# Hydrodynamics and sedimentary processes in the main drainage channel of a large open coast managed realignment site Dale, J., Burgess, H. M., Nash, D. J. & Cundy, A. B.

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

#### **Original citation & hyperlink:**

Dale, J, Burgess, HM, Nash, DJ & Cundy, AB 2018, 'Hydrodynamics and sedimentary processes in the main drainage channel of a large open coast managed realignment site' Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, vol. 215, pp. 100-111. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2018.10.007

DOI 10.1016/j.ecss.2018.10.007 ISSN 0272-7714 ESSN 1096-0015 Publisher: Elsevier

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, [[215], (2018)] DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2018.10.007

© 2017, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

1	Hydrodynamics and sedimentary processes in the main drainage					
2	channel of a large open coast managed realignment site					
3						
4	Jonathan Dale <sup>1*</sup> , Heidi M. Burgess <sup>1</sup> , David J. Nash <sup>1,2</sup> and Andrew B. Cundy <sup>3</sup>					
5						
6 7	<sup>1</sup> School of Environment and Technology, University of Brighton, Brighton, BN2 4GJ, UK.					
8 9	<sup>2</sup> School of Geography, Archaeology and Environmental Studies, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Wits 2050, South Africa.					
10 11	<sup>3</sup> School of Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre (Southampton), Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK.					
12						
13	*Corresponding Author:					
14	Jonathan Dale					
15 16	School of Environment and Technology, University of Brighton, Cockcroft Building, Lewes Road, Brighton, UK, BN2 4GJ					
17	Email: J.Dale2@brighton.ac.uk					
18						
19	Published in Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, Volume 215, pp 100-111,					
20	2018.					
21	Authors' pre-print version					
22	Keywords					
23	Managed realignment; Hydrodynamics ; Suspended Sediment Concentration;					
24	Altimeter; Storms; United Kingdom, West Sussex, Medmerry Managed Realignment					
25	Site					

#### 26 Abstract

27 Managed Realignment (MR) is becoming increasingly popular with many coastal 28 managers and engineers. Monitoring of MR sites has provided growing evidence that 29 many of the saltmarshes created in these environments have lower biodiversity than 30 naturally formed intertidal marshes, and may not fully deliver the anticipated 31 ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration and coastal flood defence. 32 Despite the importance of the sedimentary environment in developing an intertidal 33 morphology suitable for plant establishment and succession, the evolution of the 34 sediment erosion, transportation, deposition and consolidation cycle in newly 35 breached sites is rarely examined. This study evaluates the hydrodynamics and 36 concentration of suspended sediment exported and imported along the main 37 drainage channel within the Medmerry Managed Realignment Site, West Sussex, 38 UK, the largest open coast realignment in Europe (at the time of breaching). 39 Measurements were taken over a one year period (November 2015 – October 2016) 40 at the breach, at the landwards extremity where freshwater drains into the site, and 41 in an excavated channel in the centre of the site. At the latter site, 1.7 cm of 42 sediment accreted over the study period. Suspended sediment concentration (SSC) 43 measurements indicate that, under ambient conditions, sediment is imported into 44 and exported from the Medmerry site, although similar concentrations of sediment 45 were recorded being internally redistributed around the site (typically 0.11 g/l 46 measured in the breach area compared to 0.12 g/l measured in the centre of the 47 site). Sediment is removed from the site following large (1-2 mm / hour) rainfall 48 events, which take several tidal cycles to drain through the site. Peaks in SSC 49 corresponding with lower intensity rainfall events, especially during periods when the 50 intertidal mudflats have been exposed, have also been observed. Analysis of the 51 hydrodynamics and patterns of sedimentation during and following storm 52 occurrences (the 2015-16 Storms Eva, Imogen and Katie) however demonstrate the 53 relative resilience (i.e. rapid recovery and minimal disturbance) of the site to extreme 54 storm events.

#### 56 **1 Introduction**

#### 57

58 Intertidal saltmarsh and mudflat environments provide a range of ecosystem services 59 including wildlife habitat, carbon sequestration and protection from coastal flooding 60 (Barbier et al., 2011; Costanza et al., 1997; King and Lester, 1995; Moller et al., 61 2014). However, large areas of saltmarsh have been reclaimed and degraded for 62 agricultural, industrial and urban development, and eroded as a result of rising sea 63 levels and coastal squeeze (e.g. Doody, 2004). To compensate and restore these 64 environments, a number of habitat creation and restoration schemes have been implemented. These include replanting schemes and the creation of new areas of 65 66 intertidal habitat (Elliott et al., 2016) by de-embanking defences and constructing 67 new defences inland; a process known as managed realignment (French, 2006).

68

69 Despite managed realignment (MR) becoming the most popular approach (in Europe 70 and America) to restoring intertidal habitats and defending coastlines from the threat 71 posed by sea level rise and a potential increase in storminess (Stocker et al., 2013). 72 there remains a shortage of data regarding the success (or otherwise) of these 73 schemes. The majority of studies that have been carried out have focused on 74 vegetation colonisation (Esteves, 2013), and have indicated that MR sites have 75 lower biodiversity, abundance of key species and ecosystem service delivery than 76 anticipated (Garbutt and Wolters, 2008; Mazik et al., 2010; Mossman et al., 2012). 77 In order to improve the delivery of ecosystem services it is imperative that the 78 development, structure and functioning of MR sites is better understood. There are, 79 however, a relative lack of data on the impact that MR has on on-site sedimentary 80 processes, particularly the sediment Erosion, Transportation, Deposition and 81 Consolidation (ETDC) cycle. The design of MR sites has (to date) focused on 82 engineering initial site elevation, which controls the hydroperiod, the proportion of 83 time inundated (Mitsch and Gosselink, 2000), and therefore colonisation by 84 vegetation. This fails to account for the re-distribution and re-cycling of sediment following site inundation, and the influence of disturbances such as storm events on 85 sediment supply and reworking (e.g. Cundy et al., 2007; Dzwonkowski et al., 2014; 86

Pethick, 1992), which may result in the development of different intertidal habitatsthan intended and influencing the ecosystem services provided.

