Young people's beliefs about the risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

Newby, K, Cook, C, Meisel, SF, Webb, TL, Fisher, B & Fisher, A

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

Original citation & hyperlink:

Newby, K, Cook, C, Meisel, SF, Webb, TL, Fisher, B & Fisher, A 2017, 'Young people's beliefs about the risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity' *British Journal of Health Psychology*, vol 22, no. 3, pp. 449-462

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12238/ ISSN 1359-107X ESSN 2044-8287

Publisher: Wiley

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Newby, K, Cook, C, Meisel, SF, Webb, TL, Fisher, B & Fisher, A 2017, 'Young people's beliefs about the risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity' British Journal of Health Psychology, vol pp. . This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12238/

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

1	Young people's beliefs about the risk of bowel cancer and its link with
2	physical activity
3	
4	Katie V Newby ¹ , Chloe Cook ² , Susanne F Meisel ³ , Thomas L Webb ⁴ , Bernadette Fisher ⁵ , and
5	Abi Fisher ⁶
6	
7	¹ Coventry University
8	² Office for Public Management
9	³ Kings College London
10	4The University of Sheffield
11	⁵ University of Manchester
12	⁶ University College London
13	
14	Word count (exc. figures/tables): 5825/6000
15	*Requests for reprints should be addressed to Dr Katie Newby, CTEHR, Faculty of Health and Life
16	Sciences, Coventry University, Richard Crossman Building (4th Floor), Priory Street, Coventry, CV1
17	5FB, UK. Email: k.newby@coventry.ac.uk
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

25

26 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the young people who gave up their time to participate in the research, Gemma Pugh (GP) who conducted a number of the interviews, and the teachers at each of the participating schools for their support and assistance recruiting participants and organising the interviews, namely James Hodgson, David Webb, and Laura Harvey. This work was supported by Cancer Research UK [grant number C43975/A20816].

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

34 Abstract

Objectives: The primary objective was to explore young people's risk appraisals of bowel
cancer, including whether they had a coherent understanding of the protective effects of
physical activity (PA). A secondary objective was to examine whether the Illness Risk
Representations (IRR) framework could be used to understand beliefs underlying bowel
cancer risk appraisals.

40 Design: Qualitative.

41 Methods: Framework analysis of semi-structured interviews with 19 people aged 14-1742 years.

Results: Participants judged their risk of getting bowel cancer as low. This was based on a lack of family history of cancer and their current lifestyle behaviours, which were viewed as having a protective effect, or because they planned on making change to their lifestyle in the future when disease risk became more relevant. Participants were not aware of, and struggled to understand, the link between PA and bowel cancer. They also lacked knowledge of the effects of, or treatments for, bowel cancer. Beliefs underlying judgements about the risk of bowel cancer fitted the IRR framework reasonably well.

50 Conclusions: The present research suggests that interventions designed to increase PA with a 51 view to reducing the risk of bowel cancer should aim to make the future risk of bowel cancer 52 feel more tangible, help young people to understand the full range of consequences, explain 53 how and why preventative behaviours such as PA are effective in reducing risk, and 54 emphasise that the typical late presentation of symptoms, and therefore investigation by 55 healthcare services, reduces treatability.

56

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

57 Background

Bowel cancer (cancer of the colon and rectum) is the fourth most common cancer in the UK
(Cancer Research UK, 2016b). Additionally, it is the second most common cause of cancerrelated mortality accounting for 10% of all deaths from cancer (Cancer Research UK, 2016b).
A family history of bowel cancer, some chronic illnesses, and lifestyle factors can all increase
the risk of bowel cancer (Cancer Research UK, 2016a). One of these lifestyle factors is
insufficient physical activity (PA).

Evidence suggests that to confer the greatest protective effect on bowel cancer, PA 64 needs to occur throughout the lifespan (Lee, Paffenbarger Jr., & Hsieh, 1991). Furthermore, 65 66 evidence indicates that PA in childhood tracks into adulthood (Strong et al., 2005). This means that people who are not physically active as children are also unlikely to be physically 67 active as adults. Despite the importance of PA in early life, levels of PA in young people are 68 69 low, and there is a substantial decrease in levels of PA from childhood to adolescence. For example, the Health Survey for England found that <10% of 12-15 year olds in the UK meet 70 the UK Government guidelines of >60 minutes of at least moderate activity per day (Health 71 Survey for England, 2012). 72

It is important therefore to find ways to promote PA among young people in order to 73 74 reduce their risk of getting bowel cancer in the future. A number of theories of health behaviour identify risk appraisal as a primary motivator of protective action. According to 75 Protection Motivation Theory (PMT; (Rogers & Prentice-Dunn, 1997)) for example, people 76 77 will be motivated to perform a protective behaviour providing their risk and efficacy appraisals are sufficiently high. Risk appraisal is typically operationalised as judgements 78 about the likelihood and severity of a threat (such as bowel cancer), and efficacy appraisal as 79 80 the perceived effectiveness of an action (such as PA) in removing that threat, along with

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

perceived ability to perform that action. Recent meta-analyses indicate that there is a small
effect of changing risk appraisal on behaviour, which can be enhanced if efficacy appraisals
are also high or simultaneously increased (Sheeran, Harris, & Epton, 2014; Tannenbaum et
al., 2015).

85 A small number of experimental studies have examined the effect of increasing risk and efficacy appraisals for colon cancer on PA intentions among adults (Courneya & 86 Hellsten, 2001: Graham, Prapavessis, & Cameron, 2006: McGowan & Prapavessis, 2010). 87 Collectively, these studies show that increasing risk and efficacy beliefs can increase adults' 88 intentions to be more physically active. Notably, two of these studies were not able to 89 90 manipulate perceived severity (Graham et al., 2006; McGowan & Prapavessis, 2010), possibly due to their adult sample already believing that cancer is severe leading to a ceiling 91 effect. The manipulation may be more successful among younger people who may be less 92 93 aware of the seriousness of cancer in general or of colon cancer specifically (Graham et al., 2006). Two of the studies also failed to manipulate perceived likelihood (Courneya & 94 Hellsten, 2001; Graham et al., 2006). Courneya and colleagues (2001) argued that this may 95 reflect optimistic bias among their young adult sample. Taken together, these studies suggest 96 that while informing people about the threat of bowel cancer is a promising strategy for 97 98 motivating PA, more needs to be understood about how to best alter appraisals of bowel cancer severity and likelihood. 99

A body of work initiated by Cameron (Cameron, 2003; Cameron, 2008) aims to specify the beliefs upon which risk appraisals are based and may therefore offer a useful theoretical framework for improving our understanding of how people assess their risk of getting bowel cancer. This is important because if we are to successfully manipulate risk appraisals, we first need to understand the beliefs on which they are based. These beliefs,

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

organised within the Illness Risk Representations (IRR) framework, are based on the
Common Sense Model (CSM; (Leventhal, Brissette, & Leventhal, 2003) which has typically
been used to understand how people appraise and cope with an illness (Hagger & Orbell,
2003). Applied in this new way, the IRR framework can be used to understand how healthy
populations appraise the risk of health threats.

