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Abstract—Fog computing has been emerged as a promising solution for accommodating the surge of mobile traffic and reducing latency, which are known as the inherent problem of cloud computing. The fog services including computation, storage, and networking are hosted in vicinity of end users (edge of network) and as a result a reliable access is provisioned to delay-sensitive mobile applications. However, in some cases, the fog computing capacity is overwhelmed by the growing number of demands from patrons particularly in peak hours and this can subsequently results in acute performance degradation. In this paper, we address this problem by proposing a new concept as Fog Vehicle Computing (FVC) to augment the computation and storage power of fog computing. We also design a comprehensive architecture for the FVC and present a number of salient applications. The result of implementation clearly shows the effectiveness of the proposed architecture. Eventually, some open issues and future direction are pointed out for the sake of future research in the context of FVC.


1 INTRODUCTION

The emergence of Internet of Things (IoT) phenomenon is revolutionizing the era of computing. IoT refers to a large network of interconnected mobile intelligent objects (called things), distributed over a geographic region and tend to carry out various tasks such as monitoring, actuating, sensing, and measuring. The term things are everyday physical devices such as refrigerator, vehicles, biochip transponders, industrial machine, medical instruments and traffic lights. Each object has a unique identifier, connected to the Internet, gathers data and interacts with other devices in the network. The appealing concept of IoT offers a multitude of remarkable advantageous and opportunities, which has not been made possible before. A wide range of innovative applications such as healthcare, transportation, aviation, social networking, and traffic control can be established based on the IoT concepts. The IoT can be useful in real time process tracking, better remote control over systems, real time analytics and decision making [1], [2].

However, during their course of operation, billions of connected objects collect and exchange sizable
amounts of data and this result in significant growth in network traffic. The velocity by which data is generated often far more than the communication speed of these objects. Since the mobile objects are typically constrained with limited network connectivity, one of the primary challenges in IOT is to deliver a reliable service for latency-sensitive applications [3], [4].

Even though the conventional cloud computing paradigm is known as robust solution for delivering the diverse range of service to the mobile applications, in practice, the long network distance between mobile devices and remote data centers hinder provision of real time service to the application with high delay sensitivity. Examples of these applications are video streaming, online gaming and augmented reality [5], [6].

To address the aforementioned problem, Cisco coined the fog computing model as a complementary paradigm to the conventional cloud computing model. The services on the fog are hosted on the set-top-box access points in vicinity of end users. This is to eliminate the dispensable network hops and therefore, minimizing the response time for mobile applications. In addition, the fog computing can alleviate the traffic congestion in the Internet back bone since the huge amount of traffic originated from end devices are locally handled by fog servers in vicinity of these devices. As analogues to the cloud computing, the services on fog are compute, network and storage [3].

In a certain scenarios, the fog resource capacity is severely swamped by immense number of demands from smart objects. For instance, in a multi-story mega shopping center, particularly during peak hours, thousands of patrons can use the provided fog services such as video streaming and video gaming. The excess number of connected clients incurs high computation overhead and acute bandwidth congestion, affects the fog service reliability. This circumstance also deter the mobile applications performance, which is contradictory to the aim of fog paradigm [7], [8]. On the other hand, a huge pool of smart vehicles is often left unexploited in the parking lot of shopping centers for extended period of time. These vehicles can be envisioned as auxiliary computing resource and can serve myriad of users [9].

In this paper, we propose an innovative approach termed Fog Vehicle Computing (FVC) to enhance the scalability of a fog computing infrastructure. FVC takes advantage of a dynamic group of vehicles to boost the computational power and decrease the latency of fog computing. The idea of FVC offers striking advantages for both fog service provider and the vehicle owners. Fog service provider is relieved from the substantial upfront expenditure to scale up the fog infrastructure. On the other hand, vehicle owners are entitled to receive variety of attractive incentives such as free parking, free Wi-Fi or free shopping voucher in exchange for providing their vehicle computing resource to fog provider.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows: (1) We present a new concept as a FVC to overcome the existing issues of the fog computing; (2) We present the first architecture for the FVC and explain its components in details; (3) We implement the proposed architecture and compare it with fog computing to prove its effectiveness.

2 Fog Vehicle Computing (FVC)

Fog is a cutting-edge paradigm of computing, which extends the conventional cloud computing to the edge of network. In the fog model, the data processing and analytics take place in proximity of end devices where huge quantity of data is generated. Residing the services as close as possible to end devices eliminates the unnecessary network hops and expedites mass data transmission originated from IOT gadgets. From the security perspective, the fog strategy mitigates the risk of data exposure to adversaries through undue large distance between end devices and cloud data centers and thus helps protecting the secrecy of sensitive data. Deploying fog architecture provides a more reliable service to the end users. In particular, the fog model is imperative for assorted type of services, which are characterized by latency sensitivity. In general, the fog computing model is characterized by low latency, geographical distribution of devices, mobility, and large number of nodes [10].

Although the fog computing environment has been designed to provide reliable service to the real time applications, in certain scenarios, the fog experiences the excessive number of demands, which are often far beyond its capacity and these consequently arise sporadic performance issues. For example, in a large multi-story shopping mall where a large number of patrons is hosted in a daily basis, a fog service is established to provide a variety of services to customers and visitors while they are spending time there. Instances of these services are online gaming, video streaming, and shopping information. During weekends, public holidays and specifically in peak hours, thousands of customers are roaming within the shopping mall and using the provided service. However, the limited computation resource in fog inhibits.