89

A recent study by Dale et al. (2017) found different rates and rhythms of 90 91 sedimentation at two heavily engineered, but spatially contrasting, sites in the 92 Medmerry Managed Realignment Site, West Sussex, the largest open coast MR site 93 in Europe (at the time of site breaching) over a one year study period (November 94 2014 – October 2015). At an exposed near-breach site, rapid accretion of sediment 95 was observed during the flood tide, which consolidated during the ebb. Further 96 inland, sediment was found to accrete during the flood tide and erode during the ebb, 97 with periodic erosion and accretion matching semi-lunar and semi-diurnal tidal 98 variability. Concentrations of suspended sediment at both of these sites were found 99 to correlate negatively with salinity, reflecting the importance of internal sediment 100 reworking and freshwater inputs within the site. However, this earlier study did not 101 consider the extent of internal movement of sediment around the site in comparison 102 to external inputs from the wider terrestrial catchment and from coastal sources.

103

104 In recent years, research into coastal sediment dynamics has evolved considerably, 105 benefitting from advances in technology, providing an enhanced understanding of 106 the movement of sediment within (and external inputs to and outputs from) estuarine 107 settings (Ouillon, 2018). Studies have included in situ measurements, analysis of 108 remote sensing data and numerical modelling studies into the interaction between 109 hydrodynamics and the sedimentation processes within coastal and estuarine 110 environments (e.g. Cundy et al., 2007; Deloffre et al., 2005; Kirwan and Murray, 111 2007; Nardin and Edmonds, 2014). Here, we assess the reworking processes 112 initially identified by Dale et al. (2017), and the role of freshwater influx events, by 113 examining high frequency in situ hydrodynamic and suspended sediment data 114 (logged every 10 minutes) collected over the period November 2015 – October 2016 115 along the main drainage channel of the Medmerry site.

117 Specifically, the sources (external input vs internal redistribution), mechanisms of 118 sediment distribution (including the role of high rainfall events) and patterns of 119 accretion and erosion are examined in this study. These data provide a deeper 120 understanding of the evolution of the sediment ETDC cycle and intertidal ecosystem 121 development at the Medmerry site, not provided by Dale et al. (2017), and have 122 implications for the effectiveness of engineering the design and construction of future 123 MR sites to maximise the flood protection and ecosystem service benefits that they 124 provide.

125

### 126 2 Study site

127

128 The Medmerry Managed Realignment Site is located on the western side of the 129 Manhood Peninsular in West Sussex, in the Solent on the UK's south coast (Figure 130 1). Prior to realignment, a shingle barrier had protected reclaimed farm land. This 131 shingle bank had previously protected a brackish lagoon which drained out through 132 the neighbouring Pagham Harbour, on the eastern side of the Manhood Peninsular, 133 before reclamation (Bone, 1996; Krawiec, 2017). Constant work was required during 134 winter periods to raise, re-profile and recycle the barrier beach to maintain the 135 necessary level of coastal flood defence. In a review of coastal flooding and erosion 136 risk at the site, the existing defences were considered to be inefficient beyond the 137 short-term (Environment Agency, 2007). As a result, MR was proposed, and 138 implemented, as the most suitable long-term method of coastal flood defence. The 139 scheme was also designed to compensate for the loss of protected intertidal saltmarsh elsewhere in the region. Over 80% of the Solent's saltmarsh is designated 140 141 for nature conservation interests (Foster et al., 2014), but is currently under threat 142 and is experiencing rapid decline caused by restricted sediment supply, pollution, 143 rising sea levels and coastal squeeze (Baily and Pearson, 2007).

144

The construction of 7 km of new defences, reaching 3 km inland, began in autumn2011. Four drainage outlets with tidal gates feed freshwater into the site during low

147 water, draining the surrounding terrestrial catchment, with the main drainage network 148 within the site consisting of re-profiled terrestrial drainage channels, known as rifes, 149 and excavated channels. The site was inundated through a single breach cut in the shingle bank on 9<sup>th</sup> September 2013, forming a semi-enclosed, fetch and depth 150 151 limited, semi-diurnal mesotidal system. Depth averaged current velocity, measured 152 in the breach in September 2014, peaked at just under 1.5 m/s (Environment 153 Agency, 2015). Following the breaching of the site, the breach has rolled back and 154 widened. Coarse grained sediments (median grain size =  $47.33 \pm 0.91 \mu$ m) have 155 been deposited within the area around the breach, probably of internal origin, and 156 fine grained clay and silty sediments have been deposited further inland (Dale et al., 157 2017).

158



Figure 1: Location of the breach, Site 3 and Drainage Outlet 3 study sites and the Medmerry
 Managed Realignment Site and Pagham Harbour in the wider Solent region (insert). The
 Ferrypool, where prior to reclamation the Medmerry site used to drain through Pagham

163 Harbour is marked, as are the four Drainage Outlets where fresh water drains into the

164 Medmerry site (see text for discussion).

165

# 166 3 Materials and methods

167

168 In order to assess sediment movement in the Medmerry site, high frequency 169 measurements of the near-bed hydrodynamics were taken every 10 minutes over a 170 one year period from three sites along Easton Rife, the main drainage channel within 171 the MR site (see Figure 1 for locations). Measurements were taken from 1<sup>st</sup> 172 November 2015 to 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016 (i.e. during the third year of site inundation) at 173 the centre of the site (Site 3 of Burgess et al. (2016) and Dale et al. (2017)), and at 174 two sites which had previously not been investigated; one at the breach, 175 approximately 50 m landward of the shingle bank, and one at the landward extremity 176 of the site near (c. 130 m downstream of) the culvert and tidal gates in Drainage 177 Outlet 3 (DO3).