Cameron (Cameron, 2003; 2008) proposed that illness risk representations for any 110 illness threat are formed as a result of matching characteristics of the self with relevant illness 111 risk representation components. For example, in the case of bowel cancer, illness risk 112 representations about the cause of disease (e.g. 'being physically inactive puts me at risk of 113 bowel cancer') are based on matching beliefs about the cause of bowel cancer (e.g. 'bowel 114 cancer is caused by being physically inactive') with self-characteristics (e.g. 'I am physically 115 inactive'). The components include identity (the label given to the illness and its symptoms), 116 117 cause (factors responsible for the occurrence of the illness), timeline (time of onset and course of illness), consequences (expected pain, psychosocial effects, and death) and control 118 (control over illness progression). The identity, cause, timeline and control (over prevention) 119 components are proposed to serve as the basis for perceived likelihood estimates, and the 120 consequences and control (over treatment/cure) components to serve as the basis for 121 122 perceived severity estimates.

Evidence in support of the IRR framework has been provided by a number of studies that have examined peoples' behaviour in response to threats such as skin cancer (Cameron, 2008), cardiovascular disease (Classen, Henneman, Kindt, Marteau, & Timmermans, 2010), and sexually transmitted infection (Newby, French, Brown, & Wallace, 2013). However, whether the IRR framework could be useful in explaining how people appraise and respond to the risk of bowel cancer is yet to be determined.

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

According to the CSM, people will only perform health behaviours that are consistent 129 130 with their understanding of the threat to their health (Leventhal et al., 1997). That is, common-sense representations of an illness shape beliefs about risk and therefore what, if 131 any, behaviours are selected and performed in order to reduce the threat. For example, if 132 133 young people do not believe that physical inactivity causes bowel cancer then they are unlikely to increase their levels of PA in order to reduce that threat. Instead, other behaviours 134 for which there is a more intuitive link to the illness may be selected instead. These could 135 include for example changes to their diet. Here the link between the behaviour and the part of 136 the body that is affected is direct and logical given that food comes into contact with the 137 bowel. In support of the importance of providing a coherent model of the behaviour, Bishop 138 and colleagues (Bishop, Marteau, Hall, Kitchener, & Hajek, 2005) found that explaining the 139 link between smoking and cervical cancer increased intentions to stop smoking among 140 141 women receiving abnormal cervical screening results.

142 The Present Research

The primary objective of the present study was to explore young people's bowel cancer risk 143 appraisals, including whether they have a coherent understanding of the preventative 144 relationship between PA and bowel cancer. A secondary objective was to examine whether 145 146 the IRR Framework could help to understand beliefs underlying bowel cancer risk appraisals. As far as we are aware, this is the first study that seeks to understand how young people 147 appraise their risk of bowel cancer and to explore people's beliefs about the relationship 148 between preventative behaviour and this disease. It is also the first qualitative study to 149 examine how well the IRR framework reflects the way in which assessments of illness risk 150 are made. 151

152

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

153 Method

154 Participants

To be eligible for participation, individuals had to be aged between 13-18 years old and to 155 have not been diagnosed with cancer themselves. In total, 19 young people aged 14-17 years 156 157 old participated in this study (8 males and 11 females). The ethnicity of participants was as follows: 13 White British, two Indian, two of mixed ethnicity, one Black Caribbean, and one 158 Asian other. Ten of the participants had known someone with cancer. In two cases this was a 159 parent; in all other cases this was a more distant family member or friend or a friend's parent. 160 Six of the participants only engaged in PA at school (approximately 2-3 hours a week). The 161 remaining participants reported that in addition to PA at school, they also played sport, or 162 took part in exercise classes or recreational activities such as walking. Participants were 163 recruited from three secondary schools located in Liverpool (n = 5), Birmingham (n = 3) and 164 165 London (n = 11). Two of the schools were comprehensive and one was a boy's grammar. Sample size was determined pragmatically and aimed to include as many participants as was 166

167 feasible within time and financial constraints.

168 *Materials and procedure*

169 The study received institutional ethics approval prior to commencement. The head teacher at

each school provided their consent to allow teachers to provide eligible pupils with an

171 information sheet about the study. Pupils provided informed consent before participating.

172 Parental consent was obtained for those aged under 16 years (n=9).

173 A semi-structured interview schedule was developed by the research team to guide the

- 174 interviews. Some questions were designed to specifically elicit beliefs relating to each of the
- 175 five IRR components. Further questions were included to enable additional beliefs to be
- 176 explored. The schedule was structured to ensure that questions exploring participants

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

understanding of the physiological link between PA and bowel cancer were asked after all 177 questions exploring beliefs about the likelihood of illness. This was done to ensure, that 178 should participants not be aware of this link, that this new information did not influence the 179 appraisals of likelihood captured by this research. The schedule was piloted with six young 180 181 people recruited through family and work colleagues of the authors. Minor amendments were subsequently made. The finalised schedule is presented in supplementary file one. 182 The interviews were conducted in summer 2015 by five researchers (KN, TLW, AF, SM, 183 GP), all with experience of interviewing on sensitive subjects. Interviews were carried out in 184 a private room and lasted between 45 and 60 minutes. All interviews were recorded and 185 transcribed prior to analysis. 186

187 Analysis

The data were analysed using a deductive version of Framework Analysis in accordance with 188 189 Gale and colleagues (2013). An initial set of codes representing IRR beliefs was developed. For example, for the component 'consequences', three codes to capture beliefs about death, 190 pain and the psychosocial consequences of cancer were formed. Three researchers (KN, CC, 191 and SM) independently coded three interview transcripts using the initial set of codes. 192 Additional codes were added if the content of the interview could not be captured by the 193 194 predetermined codes. The three researchers then met to discuss the initial coding. A few minor changes were made at this point and an agreed set of codes was created. This set of 195 codes, known as the 'analytical framework', was then used by the team to code all of the 196 197 remaining interviews.

