i>DPI Components</i>				
Vegetables(g/d)	442.47 ± 281.84	413.63 ± 249.03	0.29	0.28
Fruits(g/d)	521.56 ± 333.42	484.64 ± 334.33	0.28	0.17
Legumes(g/d)	44.20 ± 34.17	41.77 ± 38.43	0.51	0.83
Whole grains(g/d)	69.98 ± 67.31	67.77 ± 80.78	0.76	0.73
Nuts and Seeds(g/d)	15.66 ± 16.18	14.89 ± 20.58	0.68	0.88
Olive (g/d)	5.65 ± 8.49	4.24 ± 5.58	0.04	0.02
Soy(g/d)	4.6 ± 7.72	4.84 ± 7.73	0.84	0.89
Coffee	0.48 ± 1.10	0.53 ± 1.00	0.65	0.62
Tea	7.48 ± 5.78	6.96 ± 8.38	0.46	0.25
Spices(g/d)	3.28 ± 2.08	3.36 ± 2.17	0.68	0.83

Bold: P value ≤ 0.05

* After adjustment for calorie intake

DPI, dietary phychemical index

*P ≤ 0/05 is significant

(caffeine), teas (caffeine, catechins) spices (curcumin, capsaicinoids, piperine), raspberry (ketones), berries and cherries (anthocyanin), grapes (resveratrol) chocolate

(cocoa), citrus (alkaloid synephrine), due to the increase of thermogenesis and secretion of catecholamines from the adrenal medulla, as well as post-ganglion fibers of the

Table 3 Characteristics of study population between high and low DPI adherence

Variables	Low adherence (n = 171) Mean ± SD	high adherence (n = 233) Mean ± SD	P-value	P-value*
<i>Quantitative variables</i>				
Age (years)	36.25 ± 9.41	37.17 ± 8.80	0.31	0.12
Body composition				
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.41 ± 4.44	30.90 ± 3.99	0.23	0.30
Weight (kg)	81.92 ± 13.21	80.19 ± 11.23	0.16	0.18
Height (cm)	161.23 ± 5.78	161.28 ± 5.99	0.93	0.97
WC (cm)	99.96 ± 10.47	95.098.94 ± 9.50	0.31	0.21
WHR	0.93 ± 0.05	1.40 ± 6.52	0.32	0.21
Biochemical measurements				
FBS (mmol/L)	9.22 ± 87.60	87.14 ± 10.25	0.71	0.47
TG (mmol/L)	115.33 ± 56.88	119.40 ± 59.31	0.58	0.71
T-chol (mmol/L)	184.88 ± 34.12	185.76 ± 36.92	0.84	0.73
HDL-C (mg/dL)	45.97 ± 10.93	47.64 ± 10.69	0.22	0.22
LDL (mg/dL)	94.75 ± 22.11	96.31 ± 26.19	0.60	0.49
Blood pressure				
SBP (mmHg)	110.85 ± 13.17	111.89 ± 16.24	0.55	0.41
DBP (mmHg)	77.26 ± 9.76	77.89 ± 11.19	0.61	0.27
Inflammatory factors				
PAI-1 (mg/dl)	17.73 ± 33.13	14.39 ± 26.37	0.47	0.48
MCP-1 (mg/dl)	54.33 ± 102.47	41.89 ± 71.28	0.15	0.31
hs-CRP (mg/L)	4.73 ± 5.09	3.74 ± 3.78	0.98	0.07
TGF-β (mg/L)	83.43 ± 58.80	73.43 ± 34.98	0.18	0.15
RMR measurements				
RMR	280.04 ± 1592.3	1556 ± 237.42	0.23	0.95
RMR.per.kg	19.58 ± 3.05	3.18 ± 19.69	0.77	< 0.0001
<i>Qualitative variable</i>				
Education				
Illiterate	3 (75.0)	1 (25.0)	0.31	0.69
Diploma	20 (41.67)	28 (58.33)		
> Diploma	148 (42.05)	204 (57.95)		
Marital status				
Married	53 (51.5)	50 (48.5)	0.64	0.69
Single	118 (39.219)	183 (60.79)		
Job				
Unemployed	120 (49.6)	122 (50.4)	0.93	0.98
Employed	51 (31.49)	111 (68.51)		
Housing status				
Landlord	124 (54.4)	104 (45.6)	0.04	0.28
Leased	47 (26.71)	129 (73.29)		
Number of family members				
< 4	155 (48.9)	162 (51.1)	0.28	0.05
≥ 4	16 (18.4)	71 (81.6)		
Weight loss history in past years				
Yes	112 (58.6)	79 (41.4)	0.003	0.88
No	59 (27.7)	154 (72.3)		
Economic status				
Low	17 (44.7)	21 (55.3)	0.25	0.01
Moderate	78 (48.1)	84 (51.9)		
High	70 (40.7)	102 (59.3)		
Very high	6 (18.75)	26 (81.25)		