178

# 179 3.1 Hydrodynamic analysis

180

181 Hydrodynamic variations at all three sites were measured by YSI EXO2 Sondes 182 fitted with conductivity, temperature, depth (CTD) and turbidity probes, deployed 183 near the bed (i.e. 2 to 3 cm off the bed) in scaffolding rigs (see supplementary 184 material) which sampled every 10 minutes continuously over the one year study 185 period. Probes were deployed at -0.68 mOD (Ordnance Datum Newlyn) at the 186 breach, 0.46 mOD at Site 3 and 0.41 mOD at DO3. Salinity was measured using the 187 Practical Salinity Scale. Turbidity probes were calibrated for suspended sediment 188 concentration (SSC) in the laboratory using re-suspended sediment samples and 189 filtered water samples taken in situ, and were cleaned every 20 minutes during 190 deployment via a central wiper fitted to the EXO2 Sondes. All probes were protected 191 against bio-fouling by copper tape attached prior to deployment.

Local rainfall and freshwater depth measurements recorded during the 1 year study period (both provided by the Environment Agency, UK, see Figure 1 for locations) were used to assess any controls exerted by local weather conditions on trends and patterns observed in the hydrodynamic data. Rainfall was recorded at the Ferrypool where prior to reclamation the Medmerry site used to drain through Pagham Harbour (Bone, 1996), and freshwater depths were recorded on the landwards side of Drainage Outlet 4.

200

- 201 **3.2 Sedimentation rhythms and mechanisms**
- 202

203 High frequency bed elevation measurements were taken at Site 3, over the same 204 one year period, in a channel cut and excavated during site construction. This 205 channel leads up to a large borrow pit, an area where material was extracted to 206 create the new coastal flood defences inland, lowering the elevation to encourage the development of a range of intertidal habitat. An NKE ALTUS altimeter system 207 208 was used to measure patterns and rates of sediment accumulation and erosion. The 209 altimeter consists of a 2 MHz acoustic transducer, supported on a tripod above the 210 sediment surface, which measures the time required for an acoustic signal to return 211 from the sediment surface to the transducer (Jestin et al., 1998). The ALTUS 212 systems were deployed at the same location as in Dale et al. (2017), and bed 213 elevations  $(\pm 2 \text{ mm})$  were logged every 10 minutes. The initial bed elevation was 214 +0.63 mOD.

215

#### 216 **4 Results**

217

# 218 **4.1 Variations in hydrodynamics and sedimentation**

219

To evaluate sediment sources and mechanisms of sediment distribution CTD and
SSC data were collected, and are presented for the one year study period for breach

222 (Figure 2a), Site 3 (Figure 2b) and DO3 (Figure 2c) with a 12 hour (tidally average) 223 running mean applied to smooth high-frequency changes caused by tidal variability. 224 Missing data are due to suspect data (e.g. weed wrapped around sensors etc.) or 225 equipment failure. The maximum recorded water depth at the breach was 2.75 mOD 226 in December 2015, whereas the lowest water depth, -0.61 mOD, occurred in October 227 2016. The highest and lowest salinities measured were 37.7 and 0.48 respectively, 228 both occurring in October 2016, although salinity values rarely fell below 20. Average 229 high water salinity was 32.27 ± 3.33. The SSC varied throughout the study period 230 although peaks were observed periodically, particularly in January to March 2016. The average SSC during flood and ebb tidal phases were  $0.11 \pm 0.06$  g/l. 231





Figure 2: Tidally averaged hydrodynamic data, presented with a 12 hour running mean, for
(a) the breach (b) Site 3 and (c) Drainage Outlet 3 (DO3). Raw non-averaged time series
data are shown in the supplementary material.



Figure 3: Bed elevation and rhythms of accretion and erosion over 12 month period
(November 2015 – December 2016), a month period (August 3016, lower left) and 24 hour
period (lower right) at Site 3.

243

239

244 Inland, at Site 3, the maximum water depth recorded was 3.09 mOD in December 245 2015. High water at Site 3 occurs approximately an hour after high water at the 246 breach. The lowest recorded depth was 0.60 mOD in March 2016. A maximum 247 salinity of 37.83 was recorded in May 2016 and a minimum of 0.88 in January 2016. 248 The average high water salinity was  $34.16 \pm 3.68$ . More variability was measured in 249 the SSC during the winter, and during August and September. Concentrations of 250 suspended sediment tended to be slightly higher at Site 3 in comparison to the 251 breach with 0.12 ± 0.08 g/l measured during flood and ebb tidal phases, although 252 similar temporal trends were observed between the breach and Site 3, particularly 253 during the winter months.

In the excavated entrance of the borrow pit at Site 3, 1.7 cm of net accretion was
measured (Figure 3). While rhythmic semi-lunar and semi-diurnal sedimentation
patterns were apparent in the data, these were overlain on a dominant seasonal
trend, with accretion during the winter and erosion during the summer. This pattern
was periodically interrupted by larger erosion and accretion events, such as storm
events (discussed below), which appeared to be driven by additional variability
separate from the seasonal, semi-lunar and semi-diurnal factors.