A framework matrix was created in Microsoft Excel. Participants' responses were
summarised within relevant cells of the matrix and illustrative quotes were entered for each
cell. Two researchers (KN and AF) then independently interpreted the data, examining the

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

201	codes in detail across participants to identify themes. Following discussion, KN and AF came
202	to a shared interpretation of the findings which is presented below. Quotes are provided to
203	illustrate the themes and each quotation is followed by a code which represents the
204	participants' gender and participant number.
205	Detailed information about bowel cancer is provided in supplementary file two to
206	enable the reader to make comparisons to participants' responses.
207	Findings
208	Most but not all of the participants had heard of bowel cancer. Participants were however
209	vague about details of the disease and the language that they used indicated a degree of
210	uncertainty and guess work based on their existing understanding of the human body,
211	personal experiences, and messages from the media. In the section that follows, we present
212	participants beliefs with respect to the components of the IRR framework.
213	Identity
214	None of the participants reflected on personal characteristics that they felt put them at
215	increased risk of bowel cancer. Two participants (one male, one female) said that they
216	thought the disease was more likely to affect men than women; neither related this to their
217	own risk of bowel cancer.
218	Cause
219	The majority of participants knew that those with a family history of cancer were at increased
220	risk of cancer themselves. Almost all reported no cancer in their immediate family; a fact that
221	they used in part to explain their relatively low level of perceived vulnerability:
222	And I don't know anyone in my family who's had cancer or bowel cancer, so I don't

223 think I've got that much of a chance that I'll get it (2M)

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

224	Participants identified a number of behaviours that they believed increased or reduced the
225	risk of bowel cancer. In the former category was poor diet (e.g., eating too much sugar or salt,
226	over-eating, eating processed food/meat, fatty foods, acidic foods, or citric acid), smoking,
227	drinking alcohol, having a sedentary lifestyle, pollution, radiation, and chemicals (e.g. in
228	water or sanitary products). Behaviours deemed likely to reduce the risk of bowel cancer
229	included healthy eating (e.g. eating fibre) and drinking water. Once again, participants
230	reflected on their own lifestyle behaviour as evidence of their reduced risk:
231	Also I think being vegetarian I'm not eating a lot of red meat overall I do take care
232	of my body. I try and drink a lot of water, and things I'd say unlikely (11F)
233	I'd like to think I have more control, because I do put myself in a position where I'm
234	less susceptible to developing it, by staying fit and healthy, yeah (8F)
235	A few participants when discussing lifestyle risks noted 'exceptions to the rule' such as
236	having a 'Grandma who smoked 60 cigarettes a day' who had 'nothing wrong with her', and
237	contrasted this with others who were young or lived an apparently healthy lifestyle but still
238	got cancer. This appeared to discredit messages about the efficacy of lifestyle changes.
239	Some participants talked about cancer being 'bad luck', 'chance', or a 'random event':
240	It's just your cells doing something weird (3M)
241	With this mutation thing it can be anyone (8M)
242	Overall, participants estimated that about 40-50% of incidences of bowel cancer were due to
243	hereditary factors or chance and 50-60% were due to lifestyle factors.
244	Participants were asked directly how they thought lifestyle behaviours were linked to bowel
245	cancer. Most found this question difficult to answer. Some participants suggested that the
246	cause might be to do with ingesting certain foods, particularly processed products:

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

247	I'm tempted to say if it's stemmed from chemicals that are in certain processed foods,
248	things like that. Probably something to do with what we take in, because that's how I
249	see the only way it could affect the inside (7F)
250	Some mentioned specifically that a lack of fibre may be responsible:
251	So fibre is supposed to keep everything moving. So it prevents things building up and
252	causing cancer, bad things happening. So I guess that sort of diet (4F)
253	Other explanations included alcohol causing mutations, trauma to the bowel area, a blockage
254	in the bowel, or fat clogging up the veins that supply the bowel. Levels of PA did not feature
255	in any of the participants' accounts of how bowel cancer occurred.
256	Participants were then told by each interviewer that there was a link between PA and
257	bowel cancer and asked why this may be. All of the participants found it difficult to answer
258	this question. Some participants believed that exercise might keep the body in optimal
259	condition, making cancer less likely:
260	It might obviously keep the cells healthy as well, and stop a mutation occurring (2M)
261	Similarly some participants felt that a healthy body was more able to fight off cancerous cells
262	once formed:
263	I think if you exercise and have a healthy lifestyle then your immune system is more
264	likely to be better and more equipped and it can deal with those cells more and that
265	sort of thing (8M)
266	It would probably help reduce it because obviously it keeps your cells healthy and
267	active. So if you've got a healthy body your cells keep regenerating so that might
268	make it easier to fight it off and kill those cells that are mutated $(1M)$
269	Participants also suggested that physical activity might improve digestion:
270	Maybe to do with digestion again, just helping it all (2F)

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

271 Finally, some participants thought that excess fat and obesity might account for the

272 relationship between PA and cancer risk:

- 273 If you don't do enough exercise then, again, that's going to clog up your arteries
- because you're eating too much and not burning off the fat (11F)

275 *Timeline*

All participants believed that people got bowel cancer from late middle age (40-50 years)

277 onwards. This was put down to ageing cells which were '*deteriorating*' and '*more likely to do*

- *something stupid*'. As such bowel cancer was seen as a problem for the future and not
- something that young people would give much consideration to:
- *I just feel like it affects older people more. So I don't have to worry now, but when I'm older I might do* (5F)
- 282 Once you get past about 40-ish, then that's when you should start concentrating on it,
 283 thinking about it (4M)

Some participants viewed other cancers, such as breast cancer, as more of a concern for
young people as these seemed to affect people throughout the adult lifespan. Despite the
participants believing that bowel cancer was a condition that mostly affects older people,
many could see the benefit of adopting a healthy lifestyle for cancer prevention from a young
age. Sometimes this related to putting good habits in place early, which would then benefit
them later:

290

291

292

I don't think what I do now will affect my chance of getting cancer too much, but I do think it will play a factor, because if you don't participate in much sport now, the chances are you're not going to participate in sport when you're older (5M)

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- It's important to stay fit as a child because it will set you up, and it will obviously 293 reduce risks for the future.... If you exercise as a child more, then you have a stronger 294 body, which you can maintain more easily as an adult (2M) 295 Other comments suggested that participants believed that behaviours have a cumulative effect 296 on the body: 297 Just because we are younger doesn't really mean that what we do isn't going to affect 298 us. It isn't like a sudden age where it's like okay, everything you do from now is going 299 to affect you; it's kind of like a gradual thing (3F) 300 Some participants however, felt that preventative behaviours could be postponed until later in 301 life: 302 303 Well, hopefully, I will make sure that when I get to whatever age it is, that it starts being more of a risk, I will hopefully have already started to take better care of my 304 *diet or exercise* (10F) 305 Yes [what I do now affects my health in the future], like a bit, but I don't think it's 306 majorly important. Probably when you are 20 [It starts to become important]. 307 Because when you get to that age, you've stopped growing and everything, haven't 308 you, so that's when you have to start looking after yourself properly (5F) 309 Frequency of occurrence 310 A number of participants made judgements about the likelihood of getting bowel cancer 311 312 based on beliefs about the prevalence of the disease. Participants judged the overall
- 313 likelihood of getting bowel cancer as low due to it receiving relatively little media attention
- and because they had not heard of anyone with the disease:

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

315	It [bowel cancer] wasn't, like, the first cancer that comes to-mindbreast cancer
316	because it's so talked about and how often people have said that they don't expect to
317	get it and they do. I think that might be my biggest concern (11F)
318	I don't think that there's much, not publicity, but awareness about it [bowel cancer]. I
319	don't think I know anyone with it. Maybe it's less common. Or it might not be but I
320	just haven't heard about it much (3F)
321	Consequences
322	The majority of participants knew that bowel cancer, like all cancers, could be fatal:
323	I think that [death] is what's going to happen if it doesn't get relieved or cured (7M)
324	Participants mentioned a number of symptoms including discomfort, or a change in bowel
325	habits and blood in stools:
326	I know the symptoms of it are blood in your faeces and unusual bowel behaviour so
327	more diarrhoea or constipation (2M)
328	The emotional impact of cancer was identified by many of the participants:
329	I think obviously it would impact on people around me as well because they'd be
330	obviously very sad and stuff like that. And it would probably make life a lot harder
331	for them as well, dealing with somebody who has got cancer, probably a lot more
332	stressful, I can imagine (2M)
333	Well, it would, obviously, be a real strain, especially if it was quite difficult to treat,
334	or whatever, because obviously there isn't a cure, so they could die. So it would be a
335	massive strain on your family and friends (6F)

336 One participant identified the potential for bowel cancer to affect mental health:

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- I think you'd become, obviously, quite depressed, and rightly so. Quite depressed,
 withdrawn, that would obviously affect your social life and your interaction with
 others (8F)
- 340 A number of participants also identified the financial implications of cancer:
- 341 *I suppose, and also financially, if I'm supporting a family when I'm older, then yes,*
- 342 that's also a negative effect. I won't be able to support them anymore. ...Cancer in
- 343 general doesn't just affect the person with cancer (3M)
- 344 Control over Cure/Treatment

Similarly to perceptions of likelihood, there was some indication that the relatively low media
and public profile of bowel cancer also affected participants' beliefs about whether bowel
cancer is treatable:

348 Bowel cancer is just something I don't really hear of commonly. I mean, I don't really

349 *know exactly how it affects your body in any way, so probably not as serious* (8F)

350 When asked how bowel cancer would be treated, participants frequently listed surgery,

351 chemotherapy, and radiation. However, participants were not sure about the extent to which

bowel cancer could be treated and many commented that it would depend on how early thecancer was identified.

Participants were asked which cancers they felt were most serious and where bowel cancer fitted into that order. Pancreatic cancer was mentioned a number of times as being the most serious because of the low chances of survival. Some cancers were identified as being more serious than others because they affected a vital organ (e.g. the brain or lungs). For some, the bowel was considered part of that group but others felt that the bowel was less vital and that parts of the bowel could be fairly easily accessed and removed:

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

	Risk of bower cancer and its link with physical activity
360	It's because it's kind of it's where all foods end up and that's where a lot of things
361	happen. I think it's probably quite a vital organ to your body. So it would be quite bad
362	(8M)
363	I feel like surgery would work better, especially because the way I say this might
364	sound a bit weird, it's in quite a reachable place as well. It's not like too deep into the
365	body that you can't find the tumour. So I feel like it would work well (11F)
366	The majority of participants felt that the only way to treat cancer was with conventional
367	medicine, and that without this, the disease would progress. A small number of participants
368	suggested that there was a chance that someone could get better without treatment:
369	I think it's possible [that people with cancer can get better without treatment], but I
370	think the likelihood of survival is quite slim I just think there's a chance because,
371	before this treatment ever happened, people must have died quite a lot from cancer,
372	but I'm guessing some people may have survived (2M)
373	None of the participants felt that alternative medicine or a healthy lifestyle could change the
374	course of bowel cancer once it was present. However, some participants did feel that these
375	could be beneficial in terms of managing the symptoms:
376	I think if it was the early stages of the diagnosis and the stages of the cancer then
377	possibly [you could manage the symptoms with lifestyle behaviours], but if you are
378	talking about critical stages, no (6F)
379	I think it would probably work [to alleviate cancer symptoms], but it would probably
380	make it a bit easier to deal with, like eating healthily and physical activity. But
381	overall, I don't think you could deal with it [cancer] that way, it would be quite hard
382	to, yes (8M)

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

383 Discussion

384 The present research found that young people consistently judged their risk of getting bowel cancer to be low. This seemed to be based on their lack of a family history of cancer and their 385 current lifestyle behaviours (e.g., good diet, relatively high levels of PA), which were viewed 386 387 as being protective, or because they planned to change their lifestyle in the future when disease risk became more relevant. While cancer was viewed as a serious and potentially fatal 388 illness, participants lacked knowledge about the effects of bowel cancer and it was not 389 considered distinct from other cancers in terms of severity. Furthermore, the success of 390 treatment for bowel cancer was unknown. Participants struggled to explain how levels of PA 391 392 contribute to bowel cancer risk, finding it easier to imagine harm occurring through direct contact with a substance (e.g. unhealthy food). 393