Table 3 (continued)

Data are presented as Mean ± SD or number (percent)

Bold: *P* value ≤ 0.05

BMI Body mass index, *WC* Waist circumference, *WHR* Waist, hip ratio,, *FBS* Fasting blood sugar, *TG* Triglyceride, *T-cho* Total cholesterol, *HDL-C* High density lipoprotein cholesterol, *LDL* Low-density lipoprotein, *SBP* Systolic blood pressure, *DBP* Diastolic blood pressure, *PAI-1* Plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1, *MCP-1* Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, *hs-CRP* High-sensitivity C-reactive protein, *TGF-β* Transforming growth factor beta, *RMR* Resting metabolic rate

P-value was for t test and *P*-value* was for ANCOVA, adjusted for age, BMI, physical activity, energy, **P* ≤ 0/05 is significant

Table 4 Relation between adherence DPI and RMR. Per. kg

		RMR.per.kg		
		OR (95% CI)	β ± SE	P-value*
Crude model	Low adherence of DPI	1*	1	0.09
	High adherence of DPI	1.41(0.94–2.11)	0.34 ± 0.20	
<i>Adjusted</i>				
Model 1	Low adherence of DPI	1	1	0.37
	High adherence of DPI	1.27(0.74–2.18)	0.24 ± 0.27	
Model 2	Low adherence of DPI	1	1	0.05
	High adherence of DPI	2.77(0.98–7.82)	1.02 ± 0.52	

Bold: *P* value ≤ 0.05

OR Odds ratio; *CI* Confidence interval, *SE* Standard error, *DPI* Dietary phytochemical index, *RMR* Resting metabolic rate

Binary logistic regression; Crude model and adjusted models, Crude model; Unadjusted, model 1; Adjusted for age, WHR, energy intake, and physical activity, model 2; adjusted for all variables model 1 Plus all components of dietary intakes that were significant after adjustment, marital status, housing status, job, weight loss history in past years, number of family members, economic status, blood pressure and education

* *P* ≤ 0/05 is significant, * Considered as reference; RMR.per.kg < 20 kcal/24 h/kg considered as reference group

sympathetic nervous system which stimulate adrenergic receptors in the liver and adipose tissue, can increase the resting metabolic rate, and thus affect weight loss [19, 23]. Jayarathne et al. indicated the protective effects of anthocyanin in obesity-associated inflammation and changes in gut microbiome [42]. Indeed, polyphenols in fruits, vegetables, legumes, nuts, and seeds that have antioxidant properties have an inhibitory effect on nuclear transcription factor KB (NF-KB), the major transcription factor in inflammation, inhibiting tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) expression, which stimulates the expression of cyclooxygenase (COX) -2, and also by increasing adiponectin and peroxisome-proliferator activated receptor gamma (PPARγ), leading to a reduction in inflammatory markers [43, 44]. In this study, adherence to DPI was significantly associated with a reduction in inflammatory markers (MCP-1, PAI-1 and TGF-β) and an increase the RMR through the mediation of inflammatory factors. Indeed, DPI, mainly due to the high content of unsaturated fatty acids, vitamins, and minerals, with antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and appetite reducing properties, plant protein, fiber, and regulation of carbohydrates, lipids and fat cell metabolism, induce their beneficial effects [45, 46]. Several studies have shown that vitamins, minerals, and fiber are effective in reducing