262

263 At the landward extremity of the site, DO3, a maximum measured water depth of 264 2.58 mOD occurred in June 2016. High water at this site occurs approximately 20 265 minutes after high water at Site 3, and 50 minutes after high water at the breach. 266 Tidal amplitude was lower by almost a metre at DO3, compared to the breach and 267 Site 3, and during some low tides the channel drained below a measurable water 268 depth (< 1 cm). The salinity at DO3 remained relatively low, but more variable during 269 the study period (average high water salinity of  $10.76 \pm 7.20$ ), although a maximum 270 salinity of 32.9 was measured in June 2016. An average SSC of 0.12 ± 0.11 g/l was 271 measured during both the flood and ebb tides. The SSC demonstrated a high degree 272 of variability until March 2016, after which the concentration of suspended sediment 273 remained relatively stable.

274

275 Monthly winter and summer comparisons between depth, salinity and SSC at each 276 site for March (winter) and August (summer) 2016, representative of ambient 277 conditions, are presented in Figure 4. Examples of single spring and neap tidal 278 events during both of these months are presented in Figure 5. During March clear 279 differences in salinity between high and low water were observed at the breach 280 (Figure 4a) and Site 3 (Figure 4b), although the variability was greater at Site 3 281 where a difference of 14.98 was measured between average high and low water 282 salinity. This salinity difference was also seen during March on a semi-diurnal scale 283 at Site 3, although salinity values at the breach demonstrated little semi-diurnal 284 variability during spring tides but fluctuated during neap tides (Figure 5). At DO3 285 semi-diurnal changes in salinity varied in March according to the semi-lunar tidal 286 cycle, with a greater variability in salinity occurring during spring tides as a result of

287 larger inputs of saline water to the site. During neap tides, salinity values remained288 low with less variability due to reduced saline water incursion.





292 Figure 4: Comparison of depth, salinity and suspended sediment concentration (SSC) for

293 March 2016 and August 2016 for (a) the breach, (b) Site 3 and (c) Drainage Outlet 3.

294



Figure 5: Comparison of depth, salinity and suspended sediment concentration (SSC) over a tidal cycle for the breach (black squares), Site 3 (red triangles) and Drainage Outlet 3 (blue diamonds) for a winter spring tide (24/3/2016), a winter neap tide (2/3/2016), a summer spring tide (20/8/2016) and a summer neap tide (12/8/2016).

300

301 During March, variability in SSC was observed at all three sites (Figure 4a). Similar 302 variability in the concentration of suspended sediment was observed during spring 303 tides, whereas during neap tides DO3 did not share the same variability. For 304 example, during the neap tides in the middle of March, increased concentrations of 305 suspended sediment were recorded at the breach and at Site 3, but not at DO3. The 306 increased levels of suspended sediment, matched by a high freshwater discharge 307 event (indicated by lower salinity values during low water) at the breach, seen 308 towards the end of the month are reflective of a storm event (Storm Katie) which 309 occurred at this time (see section 4.3). At the breach, a sharp peak in SSC occurred 310 during the flood tide (Figure 5), although average SSCs across the flood and ebb 311 tide (described above) suggest near-equal concentrations of suspended sediment 312 were imported to and exported from the site. In contrast, higher SSCs were 313 measured at Site 3 and DO3. At these sites, SSC peaks occurred during the flood 314 phases, and larger peaks were measured during the ebb tide. A peak in SSC was 315 also detected at low water at Site 3.

316

317 During August (Figure 4b), salinity values remained relatively constant (30 to 32) at 318 the breach. Measurements at Site 3 indicated a small amount of tidal variability in 319 salinity, with greater variability occurring at DO3 during the spring tides at the start of 320 August. However, this variability is not repeated during the spring tides later in the 321 month. This is demonstrated further in analysis of individual tides (Figure 5). 322 Fluctuations in the levels of suspended sediment were more scattered and showed 323 less consistency with tidal cyclicity. During spring tides, peaks during both the flood 324 and ebb tidal phases can still be identified, whereas during neap tides these peaks 325 are less distinct at DO3 with SSC decreasing throughout the tidal cycle (Figure 5).

#### 327 **4.2 High Suspended Sediment Concentration Events**

328

329 Periods when high SSCs were measured at the breach and at DO3 were analysed to 330 assess the upstream and downstream suspended sediment movement and 331 sediment sources (i.e. terrestrial vs. marine) to the borrow pit entrance at Site 3. Two 332 types of high SSC events are presented in Figure 6: (1) high SSCs repeated for a 333 number of tides (Figure 6, left hand graphs); and (2) single tides with high SSCs 334 (Figure 6, right hand graphs). Although the SSC was recognised to generally peak 335 during flood tides at the breach, during multiple tides with high levels of suspended 336 sediment the maximum SSC tended to occur during the ebb tide and low water 337 (Figure 6, left graphs). During these events, relatively high SSCs (> 0.5 g/l) were 338 measured during the ebb tides and during low water at Site 3. These high 339 concentrations of suspended sediment fell rapidly by around 0.35 g/l during the start 340 of the flood tide. At DO3, peaks in SSC were measured during the early flood and 341 late ebb tides, decreasing during the period of tidal inundation.

342



Figure 6: Depth against suspended sediment concentration (SSC) at (a) the breach, (b) Site 345 3 and (c) Drainage Outlet 3, and (d) depth against bed elevation at Site 3 for multiple tides 346 (left,  $10^{\text{th}} - 12^{\text{th}}$  January 2016) and a single tide (right,  $13^{\text{th}} - 14^{\text{th}}$  February 2016) with high 347 SSC.

348

349 Throughout the example illustrated in Figure 6 (left graphs), bed elevation 350 measurements indicated that around 5 mm of sediment were accreted following 351 inundation on the flood tide. Bed elevation peaked at high water and decreased 352 sharply during the ebb tide. By the start of the succeeding flood tide, bed elevation 353 had fallen to a similar level to that of the previous tide. When levels of SSC within the 354 breach decreased, the sedimentation rhythms returned to a typical (more 355 symmetrical) pattern of accretion during the flood tide and erosion during the ebb 356 (c.f. Dale et al., 2017).