Beliefs underlying judgements about the risk of bowel cancer fitted the IRR 394 395 framework reasonably well. The beliefs expressed, and used to make assertions about personal risk, were largely captured by the five illness risk representation components. In 396 particular, appraisals of likelihood were underpinned by beliefs about the 'Cause' of illness, 397 and appraisals of severity were underpinned by beliefs about illness 'Consequences'. Of 398 interest, however, and not captured by the framework, the relatively low media and public 399 400 profile of bowel cancer unhelpfully influenced participants' appraisals of their risk of getting bowel cancer, it's lack of prominence led young people to conclude that bowel cancer cannot 401 be that common or serious. 402

The present research represents one of the first studies to try to understand the beliefs underlying young people's appraisals of the risk of bowel cancer. IRR was used as a framework for organising these beliefs, but we were also open to the possibility that additional beliefs could influence judgments. The interview schedule was carefully prepared

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

and piloted with six young people prior to administration, and participants were drawn from
cities in south, central and northern England. Data were coded by three researchers, and
interpreted by two researchers, thus increasing the reliability and trustworthiness of the data
and the breadth of interpretation.

411 The findings should however be interpreted in the context of a number of potential limitations. First, caution should be taken in generalising the findings given that the sample 412 was drawn from urban and sub-urban areas only. Second, interviewer effects may have 413 influenced responses. For example, many participants reported seeing the benefit of adopting 414 a healthy lifestyle for cancer prevention. However, this was in the context of the interviewer 415 416 having just explained that PA can help to reduce the risk of bowel cancer; and participants may have felt uncomfortable disagreeing with this idea. It should also be acknowledged there 417 is the potential for information provided to participants during the interview on the link 418 419 between PA and bowel cancer to have altered their beliefs about the risk of illness. Whilst the structure of the interview schedule ensured that the provision of this new information would 420 not have influenced participants' beliefs about the likelihood of bowel cancer, there is the 421 potential for it to have influenced severity appraisals. Whilst we did not identify any direct 422 evidence of this, there is for example the possibility that this new information could have led 423 424 to defensive processing, and consequently for individuals who did not meet recommended levels of PA to downplay the severity of the illness. Finally, the sample is limited to 19 425 participants. Data collection ceased on pragmatic grounds rather than when no new 426 427 information appeared to be obtained (i.e. saturation point). As such, care should be taken not to overstate the findings. Whilst the themes from the initial interviews seemed to be 428 replicated in the later interviews, further interviews may have identified additional themes or 429 430 provided more nuanced explanations.

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

431	The beliefs that emerged reflect elements of the IRR framework as described by
432	Cameron (2003). In terms of likelihood, participants largely judged risk on the basis of their
433	beliefs about the Causes of bowel cancer. Beliefs about control over prevention were closely
434	linked with beliefs about cause. When talking about cause, participants reflected on lifestyle
435	behaviours that they believed reduced their likelihood of getting bowel cancer in the future.
436	The IRR component of Identity had little influence on likelihood appraisals. This is likely
437	because the features of individuals that increase the likelihood of bowel cancer, such as being
438	male or having conditions such as diabetes or ulcerative colitis, are not well known. In terms
439	of Timeline, whilst the age at which most people were affected by bowel cancer was an
440	important consideration for young people in this study, it did not affect perceptions of
441	likelihood per se but rather the relevance of the illness to the participants now as teenagers.
442	Of importance however, the distal nature of the illness led a number of participants to believe
443	that prevention efforts could be postponed until middle age and as such unfavourably
444	influenced judgements about control over prevention. In terms of judgements of severity,
445	beliefs about the consequences of bowel cancer seemed to be taken into consideration
446	although these representations were not particularly rich and were largely based on
447	hypotheses and ideas rather than any lived experience. Control of cancer through its treatment
448	or cure, was deemed relevant to judgements of severity, but participants' knowledge of
449	treatments was relatively poor, thus undermining its potential influence. Participants also
450	seemed to judge the likelihood and severity of bowel cancer based on the (relatively low)
451	public and media profile of the disease. This suggests that appraisals are not solely made by
452	matching characteristics of the self with risk representation components.
453	The findings suggest a number of ways in which beliefs underlying young people's

454 appraisals of bowel cancer risk could be changed in order to motivate them to engage in

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

preventative behaviour. Previous attempts to manipulate appraisals of the likelihood of 455 456 getting bowel cancer have at times been unsuccessful (Courneya & Hellsten, 2001; Graham et al., 2006) and have led to the conclusion that young people may be overly optimistic about 457 the likelihood of getting bowel cancer. Consistent with this idea, the present study found that 458 459 participants largely judged their likelihood of getting future bowel cancer to be low, and this 460 was rationalised in part by their engagement in preventative behaviour including PA. While participants believed that their levels of PA were good and therefore protected them against 461 the risk of bowel cancer, when they were asked specifically about activities that they did in a 462 typical day or week, whilst this was not assessed using standardized measures, it was clear 463 464 that this fell short of the recommended levels for many. Whether this reflects a degree of optimistic bias or simply a lack of knowledge about the amount or intensity of PA required to 465 offer protection is unclear but either way making this shortfall apparent to young people 466 467 might be advantageous. Previous studies have also struggled to manipulate perceptions of the severity of bowel cancer (Graham et al., 2006; McGowan & Prapavessis, 2010). This has 468 been attributed to a ceiling effect whereby those reaching adulthood are likely to have 469 experiences of cancer to draw upon and thereby judge severity as high. The present research 470 supports that. Participants' beliefs about the consequences of cancer in general and bowel 471 472 cancer specifically were not rich and did not reflect an appreciation of the full range of psychosocial consequences and ramifications and this may be due to their age and 473 consequently more limited life experience. Helping young people to put themselves 'in the 474 475 shoes' of an older person diagnosed with bowel cancer may, therefore, be an effective strategy for enhancing appraisals of severity. 476

477 There are a number of other implications for practice evident from the findings. As478 discussed above, the age relevance of the illness appears to be important in motivating action.