Table 5 The association of the mediating effect of some inflammatory markers

		RMR.per.kg		
		OR (95% CI)	β ± SE	P-value*
PAI-1(mg/dl)	Low adherence of DPI	1*	1	0.95
	High adherence of DPI	0.98 (– 0.10–7.98)	– 0.06 ± 1.09	
MCP-1(mg/dl)	Low adherence of DPI	1	1	0.06
	High adherence of DPI	3.11 (0.92–10.50)	1.13 ± 0.62	
hs-CRP (mg/L)	Low adherence of DPI	1	1	0.04
	High adherence of DPI	4.0 (1.02–15.62)	1.38 ± 0.69	
TGF-β(mg/L)	Low adherence of DPI	1	1	0.87
	High adherence of DPI	0.83 (0.09–7.67)	– 0.18 ± 1.13	

Bold: *P* value ≤ 0.05

OR Odds ratio, *CI* Confidence interval, *SE* Standard error, *DPI* Dietary phytochemical index, *PAI-1* Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor type-1, *MCP-1* Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, *TGF-β* Transforming growth factor beta, *hs-CRP* High sensitivity c-reactive protein, *RMR* Resting metabolic rate

Binary logistic regression adjusted, the inflammatory markers as covariate in final model. Adjusted for age, WHR, energy intake, and physical activity, all components of dietary intakes that were significant after adjustment, marital status, housing status, job, weight loss history in past years, number of family members, economic status, blood pressure and education as covariates in addition to the inflammatory markers

* Considered as reference; RMR.per.kg < 20 kcal/24 h/kg group considered as reference

hypometabolism due to their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, and, as a result, they can facilitate weight loss. Our results showed that PAI-1 and TGF- β had a significant mediatory effect, but MCP-1 was only marginally significant, between RMR and DPI. However, other inflammatory factors, such as hs-CRP, did not affect the association between RMR and DPI. A prior study has shown the association between DPI and RMR may be mediated by inflammatory markers (MCP-1, PAI-1 and TGF- β) [39]. Several possible explanatory mechanisms can be considered here. The first is that polyphenols in the DPI reduce TNF- α , where TNF- α can directly affect fat cells to regulate leptin secretion and stimulates the secretion of inflammatory markers (MCP-1, PAI-1 and TGF- β) [47–49]. On the other hand, reducing TNF- α reduces the secretion of inflammatory markers and leptin, which decreases leptin increases the expression of uncoupling proteins (UCPs) (UCP-1, UCP-2 and UCP-3) in adipose tissue and skeletal muscle, UCPs are mitochondrial inner membrane proteins that play an important role in the energy expenditure of the whole body [35, 50]. Leptin may directly increase glucose uptake and fatty acid oxidation in skeletal muscle and adipose tissue [36]. The second plausible mechanism is that vitamins and minerals in the DPI that have antioxidant properties that can reduce TNF- α , which consequently reduces inflammatory markers as well as ROS in mitochondria [28, 29]. Moreover, the vitamins and minerals in DPI can lead to an increase in adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production, which can eventually elicit an increase in RMR [29].

The strengths of this study are the adjustment of all known confounders, such as age and total energy intake, physical activity, and waist circumference, the high sample size, and, to our knowledge, it is the first study to evaluate the relationship between DPI and RMR mediated by inflammatory factors in overweight and obese women. The limitations of the present study include the evaluation method of measuring DPI, where, in this method, the amount of phytochemicals received was determined based on the intake of foods rich in phytochemicals and energy intake, and we were unable to measure the actual amount of phytochemicals received. Moreover, due to the cross-sectional nature of the study, causal inferences cannot be drawn. Finally, although we used a valid and reliable FFQ for assessing dietary intakes, the potential for recall and misclassification bias cannot be entirely ameliorated.

Conclusion

The results of the present study showed that adherence to a high phytochemical diet may decrease the chance of developing hypometabolism, by reducing inflammatory

factors (MCP-1, PAI-1 and TGF- β) that have a mediating effect. Nevertheless, due to the paucity of supporting evidence, the authors advocate that further prospective and interventional studies on a larger scale would be advantageous in further explaining this relationship.