357

358 During the single high SSC event shown in Figure 6 (right graphs), levels of 359 suspended sediment at DO3 peaked at low water, with smaller increases occurring 360 during the following four low waters. SSCs at Site 3 also peaked at low water, and 361 decreased by almost 0.5 g/l until halfway through the following ebb tide. At the 362 breach, SSCs continued to display a trend of importing sediment during the flood. 363 Bed elevation at Site 3 decreased during the tides directly preceding and succeeding 364 the peak in SSC, but from approximately the same starting bed elevation each time, 365 suggesting sediment was accreted whilst the sensor (but not the bed) was exposed. 366 Sediment was then accreted during the following tide, before returning to a pattern of 367 rhythmic depositions and erosion.

368

#### 369 4.3 Response to storm events

370

In addition to being a habitat restoration and compensation scheme, the Medmerry
site was constructed to improve coastal flood defence on the Manhood Peninsular. A
series of storms impacted the UK during the winter of 2015-16, the first year they

were assigned names by the UK Met Office. The sedimentary response to three of
the storms that had the greatest effect on the South Coast (Storms Eva, Imogen and
Katie - see Table 1), are assessed here in terms of variations in SSC (Figure 7) and
bed elevation (Figure 7).

378

**Table 1:** Three of the 2015-16 winter storms selected to assess the response of the

380 Medmerry Managed Realignment Site to storm events. Data were provided by the

381 Environment Agency, UK (contains Environment Agency information © Environment

Agency and database right) from the Ferrypool, Pagham Harbour (see Figure 1 for

383 location).

Storm Name	Date	Duration	Total Precipitation (mm)	Maximum Precipitation (mm/hr)	Maximum Wind Speed (m/s)
Eva	24 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	15 hours	4.27	1.74	11
Imogen	7 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> February 2016	43 hours	5.6	0.78	18.75
Katie	27 <sup>th</sup> – 28 <sup>th</sup> March 2016	42 hours	12.2	2.14	24.15

384



Figure 7: Depth against suspended sediment concentration (SSC) for the breach (top row), Site 3 (second row) and Drainage Outlet 3 (third
row) and changes in bed elevation at Site 3 (bottom row) during Storms Eva (23<sup>rd</sup> – 24th December, left), Imogen (7th – 8th February, middle)
and Katie (27th-28th March, right).

390 During Storm Eva, the SSC at the breach increased by 0.19 g/l during the flood tide 391 and continued to increase during the start of the ebb, decreasing during the latter 392 part of the outgoing tide. At Site 3, the ebbing tide had almost 0.1 g/l more 393 suspended sediment than had previously been the case before the storm. 394 Afterwards, the SSC decreased at Site 3 to a similar concentration as before the 395 storm. SSCs at DO3 peaked on both the flood and ebb tides, decreasing during both 396 high and low waters, with the exception of the low water after the storm when SSCs 397 remained high. Bed elevation measurements were intermittent during this period, but 398 suggest that sediment accreted during the storm and the tide the following day, 399 before eroding (Figure 7).

400

401 Storm Imogen had a similar effect as Storm Eva on SSCs at the breach, although 402 concentrations of sediment were greater and remained higher after the storm. SSC 403 peaked at 0.6 g/l during low water at Site 3, decreasing during the flood and 404 increasing again during the ebb tide. At DO3, SSCs were more variable than during 405 Storm Eva, demonstrating a pattern of increasing during the ebb and decreasing 406 during the flood. Bed elevation at Site 3 increased during the storm and remained 407 relatively constant during the following tidal cycle. However, at the start of the next 408 tide, bed elevation was 2 mm lower than before the storm and increased again 409 during the tidal cycle. Sedimentation then reversed back to the rhythmic pattern of 410 accretion and erosion observed for the majority of the monitoring period.

411

The SSC also increased at the breach, to 0.54 g/l, and at Site 3, to 0.41 g/l, during Storm Katie, peaking during the ebbing tide and then rapidly decreasing. At DO3, SSCs were high (0.6 g/l), decreasing during the ebb tide and remaining low during the following tides. Bed elevation at Site 3 increased during the storm and, although no data were collected during the subsequent tide (presumed to be due to the smaller amplitude of this tide and therefore not sufficiently inundating the sensor), bed elevation decreased during the tide the following day.

#### 420 **5 Discussion**

421

#### 422 **5.1 Source of suspended sediment**

423

424 To assess the sources of sediment, mechanisms of sediment distribution and 425 patterns of accretion and erosion, and the influence of storm events within the 426 Medmerry Managed Realignment Site, hydrodynamic measurements were taken 427 from November 2015 to October 2016 from three sites (the breach, Site 3 and DO3) 428 along the main drainage channel, Easton Rife. Bed elevation measurements were 429 also taken from the middle site (Site 3). Observations from other locations have 430 suggested that MR sites are importers of, and sinks for, sediment from external 431 sources. For example, Rotman et al. (2008) calculated that 54% of the material 432 accreting within the Freiston Shore Managed Realignment Site, Lincolnshire, UK, 433 had originated from eroding saltmarshes outside of the site. Dale et al. (2017) 434 suggested, however, that due to Medmerry being located on the open coast away 435 from any pre-existing external marshes, and also lacking in significant fluvial 436 sources, the majority of sediment being transported around the site originated from 437 internal sources.