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

Strategies which enable young people to visualise a future self and to perceive the benefits of 479 480 preventative behaviour now in reducing future risk would be beneficial. In addition, encouraging individuals to reflect on the likelihood that they will begin or significantly 481 increase levels of PA in later life, and conveying that this would not fully compensate for 482 483 lack of PA in earlier life, would also be beneficial. There was some evidence that perceptions of likelihood were undermined by knowledge of 'exceptions to the rule', such as those who 484 had smoked all their life but not got cancer. Providing young people with a clear explanation 485 of how factors relating to genetics, lifestyle and chance interplay to determine cancer risk 486 may help to prevent individuals refuting the contribution of lifestyle behaviour both in 487 488 contributing to and preventing cancer. It was clear from the findings that young people struggled to understand how PA may relate to bowel cancer risk. The work of Bishop and 489 490 colleagues (2005) who studied women's understanding of the link between smoking and 491 cervical cancer, suggests that providing a coherent and common sense explanation of this relationship could be important for motivating PA. 492

In order to increase perceptions of the severity of bowel cancer, it may be important to highlight that treatment for bowel cancer is more effective when presentation is early, than for more advanced disease (see supplementary file two). Key to this is also explaining that it is in the advanced stages when most people notice symptoms and therefore engage with health care services. It may also be helpful to emphasise the essential role that the bowel plays in normal human functioning and the daily consequences faced by those whose bowel is diseased or has been removed.

500 Conclusions

The present research provides evidence that young people may underestimate their risk ofgetting bowel cancer in the future. This finding suggests that interventions that manipulate

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

503 young adults' beliefs about the risk of bowel cancer may be successful in motivating protective behaviour, such as promoting PA. The findings also attest to the importance of 504 providing young people with a coherent and logical explanation of how protective behaviours 505 such as PA work to reduce risk. The way in which young people in this study made 506 507 judgments about the risk of bowel cancer reflected elements of illness risk representations described by Cameron (2003), providing support for the idea that this theoretical framework 508 could be useful in developing future interventions. Given the study sample size, additional 509 qualitative work of this nature is required to support and increase confidence in these 510 conclusions. 511

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- 512 **References**
- 513 Bishop, A. J., Marteau, T. M., Hall, S., Kitchener, H., & Hajek, P. (2005). Increasing
- 514 women's intentions to stop smoking following an abnormal cervical smear test result.
- 515 *Preventive Medicine: An International Journal Devoted to Practice and Theory, 41*(1),
- 516 179-185. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2004.09.046
- 517 Cameron, L. D. (2003). Conceptualizing and measuring risk perceptions: A self-regulatory
- 518 perspective. Retrieved from
- 519 <u>http://cancercontrol.cancer.gov/brp/presentations/cameron.pdf;</u>
- 520 Cameron, L. D. (2008). Illness risk representations and motivations to engage in protective
- 521 behavior: The case of skin cancer risk. *Psychology & Health, 23*(1), 91-112.
- 522 doi:10.1080/14768320701342383
- 523 Cancer Research UK. (2016a). Bowel cancer risks and causes. Retrieved from
- 524 <u>http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/type/bowel-cancer/about/risks/</u>
- 525 Cancer Research UK. (2016b). Statistics by cancer type bowel cancer. Retrieved from
- 526 www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-
- 527 <u>type/bowel-cancer</u>
- 528 Classen, L., Henneman, L., Kindt, I., Marteau, T. M., & Timmermans, D. R. (2010).
- 529 Perceived risk and representations of cardiovascular disease and preventive behaviour in
- 530 people diagnosed with familial hypercholesterolemia: A cross-sectional questionnaire
- study. Journal of Health Psychology, 15(1), 33. doi: 10.1177/1359105309345170

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- 532 Courneya, K. S., & Hellsten, L. -. M. (2001). Cancer prevention as a source of exercise
- 533 motivation: An experimental test using protection motivation theory. *Psychology, Health*

& Medicine, *6*(1), 59-64. doi:10.1080/13548500020021928

- Gale, N. K., Heath, G., Cameron, E., Rashid, S., & Redwood, S. (2013). Using the
- 536 framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health
- 537 research. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *13*, 117. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-13-117
- 538 Graham, S. P., Prapavessis, H., & Cameron, L. D. (2006). Colon cancer information as a
- source of exercise motivation. *Psychology & Health*, 21(6), 739-755.
- 540 doi:10.1080/14768320600603554
- Hagger, M. S., & Orbell, S. (2003). A meta- analytic review of the common- sense model of
 illness representations. *Psychology and Health*, *18*(2), 141-184.
- 543 doi:10.1080/088704403100081321
- Health Survey for England. (2012). *Physical activty in children*. (No. Vol 1, Chapter 3).
- Lee, I. -., Paffenbarger Jr., R. S., & Hsieh, C. -. (1991). Physical activity and risk of
 developing colorectal cancer among college alumni. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 83(18), 1324-1329.
- 548 Leventhal, H., Benyamini, Y., Brownlee, S., Diefenbach, M., Leventhal, E. A., Patrick-
- 549 Miller, L., & Robitaille, C. (1997). Illness representations: Theoretical foundations. In J.
- 550 A. Weinman, K. J. Petrie & J. A. Weinman (Eds.), *Perceptions of health and illness:*
- 551 *Current research and applications.* (pp. 19-45). Amsterdam Netherlands: Harwood
- 552 Academic Publishers.

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- Leventhal, H., Brissette, I., & Leventhal, E. A. (2003). The common-sense model of self-
- regulation of health and illness. In L. D. Cameron, H. Leventhal, L. D. Cameron & H.
- Leventhal (Eds.), *The self-regulation of health and illness behaviour*. (pp. 42-65). New
- 556 York, NY US: Routledge.
- 557 McGowan, E. L., & Prapavessis, H. (2010). Colon cancer information as a source of exercise
- 558 motivation for relatives of patients with colon cancer. *Psychology, Health & Medicine,*
- 559 *15*(6), 729-741. doi:10.1080/13548506.2010.507771
- 560 Newby, K., French, D. P., Brown, K. E., & Wallace, L. M. (2013). Beliefs underlying
- chlamydia risk appraisals: The relationship with young adults' intentions to use condoms.
- 562 Journal of Risk Research, 16(7), 843-860. doi: 10.1080/13669877.2012.743158
- 563 Rogers, R. W., & Prentice-Dunn, S. (1997). Protection motivation theory. In D. S. Gochman
- 564 (Ed.), Handbook of health behavior research 1: Personal and social determinants (pp.
- 565 113-132). New York, NY: Plenum.
- 566 Sheeran, P., Harris, P., & Epton, T. (2014). Does heightening risk appraisals change people's
- 567 intentions and behaviour? A meta-analysis of experimental studies. *Psychological*
- 568 Bulletin, 140(2), 511-543. doi: 10.1037/a0033065
- 569 Strong, W. B., Malina, R. M., Blimkie, C. J. R., Daniels, S. R., Dishman, R. K., Gutin, B., ...
- 570 Trudeau, F. (2005). Evidence based physical activity for school- age youth. *The Journal*
- 571 *of Pediatrics*, 146(6), 732-737. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.01.055