Abbreviations

DPI: Dietary phytochemical index; RMR: Resting metabolic rate; FFQ: Food frequency questionnaire; TGF- β : Transforming growth factor-beta; MCP-1: Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; PAI-1: Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; WHO: World health organization; TG: Triglyceride; hs-CRP: High-sensitivity C-reactive protein; FFM: Fat-free mass; USDA: United States Department of Agriculture; PA: Physical activity; IPAQ: International physical activity questionnaire-short form; METs-h / week: Metabolic equivalent hours per week; WHR: Waist to hip ratio; COX: Cyclooxygenase; PPAR γ : Peroxisome-proliferator activated receptor gamma; UCPs: Uncoupling proteins; ATP: Adenosine triphosphate.

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank the participants for their kind cooperation

Author contributions

AM; manuscript writing and data analysis/AT, SM and NR: data collection/CCTC and SJ; manuscript editing and revising/KM: study designing, study management and supervising final manuscript and final statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was financially supported by the Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Grant's ID: 97-03-161-41155).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was ethically approved by ethics committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (approval number: IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1395.1597). Then, written informed consent was obtained from all patients. All methods were performed in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran. ²Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, Sciences and Research Branch, Islamic Azad, University, Tehran, Iran. ³Department of Surgery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁴Centre for Intelligent Healthcare, Coventry University, Coventry, U.K.. ⁵Food Microbiology Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 20 October 2021 Accepted: 20 July 2022

Published online: 25 July 2022

References

1. Lakkis JI, Weir MR. Obesity and kidney disease. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2018;61(2):157–67.

2. Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers AA, Murray CJ. Comparative quantification of health risks: global and regional burden of disease attributable to selected major risk factors: World Health Organization; 2004.
3. Behboudi-Gandevani S, Tehrani FR, Cheraghi L, Azizi F. Could, "a body shape index" and "waist to height ratio" predict insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome in polycystic ovary syndrome? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2016;205:110–4.
4. Ayatollahi S, Ghoreishadeh Z. Prevalence of obesity and overweight among adults in Iran. *Obes Rev.* 2010;11(5):335–7.
5. Kalupahana NS, Claycombe KJ, Moustaid-Moussa N. (n-3) Fatty acids alleviate adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance: mechanistic insights. *Adv Nutr.* 2011;2(4):304–16.
6. Miller WM, Spring TJ, Zalesin KC, Kaeding KR, Janosz KEN, McCullough PA, et al. Lower than predicted resting metabolic rate is associated with severely impaired cardiorespiratory fitness in obese individuals. *Obesity.* 2012;20(3):505–11.
7. Hoffmans M, Pfeifer W, Gundlach B, Nijkrake H, AJ OO, Hautvast J. Resting metabolic rate in obese and normal weight women. *Int J Obes.* 1979;3(2):111–8.
8. Buscemi S, Verga S, Caimi G, Cerasola G. A low resting metabolic rate is associated with metabolic syndrome. *Clin Nutr.* 2007;26(6):806–9.
9. Armellini F, Zamboni M, Mino A, Bissoli L, Micciolo R, Bosello O. Postabsorptive resting metabolic rate and thermic effect of food in relation to body composition and adipose tissue distribution. *Metabolism.* 2000;49(1):6–10.
10. Klein S, Wadden T, Sugerman HJ. AGA technical review on obesity. *Gastroenterology.* 2002;123(3):882–932.
11. Firouzabadi FD, Jayedi A, Asgari E, Farazi M, Noruzi Z, Djafarian K, et al. The association of dietary phytochemical index with metabolic syndrome in adults. *Clin Nutr Res.* 2021;10(2):161.
12. Eslami O, Khoshgoo M, Shidfar F. Dietary phytochemical index and overweight/obesity in children: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Res Notes.* 2020;13(1):1–5.
13. Rigi S, Mousavi SM, Shakeri F, Keshteli AH, Benisi-Kohansal S, Saadatnia M, Esmailzadeh A. Dietary phytochemical index in relation to risk of stroke: a case-control study. *Nutr Neurosci.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1028415X.2021.1954291>.
14. Delshad Aghdam S, Siassi F, Nasli Esfahani E, Qorbani M, Rajab A, Sajjadpour Z, et al. Dietary phytochemical index associated with cardiovascular risk factor in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* 2021;21(1):1–11.
15. Jha P, Kumari S, Jobby R, Desai N, Ali A. Dietary phytonutrients in the prevention of diabetes-related complications. *Curr Diabetes Rev.* 2020;16(7):657–73.
16. Han X, Shen T, Lou H. Dietary polyphenols and their biological significance. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2007;8(9):950–88.
17. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Rothwell JA, Hémon B, Moskal A, Overvad K, et al. Dietary polyphenol intake in Europe: the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC) study. *Eur J Nutr.* 2016;55(4):1359–75.
18. Satija A, Bhupathiraju SN, Rimm EB, Spiegelman D, Chiuve SE, Borgi L, et al. Plant-based dietary patterns and incidence of type 2 diabetes in US men and women: results from three prospective cohort studies. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(6): e1002039.
19. Rupasinghe HV, Sekhon-Loodu S, Mantso T, Panayiotidis MI. Phytochemicals in regulating fatty acid β -oxidation: potential underlying mechanisms and their involvement in obesity and weight loss. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2016;165:153–63.
20. dos Wood Santos T, Cristina Pereira Q, Teixeira L, Gambero A, A Villena J, Lima Ribeiro M. Effects of polyphenols on thermogenesis and mitochondrial biogenesis. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;19(9):2757.
21. Watanabe M, Risi R, Masi D, Caputi A, Balena A, Rossini G, et al. Current evidence to propose different food supplements for weight loss: a comprehensive review. *Nutrients.* 2020;12(9):2873.
22. González-Castejón M, Rodríguez-Casado A. Dietary phytochemicals and their potential effects on obesity: a review. *Pharmacol Res.* 2011;64(5):438–55.
23. Vincent HK, Bourguignon CM, Taylor AG. Relationship of the dietary phytochemical index to weight gain, oxidative stress and inflammation in overweight young adults. *J Hum Nutr Diet.* 2010;23(1):20–9.
24. Asgari E, Jayedi A, Firouzabadi FD, Noruzi Z, Farazi M, Djafarian K, et al. Association of the dietary phytochemical index with general and central obesity in a sample of Iranian adults. *J Funct Foods.* 2021;83: 104546.
25. Vasmehjani AA, Darabi Z, Nadjarzadeh A, Mirzaei M, Hosseinzadeh M. The relation between dietary phytochemical index and metabolic syndrome and its components in a large sample of Iranian adults: a population-based study. *BMC Public Health.* 2021;21(1):1–10.
26. McCarty MF. Proposal for a dietary "phytochemical index." *Med Hypotheses.* 2004;63(5):813–7.