438

439 Measurements of the SSC taken from within the breach reveal that, under typical 440 conditions, the Medmerry site both imports and exports sediment. The SSC peaked 441 during the flooding tide and decreased during high water and the ebb tide (Figure 5). 442 The source of this imported sediment in the breach area remains unknown, but may 443 originate from former intertidal early Holocene saltmarsh deposits exposed within the 444 breach itself, which have been recognised as the source of increased concentrations 445 suspended sediment offshore reported by local fishermen (Colin Scott, ABPmer, 446 personal communication, 2017), or from the eroding Mixon barrier islands offshore.

447

Peaks in SSC were measured during both the flood and ebb tidal phases at Sites 3and DO3, indicating the resuspension, redistribution and recycling of sediment

around the site during both stages of the tidal cycle. Similar observations were made
by Mitchell et al. (2006) at neighbouring Pagham Harbour, which naturally breached
during a storm in 1910 AD, where sediment is transported from seawards locations
or is resuspended locally (or both), and builds up at the landward extremities of this
site.

455

#### 456 **5.2 Mechanisms of sediment distribution**

457

458 Higher levels of suspended sediment at the Medmerry site were found to relate to 459 lower salinity values by Dale et al. (2017). In this paper, however, bed elevation 460 measurements have recorded changes beyond the rhythmic patterns of accretion 461 and erosion identified in this previous study. Analysis of consecutive tides with 462 elevated concentrations of suspended sediment presented here indicate that during 463 these events material is flushed completely from the system and discharged to the 464 open coast during low water. During these events, SSCs increased during the flood 465 and ebb tides at both internal sites, but decreased over high water. A sharp increase 466 in bed elevation of around 5 mm was observed at Site 3 during the early flood tide, 467 followed by a rapid decrease during the ebb.

468

469 An explanation for the peaks in SSC observed in January 2016, shown in Figure 6 470 (left side graphs), is provided local rainfall and freshwater depth measurements (both 471 provided by the Environment Agency, UK, see Figure 1 for locations). Rainfall 472 measurements indicate that the increased SSCs were recorded two days after 8.6 473 mm of rain fell within a 7 hour period (Figure 8a). Freshwater input measurements 474 increased for two days following this intensive rainfall event and coincided with the 475 period of consecutive elevated high SSC (Figure 8a). Freshwater depth decreased 476 on the ebb tide and during low water (whilst the tidal gates were open), but built back 477 up again during the flood tide and high water (whilst the tidal gates were closed, 478 preventing freshwater input). Dale et al. (2017) proposed that the relationship 479 between SSC and salinity resulted from terrestrial input external to the site, as has 480 been suggested elsewhere (e.g. Fettweis et al., 1998). This suggestion is supported

here (at least for sites more peripheral to the breach area), given the multiple tides
with elevated SSCs which match the time taken for freshwater depth on the
terrestrial side of the site to decrease. A similar trend has been observed in Pagham
Harbour (*authors' unpublished data*) which also has similar tidal gates located in a
sea wall constructed in the 18<sup>th</sup> century (Mitchell et al., 2008).

486



Figure 8: Water depth on the outlet (seaward, dashed line) and inlet (terrestrial, solid line)
sides of Drainage Outlet 4 at the Medmerry Managed Realignment Site and rainfall (mm per
hour; dots) from the Ferrypool (see Figure 1 for location) for (a) the period of multiple tides
with high suspended sediment concentration and (b) single tide with high suspended
sediment concentration exemplified in Figure 6. Data provided by the Environment Agency,
UK (contains Environment Agency information © Environment Agency and database right).

498 In addition to multiple tides with high SSC, single high SSC events have also been 499 observed inland, at Site 3 and DO3. During these events, SSCs at the breach 500 continued to peak on the flood tide and decrease during the ebb. Individual high 501 suspended sediment load events occurred during low water (Figure 6, right side 502 graphs) but, when compared to changes in the freshwater input to the site (Figure 8). 503 increased freshwater input occurred during the subsequent low water. An alternative 504 explanation could be internal erosion caused by run off during rainfall events. 505 Rainfall data from the Ferrypool site suggests that 7.11 mm of rainfall occurred over 506 7 hours during the period of exposure (Figure 8b) for the single high SSC event 507 exemplified in Figure 6 from February 2016. Visual observations have been made of 508 pluvial water draining not only over the terrestrial land within the Medmerry site but 509 also over the forming saltmarsh and mudflat exposed at low water, resulting in an 510 increase in suspended sediment. However, the impact of rainfall on the erosion of 511 cohesive sediments requires much further investigation (e.g. Tolhurst et al., 2006), 512 particularly in newly inundated MR sites undergoing the transition from terrestrial soil 513 to intertidal sediment, as it may well have a significant impact on the sediment ETDC 514 cycle within these environments.

515

#### 516 **5.3 Influence of storm events on site sedimentary processes**

517

518 MR schemes are implemented to restore and compensate for habitat loss and 519 degradation, and also to improve coastal flood defence. Analysis of three storm 520 events during the 2015-16 winter revealed that, despite variations during each storm, 521 suspended sediment and bed elevation measurements indicated that the Medmerry 522 sedimentary system recovered relatively quickly (within ~four tidal cycles). Mitchell et 523 al. (2006) suggested that the main input of coastal sediment to the adjacent Pagham 524 Harbour occurred during storm events. However, SSC measurements at Medmerry 525 suggest that sediment is exported from the system during storm events.

526

527 Previous studies (e.g. Moller, 2006; Moller et al., 2014) have focused on the effect 528 that saltmarsh vegetation has as a form of natural coastal flood defence during storm 529 events. However, little attention has been given to the influence (and response) of 530 intertidal fine grained sediments during storm events. This study provides an insight 531 into the sedimentary processes during storm events, through analysis of changes in 532 bed elevation and concentration of suspended sediment. Further consideration is 533 required of site design, including the use of areas of lower elevation and drainage 534 networks, to maximise the defence provided by these environments. These findings 535 need to be contextualised with baseline measurements of the sedimentary 536 processes during the evolution of these sites from a terrestrial to intertidal system. 537 Further long-term data of multiple storm events will allow for consideration of the 538 impact storms have on the cycling of sediment, changes in bed elevation, and the 539 wider sustainability of creating new areas of intertidal mudflat as a method of coastal 540 flood defence. This is of particular importance in response to projected increases in 541 the magnitude and frequency of storm events resulting from climate change (Stocker 542 et al., 2013).