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- 572 Tannenbaum, M. B., Hepler, J., Zimmerman, R. S., Saul, L., Jacobs, S., Wilson, K., &
- 573 Albarracín, D. (2015). Appealing to fear: A meta- analysis of fear appeal effectiveness
- and theories. *Psychological Bulletin*, *141*(6), 1178-1204. doi:10.1037/a0039729

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

Appendix S1 – Interview Schedule

Section 1: Informed consent and demographics (10 mins)

- Read information sheet, check they understand, respond to any questions, and seek consent
- Check eligibility
 - Aged between 13-17 years
 - Have not personally had cancer
- Record demographics (on Data Collection Sheet)
 - o Age
 - o Ethnicity
 - o Gender

Section 2: Physical activity (10 minutes)

Purpose of this section is to understand what their life priorities are at the moment and where physical activity fits into this, as well as their current levels of physical activity. It is also an opportunity to build rapport.

• LIFE PRIORITIES EXERCISE: I'm going to show you a list of things that I want you to prioritise in terms of how important they are to you at the moment.

Interviewer to have these 'life priorities' printed out on cards and to ask the participant to put them in order of importance.

- Looking physically attractive
- Learning a new skill
- Doing well at school
- Staying fit and healthy
- Making new friends
- Having fun and enjoying yourself
- Saving money
- Getting the latest technology
- Are there other things, not on this list, that are priorities for you at the moment? If so, please add to list so that they can be included in your ranking exercise.
- Why have you put them in this order? [PROBE: highest/lowest priorities]

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- Which ones do you think will become more important to you over the next few years? Why do you say this?
- What other things are priorities for you at the moment not on this list?
- Generally speaking, how important is staying fit and healthy to you at the moment?
 - What are the benefits to you of being fit and healthy?
 - What things do you do to stay fit and healthy? [PROBE: diet, physical activity]
- Where do you get advice and information about how to stay fit and healthy?
 PROBE: friends, family, teachers, media, healthcare professionals
- In a typical week, what types of physical activity do you do? Explore what (including frequency and intensity)
- In a typical day, how many hours do you spend sitting down (e.g. lessons, TV viewing time, games console playing, internet surfing, phone chats etc.)?
- How easy/difficult is it for you to be physically active? Identify the barriers and facilitators of exercise
 - What could you do to be less *in*active? Is this likely/possible? What would get in the way or put you off?
 - What kind of activities do you enjoy? Could you do these more?
 - Is there anything you would like to do/try? What's stopping you?

Section 3: Understanding of cancer (30-40 minutes)

This section is intended to elicit beliefs about bowel cancer risk including those relating to the Illness Risk Representations (IRR) framework. Where a question is intended to elicit beliefs relating to a component of the IRR, the relevant component is identified alongside in bold font and square brackets.

Opening questions

This subsection is intended to build the participants comfort talking about cancer and to begin eliciting knowledge and beliefs about cancer.

- What do you think or feel when you hear the word 'cancer'?
 - \circ What are the first thoughts that come into your mind?

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- Do you have any particular images in your mind?
- Do you feel that you have in any way been affected by cancer? (For example, friends or family developing the disease).
- What types of cancer have you heard of? [E.g. lung, breast, bowel etc.]
- Please can you tell me what, if anything, you know about bowel cancer?
 - \circ $\;$ Discuss initial thoughts and images again (if they know what bowel cancer is)
 - NB this is just an opening question, understanding will be further prompted below; if they struggle ask them what they know about cancer in general then:
 - Make sure before moving on that they know what the bowel is show image in CRUK debriefing leaflet and make sure that they know that bowel refers to the large colon and rectum; briefly explain digestive system and how bowel fits within that – wording on leaflet if needed

Beliefs about likelihood

This subsection largely explores beliefs relating to likelihood of bowel cancer

- What do you think causes bowel cancer? [Cause & Identity]
 - [PROBE: diet, smoking, alcohol, genetics, environment]
 - How do you think these different things cause cancer? What do you think they do to the human body that causes cancer?
 - EXPLORE e.g. if they say 'diet', ask them in what way they think that would cause cancer i.e. what is their understanding of the physiological link;
 - EXPLORE to identify any misperceptions about cause if identify, see if they can explain what they think the mechanism of action is.
- How much control do you think people have over whether or not they get cancer?
 [Cause & Control]
 - Is bowel cancer sometimes caused by things we have no control over? i.e. our genes.
 - How much do you think the risk of getting bowel cancer is down to what we do (our lifestyle) versus things we have no control over (our genetics)? Why do you day that?
- What do you think people can do to reduce their risk of getting bowel cancer (e.g. not smoking, drinking etc. but also PA)? [Cause & Control]
 - EXPLORE to tease out understanding of physiological link; Again probe for misperceptions about how cancer can be prevented.
 - How effective do you think these things are at reducing the risk of getting cancer? Which do you think is most/least effective?
- Do you think that things you do now affect your chances of getting bowel cancer in the future? [Cause & Timeline]

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- What makes you think/believe this?
- EXPLORE at what age (if any) they think that what they do will start to have an effect.
- Who do you think is most at risk of getting bowel cancer? [Identity & Timeline]
 - Why do you say this?
 - At what age do you think people are most likely to get bowel cancer? Why is this?
- How likely do you think you are to get bowel cancer at some point in your life?
 - EXPLORE reasoning: family history, lifestyle? Is this view based on current lifestyle or on basis of future (intended) lifestyle? Ask them about both.

This subsection ends with a few questions relating specifically to exploring participants understand of the link between bowel cancer and PA.