27. Tschoner A, Sturm W, Engl J, Kaser S, Laimer M, Laimer E, et al. Retinol-binding protein 4, visceral fat, and the metabolic syndrome: effects of weight loss. *Obesity.* 2008;16(11):2439–44.
28. Liu J, Shen W, Zhao B, Wang Y, Wertz K, Weber P, et al. Targeting mitochondrial biogenesis for preventing and treating insulin resistance in diabetes and obesity: Hope from natural mitochondrial nutrients. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2009;61(14):1343–52.
29. Huskisson E, Maggini S, Ruf M. The role of vitamins and minerals in energy metabolism and well-being. *J Int Med Res.* 2007;35(3):277–89.
30. Estruch R, Martínez-González MA, Corella D, Basora-Gallisá J, Ruiz-Gutiérrez V, Covas MI, et al. Effects of dietary fibre intake on risk factors for cardiovascular disease in subjects at high risk. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2009;63(7):582–8.
31. Azadnajafabad S, Karimian M, Roshani S, Rezaei N, Mohammadi E, Saeedi Moghaddam S, et al. Population attributable fraction estimates of cardiovascular diseases in different levels of plasma total cholesterol in a large-scale cross-sectional study: a focus on prevention strategies and treatment coverage. *J Diabetes Metab Disord.* 2020;19:1453–63.
32. Mirmiran P, Esfahani FH, Mehrabi Y, Hedayati M, Azizi F. Reliability and relative validity of an FFQ for nutrients in the Tehran lipid and glucose study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2010;13(5):654–62.
33. Graf S, Karsegard VL, Viatte V, Heidegger CP, Fleury Y, Pichard C, et al. Evaluation of three indirect calorimetry devices in mechanically ventilated patients: which device compares best with the Deltatrac II®? A prospective observational study. *Clin Nutr.* 2015;34(1):60–5.
34. Neelakantan N, Whitton C, Seah S, Koh H, Rebello SA, Lim JY, et al. Development of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire to assess the dietary intake of a multi-ethnic urban Asian population. *Nutrients.* 2016;8(9):528.
35. Ma L-J, Mao S-L, Taylor KL, Kanjanabuch T, Guan Y, Zhang Y, et al. Prevention of obesity and insulin resistance in mice lacking plasminogen activator inhibitor 1. *Diabetes.* 2004;53(2):336–46.
36. Doros R, Lixandru D, Petcu L, Picu A, Mitu M, Tudosoiu J, et al. Obesity influence on insulin activity and resting metabolic rate in type 2 diabetes. *Rom J Diabetes Nutr Metab Dis.* 2016;23(4):377–86.
37. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35(8):1381–95.
38. Mirzaei K, Hossein-nezhad A, Keshavarz SA, Koohdani F, Eshraghian MR, Saboor-Yaraghi AA, et al. Association of nesfatn-1 level with body composition, dietary intake and resting metabolic rate in obese and morbid obese subjects. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2015;9(4):292–8.
39. Haugen HA, Chan LN, Li F. Indirect calorimetry: a practical guide for clinicians. *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2007;22(4):377–88.
40. Mirzaei K, Hossein-Nezhad A, Chamari M, Shahbazi S. Evidence of a role of ANGPTL6 in resting metabolic rate and its potential application in treatment of obesity. *Minerva Endocrinol.* 2011;36(1):13–21.
41. Barba G, Russo P. Dairy foods, dietary calcium and obesity: a short review of the evidence. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2006;16(6):445–51.
42. Jayarathne S, Stull AJ, Park OH, Kim JH, Thompson L, Moustaid-Moussa N. Protective effects of anthocyanins in obesity-associated inflammation and changes in gut microbiome. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* 2019;63(20):1900149.
43. Berezow AB, Ernst RK, Coats SR, Brahm PH, Karimi-Naser LM, Darveau RP. The structurally similar, penta-acylated lipopolysaccharides of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Bacteroides* elicit strikingly different innate immune responses. *Microb Pathog.* 2009;47(2):68–77.
44. Bakker GC, Van Erk MJ, Pellis L, Wopereis S, Rubingh CM, Cnubben NH, et al. An anti-inflammatory dietary mix modulates inflammation and oxidative and metabolic stress in overweight men: a nutrigenomics approach. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2010;91(4):1044–59.

45. Ness AR, Powles JW. Fruit and vegetables, and cardiovascular disease: a review. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1997;26(1):1–13.
46. Seal CJ. Whole grains and CVD risk. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2006;65(1):24–34.
47. Moradi S, Mirzaei K, Abdurahman AA, Keshavarz SA, Hossein-nezhad A. Mediator effect of circulating vaspin on resting metabolic rate in obese individuals. *Eur J Nutr.* 2016;55(3):1297–305.
48. Sherr CJ, Roberts JM. CDK inhibitors: positive and negative regulators of G1-phase progression. *Genes Dev.* 1999;13(12):1501–12.
49. LaBaer J, Garrett MD, Stevenson LF, Slingerland JM, Sandhu C, Chou HS, et al. New functional activities for the p21 family of CDK inhibitors. *Genes Dev.* 1997;11(7):847–62.
50. Schrauwen P, Westerterp-Plantenga MS, Kornips E, Schaart G, Marken Lichtenbelt WD. The effect of mild cold exposure on UCP3 mRNA expression and UCP3 protein content in humans. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2002;26:450–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