#### 544 6 Conclusion

545

This paper aimed to evaluate the sources of sediment, mechanisms of sediment 546 547 distribution and influence of storm events in a large open coast MR site. Results 548 demonstrate that, under ambient conditions, suspended sediment is cycled around, 549 and largely remains within, the Medmerry site. Measurements of the SSC indicate 550 that approximately equal concentrations of suspended sediment are imported to the 551 site from, and exported to, the wider coastal environment. The impact of this 552 sediment movement on the wider coastal system around the Manhood Peninsula, 553 particularly to the west in the direction of littoral drift, remains unclear and requires 554 further investigation. Increases in the SSC during the ebb tide at the breach, 555 providing evidence of gross sediment export from the site, were only measured 556 during repeated periods of high SSC. Dale et al. (2017) found that high levels of 557 suspended sediment matched periods of lower salinity, suggesting freshwater input 558 and runoff as possible driving forces. This study provides an improved understanding 559 of the sources, both internally and externally, and the movement of suspended 560 sediment at the Medmerry site. Measurements of the SSC in comparison to 561 freshwater input and local rainfall measurements indicate that following larger rainfall 562 events (1-2 mm / hour), which take several (2-3) tidal cycles to drain through the site, 563 sediment is exported from the site. In addition, peaks in SSC have been measured 564 corresponding with lower intensity rainfall events, especially during periods when the 565 intertidal mudflats have been exposed.

566

567 MR sites such as Medmerry are designed to restore and compensate for intertidal 568 habitat loss and to improve coastal flood defence. Analysis of the hydrodynamics 569 and patterns of sedimentation during three storm events indicate that, during these 570 events, bed elevation in the excavated channel at Site 3 increased and higher SSCs 571 were measured across the MR site. Despite these variations, bed elevation 572 decreased to a level similar to the elevation before the storm. Further consideration 573 of the response and resilience of MR sites to storm events is required, in addition to 574 analysis of the hydrodynamics and the wider functioning of the ETDC cycle as a 575 result of different rates and quantities of freshwater and marine inputs. This includes

576 analysis of the threshold required for the erosion of exposed intertidal sediment, 577 which requires analysis of multiple storm and intense rainfall events, consideration of 578 tidal variability (extent of exposure) and the characteristics of the rainfall event itself. 579 It remains uncertain whether suspended sediment has been eroded from the wider 580 catchment and transported through the site, or whether it is derived from an internal 581 source within the Medmerry site. Further research is required to investigate these 582 sources, here and at similar sites, which can then be used to advance the quality 583 and reliability of numerical models and the design of MR sites, maximising the level 584 of coastal flood defence and wider ecosystem services they provide.

585

## 586 Acknowledgements

587

588 The authors would like to thank; Magda Grove and Matt Leake (both University of 589 Brighton) for their assistance with fieldwork; Dave Harker (University of Brighton) for 590 his technical support; Peter Hughes (RSPB); and Callum Firth for his guidance 591 during JD's studentship. Financial support was provided by the Environment Agency 592 (UK) for JD's studentship. Insightful and constructive reviews were provided by four 593 anonymous reviewers.

## 594 **References**

- 596 Baily, B., Pearson, A.W., 2007. Change detection mapping and analysis of salt
- 597 marsh areas of central southern England from Hurst Castle Spit to Pagham Harbour.
  598 J Coastal Res 23, 1549-+.
- 599 Barbier, E.B., Hacker, S.D., Kennedy, C., Koch, E.W., Stier, A.C., Silliman, B.R.,
- 600 2011. The value of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services. Ecological 601 Monographs 81, 169-193.
- 601 Monographs 81, 169-193.
- Bone, A.E., 1996. The shaping of the Selsey coastline: a review of the
- 603 geomorphology, archaeology and history. Tertiary Research 16, 5-14.
- Burgess, H., Kilkie, P., Callaway, T., 2016. Understanding the Physical Processes
- 605 Occurring Within a New Coastal Managed Realignment Site, Medmerry, Sussex,
- 606 UK, Coastal Management, pp. 263-272.
- 607 Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., deGroot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg,
- 608 K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., van den Belt, M.,
- 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. Nature 387,253-260.
- 611 Cundy, A.B., Lafite, R., Taylor, J.A., Hopkinson, L., Deloffre, J., Charman, R., Gilpin,
- 612 M., Spencer, K.L., Carey, P.J., Heppell, C.M., Ouddane, B., De Wever, S., Tuckett,
- A., 2007. Sediment transfer and accumulation in two contrasting salt marsh/mudflat
- 614 systems: the Seine estuary (France) and the Medway estuary (UK). Hydrobiologia
- 615 588, 125-134.
- Dale, J., Burgess, H.M., Cundy, A.B., 2017. Sedimentation rhythms and
- 617 hydrodynamics in two engineered environments in an open coast managed
- realignment site. Mar. Geol 383, 120-131.
- 619 Deloffre, J., Lafite, R., Lesueur, P., Lesourd, S., Verney, R., Guézennec, L., 2005.
- 620 Sedimentary processes on an intertidal mudflat in the upper macrotidal Seine 621 estuary, France. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci 64, 710-720.
- Doody, J.P., 2004. 'Coastal squeeze' an historical perspective. Journal of Coastal
  Conservation 10, 129-138.
- 624 Dzwonkowski, B., Wong, K.C., Ullman, W.J., 2014. Water Level and Velocity
- 625 Characteristics of a Salt Marsh Channel in the Murderkill Estuary, Delaware. J 626 Coastal Res 30, 63-74.
- 627 Elliott, M., Mander, L., Mazik, K., Simenstad, C., Valesini, F., Whitfield, A., Wolanski,
- 628 E., 2016. Ecoengineering with Ecohydrology: Successes and failures in estuarine
- 629 restoration. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci 176, 12-35.
- Environment Agency, 2007. Pagham to East Head Coastal Defence Strategy,Worthing.
- Environment Agency, 2015. Medmerry Managed Realignment March 2015 report,Bristol.
- 634 Esteves, L.S., 2013. Is managed realignment a sustainable long-term coastal
- 635 management approach? J Coastal Res Special Issue 65, 933-938.
- 636 Fettweis, M., Sas, M., Monbaliu, J., 1998. Seasonal, neap-spring and tidal variation
- 637 of cohesive sediment concentration in the Scheldt Estuary, Belgium. Estuar. Coast.
- 638 Shelf Sci 47, 21-36.
- 639 Foster, N.M., Hudson, M.D., Bray, S., Nicholls, R.J., 2014. Research, policy and
- 640 practice for the conservation and sustainable use of intertidal mudflats and