- Did you know that being physically active can reduce your risk of getting bowel cancer? *NB this is at least half an hour of moderate PA 5 times a week, although the more the better*
 - Why do you think this might be?
 - Encourage them to suggest causal explanations. [Cause & Control]
- How likely do you think you would be to get bowel cancer at some point in the future if you: **[Control]**
 - Did all the things that doctors recommend to prevent it (i.e. healthy diet, exercise, didn't smoke, had little/no alcohol, kept at a healthy weight)?
 - Did all of these recommended things but you still didn't do any exercise?
 - Didn't do any of the things that doctors recommend (i.e. had an unhealthy diet, didn't exercise, smoked, drank alcohol, and were overweight)?

Beliefs about Severity

This subsection largely explores beliefs relating to severity of bowel cancer

- Which cancers do you think are the most serious?
- How serious do you think bowel cancer is?
 - What do you think the symptoms would be? [Identity & Consequences]
 - How much pain/discomfort do you think people experience when they have bowel cancer? What is the nature of this pain? i.e. where is it, and does it come and go or is it there all the time? **[Consequences]**

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- Do you think bowel cancer be cured (e.g. radiotherapy, chemotherapy). How well do you think this works? **[Control]**
- Do you think the pain can be controlled? How well do you think this works? **[Control]**
- What do you think is the worst thing that could happen if someone got bowel cancer? What's the likelihood of this happening? [Consequences]
- What do you think the consequences of cancer could be for you and your family? [Consequences]
 - PROBE: for non-health related (as well as health related) consequences e.g. for study, work, stress, finances, emotions
- If you developed bowel cancer but had no medical treatment for it, what do you think would happen? [Consequences & Control]
 - Do you think you could get better by yourself? Could your body 'fight it off'?
 - Is there anything you could do/take (e.g. unconventional medicines) that would make you better?
 - How long do you think you would be ill for?
- If you did get treatment, what do you think would happen? [Consequences & Control]
 - What course would things take?
 - Can you put a time against these things i.e. how long would take?

This section ends with a few questions to explore emotional responses relating to risk

- Are you worried about getting bowel cancer? Why/why not?
 - EXPLORE whether they think these levels of worry might change as they get older
- If you developed cancer in the future, do you think that you might look back at choices you made about your lifestyle? What do you think your thoughts might be?
 - How might this make you feel?
 - Explore different scenarios i.e. if they had lived a very healthy life, and a less healthy life. Ask for each, 'do you think you might wish you had done things differently?'

Section 4: Reflections (5 minutes)

Purpose of this section is to reflect on the issues discussed and bring the interview to a close.

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity

- What do you think is the biggest challenge in motivating young people to do more physical activity?
- What do you think would most motivate you to make lifestyle changes that will help reduce the risk of getting cancer when you are older?
- What advice would you give to us about how we should communicate the risks of cancer to young people?

Debriefing

Give them CRUK leaflet

Ask them if they have any questions and try and answer (but explain limits: we are not experts in (bowel) cancer)

Refer them to CRUK website and helpline (details on leaflet) if needed

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity **Appendix S1**

Detailed information about bowel cancer

Bowel cancer is the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality accounting for 10% of all deaths from this disease (Cancer Research UK, 2016e). Whilst 5-year survival rates are good for people diagnosed at an early stage of cancer (stage one), only 15% of people are typically diagnosed at this time (Cancer Research UK, 2016d). Approximately 20-25% of people are diagnosed at each of the remaining three stages, with 5-year survival decreasing markedly with increasing stage such that diagnosis at stage four has a five year survival rate of 7% for men and 8% for women (Cancer Research UK, 2016d). Symptoms of bowel cancer include a change in normal bowel habits, bleeding from the rectum or blood in stools, and pain in abdomen or back passage (Cancer Research UK, 2016a). The main treatments for bowel cancer are surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Long-term effects of treatment include needing to have a colostomy or illeostomy bag, stools that are softer, looser or liquid, constipation, bloating, wind, and faecal and urinary incontinence.

There are a number of factors which can increase the likelihood of bowel cancer. These include a strong family history of bowel cancer, having ulcerative colitis or crohn's disease, and having conditions which affect the production of insulin or growth hormones such as diabetes (Cancer Research UK, 2016c). Incidence is also higher amongst males and increases with age; lifetime risk of bowel cancer is approximately 1 in 14 in males and 1 in 19 for females, with the majority of diagnoses in patients \geq 65 years (Cancer Research UK, 2016e). Those who are overweight or obese are also at increased risk. There is also evidence of lifestyle factors including smoking, drinking alcohol, eating red and processed meat, and insufficient PA

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity (Cancer Research UK, 2016b). Evidence suggests that there is a direct link between PA and bowel cancer, irrespective of obesity. Mechanisms of action include, a reduction in circulating hormones and growth factors that stimulate growth of epithelial cells of the colon (such as insulin and insulin-like growth factors IGF-1), a reduction in inflammatory markers, improved immunity and an increase in gut motility (reducing exposure of the colonic epithelium to carcinogens in food and gastric bile) (Wolin, Yan, Colditz, & Lee, 2009).

References

- Cancer Research UK. (2016a). Bowel cancer symptoms. Retrieved from <u>www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/type/bowel-cancer/about/bowel-cancer-</u> <u>symptoms</u>
- Cancer Research UK. (2016b). Food types and bowel cancer. Retrieved from <u>www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/type/bowel-cancer/about/risks/food-</u> <u>types-and-bowel-cancer</u>
- Cancer Research UK. (2016c). High risk groups for bowel cancer. Retrieved from www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/type/bowel-cancer/about/risks/highrisk-groups-for-bowel-cancer
- Cancer Research UK. (2016d). Statistics and outlook for bowel cancer. Retrieved from <u>www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/type/bowel-</u> <u>cancer/treatment/statistics-and-outlook-for-bowel-cancer</u>

Risk of bowel cancer and its link with physical activity Cancer Research UK. (2016e). Statistics by cancer type - bowel cancer. Retrieved

from www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-

by-cancer-type/bowel-cancer

Wolin, K. Y., Yan, Y., Colditz, G. A., & Lee, I. (2009). Physical activity and colon cancer prevention: A meta-analysis. *British Journal of Cancer*, 100(4), 611-616. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604917