- saltmarshes in the Solent from 1800 to 2016. Environmental Science & Policy 38,59-71.
- 643 French, P.W., 2006. Managed realignment The developing story of a comparatively 644 new approach to soft engineering. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci 67, 409-423.
- 645 Garbutt, A., Wolters, M., 2008. The natural regeneration of salt marsh on formerly 646 reclaimed land. Applied Vegetation Science 11, 335-344.
- 647 Jestin, H., Bassoullet, P., Le Hir, P., L'Yavanc, J., Degres, Y., leee, I., 1998.
- 648 Development of ALTUS, a high frequency acoustic submersible recording altimeter
- to accurately monitor bed elevation and quantify deposition or erosion of sediments,
- Oceans'98 Conference Proceedings, Vols 1-3. IEEE, New York, pp. 189-194.
- King, S.E., Lester, J.N., 1995. The value of salt-marsh as a sea defense. MarinePollution Bulletin 30, 180-189.
- 653 Kirwan, M.L., Murray, A.B., 2007. A coupled geomorphic and ecological model of
- tidal marsh evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of theUnited States of America 104, 6118-6122.
- 656 Krawiec, K., 2017. Medmerry, West Sussex, UK: Coastal Evolution from the
- Neolithic to the Medieval Period and Community Resilience to Environmental
  Change. The Historic Environment: Policy & Practice 8, 101-112.
- 659 Mazik, K., Musk, W., Dawes, O., Solyanko, K., Brown, S., Mander, L., Elliott, M.,
- 660 2010. Managed realignment as compensation for the loss of intertidal mudflat: A
- short term solution to a long term problem? Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci 90, 11-20.
- Mitchell, S.B., Burgess, H.M., Pope, D.J., 2006. Stratification and fine sediment
- 663 transport mechanisms in a semi-enclosed tidal lagoon (Pagham Harbour, West 664 Sussex). Water and Environment Journal 20, 248-255.
- 665 Mitchell, S.B., Burgess, H.M., Pope, D.J., Theodoridou, A., 2008. Field studies of 666 velocity, salinity and suspended solids concentration in a shallow tidal channel near 667 tidal flap gates. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci 78, 385-395.
- 668 Mitsch, W.J., Gosselink, J.G., 2000. Wetlands. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- 669 Moller, I., 2006. Quantifying saltmarsh vegetation and its effect on wave height 670 dissipation: Results from a UK East coast saltmarsh. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci 69
- dissipation: Results from a UK East coast saltmarsh. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci 69,
  337-351.
- Moller, I., Kudella, M., Rupprecht, F., Spencer, T., Paul, M., van Wesenbeeck, B.K.,
- Wolters, G., Jensen, K., Bouma, T.J., Miranda-Lange, M., Schimmels, S., 2014.
- Wave attenuation over coastal salt marshes under storm surge conditions. Nat.Geosci 7, 727-731.
- 676 Mossman, H.L., Davy, A.J., Grant, A., 2012. Does managed coastal realignment
- 677 create saltmarshes with 'equivalent biological characteristics' to natural reference 678 sites? Journal of Applied Ecology 49, 1446-1456.
- 679 Nardin, W., Edmonds, D.A., 2014. Optimum vegetation height and density for 680 inorganic sedimentation in deltaic marshes. Nat. Geosci 7, 722-726.
- 681 Ouillon, S., 2018. Why and How Do We Study Sediment Transport? Focus on 682 Coastal Zones and Ongoing Methods. Water 10, 1-34.
- 683 Pethick, J., 1992. Saltmarsh Geomorphology, in: Pye, K., Allen, J.R.L. (Eds.),
- 684 Saltmarshes: morphodynamics, conservation and engineering significance.
- 685 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 41-62.
- 686 Rotman, R., Naylor, L., McDonnell, R., MacNiocaill, C., 2008. Sediment transport on
- the Freiston Shore managed realignment site: An investigation using environmental
- 688 magnetism. Geomorphology 100, 241-255.

- 689 Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M.M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J.,
- Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M., 2013. Climate Change 2013 The Physical
  Science Basis. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Tolhurst, T.J., Friend, P.L., Watts, C., Wakefield, R., Black, K.S., Paterson, D.M.,
- 693 2006. The effects of rain on the erosion threshold of intertidal cohesive sediments.
- 694 Aquatic Ecology 40, 533-541.