The simplest approach to overcome this problem is to scale up the computing infrastructure in order to accommodate extra demands. However, applying such a policy is subject to the substantial amount of upfront expenditure. Moreover, since the demands are not constant over time, the over provisioned computing resources may be useless during off-peak periods.
On the other hand, present and future vehicles are well equipped with powerful on-board computers for the sake of safer, convenient, and pleasant driving experience. The on-board computers come with notable capabilities such as GPS, Camera, bandwidth, data recorder, sensors and actuators. Aside from managing the vehicle functionality, these computers also help in better navigation.

A pool of smart vehicles parked in shopping mall parking area for a long period of time form a tremendous computing power, which remains unexploited in normal condition. This large array of intelligent vehicles can be envisaged as supplementary computing, storage and networking resources. It can also be leveraged to serve the enormous number of demands, which is impossible to regularly handled by fog resources [11]. The vehicles are presumed to be plugged into the electricity and network outlet, whilst they are parked. Furthermore, the owners of vehicles are offered a variety of attractive incentives such as free parking, shopping voucher, or free Wi-Fi in return for lending the computing resources of vehicles to the fog service provider. To avoid any congestion in whole parking area, merely a certain zone is dedicated to the vehicular cloud. The maximum capacity of FVC zone is determined according to the predicted need of the computational resources. A further analysis and modeling is required to predict the actual number of vehicles, which are parked in the parking zone at any specific time. It is worth mentioning that due to dynamic nature of vehicular cloud, resorting to computing resource of vehicles does not entail to over provisioning issue in contrast to regular fog case. This is because the number of patrons and consequently demands are intuitively commensurate to the number of parked vehicles. When the more demands are received from users, the more vehicles are also available to serve. Moreover, we pretend a transparent and seamless picture of fog services to typical users of a fog in shopping centers. In other words, the users are not aware whether their computational tasks are processed by fog own infrastructure or delegated to the supplementary vehicular cloud. Figure 1 depicts an infrastructure of FVC to support IoT applications.

Table 1 shows the importance of the proposed architecture by comparing the Fog, FVC, and VCC based on the following attributes: (1) Computing Power: indicates two systems have the identical computing power if they can run the execute the same program and produce the same results at the same time; (2) Life Time: refers to the duration that all components of the system are working properly; (3) Decision Making: is the main part of the system that decides about the system reaction against different situations, for example, how to address the received tasks by assigning the available resources; (4) Implementation Cost: indicates the total cost for implementing the whole system; (5) Storage Capacity: indicates the existing resources for storing data temporary or for a long time; and (6) Latency: is a time delay between assigning tasks and receiving the result.

3 Use Case: A Smart Modern Shopping Center (SMSC)

This use case refers to a fog computing service deployed in a large shopping center. The service is established on the basis of underlying network switches and set-top-box along with an array of parked vehicles as a supplementary computing resource to serve the myriad of customers inside the shopping mall.

SMSC deals with the group of smart vehicles, each of which is endowed with a processing unit, memory, storage, and network connection. The objectives for SMSC are as follows:

- To provide a reliable service for fog users particularly with regards to latency sensitive applications such as video streaming.
- Online gaming: As an entertainment service by which customers can enjoy playing multiplayer online games.
- For safety purposes such as emergency evacuation in case of fire or any other possible disaster. For example, such a system can be used during an emergency situations to guide the people who are trapped in the shopping mall towards...
TABLE 1
Comparison among FVC, Fog, and VCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th>Fog</th>
<th>VCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computing Power</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Time</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Making</td>
<td>Distributed and local</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation Cost</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Capacity</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>Moderate (depends on task)</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the shortest and safest escape route by using their mobile devices.

- Locating the exact position of the vehicle in a vast parking area. The drivers are given a hassle-free option to pinpoint the position of their car. Furthermore, a clear passage to reach the vehicle is visually shown to the driver.

- Shopping information. A diverse range of shopping information is delivered to the patrons in a timely fashion. This information can be very simple such as locating a store, which sells a specific type of product such as apparel, dining, or entertainment. Aside from this, the customers are able to access many other applications: the latest promotional of different stores, comparison the price of goods to prepare the shopping-list, and direct contact to the customer services.

- Camera Surveillance systems: Installed cameras within a shopping center as public domain play a crucial role in preserving the security and safety. In this case, fog computing can provide a copious amount of resource to archive and process the collected footage. Specifically, when it is necessary to carry out an automated real-time video stream analysis including face detection, object counting, object tracking, and vehicle recognition, the FVC capabilities can be leveraged to cope with the performance issues.

4 FVC ARCHITECTURE

Scarborough Research and Arbitron conducted a survey about teen mall shoppers, which reveals 68% of teens spend more than two hours at the malls while 95% of shoppers spend 1 hour at such malls [12]. Therefore, a plenty number of customers visit the malls every day while their cars are parked in the parking. This section presents a novel architecture for FVC based on the parked vehicle resources in shopping centers and describes its components in details.

Figure 2 shows the layered design of the FVC software framework and architectural components. The FVC architecture consists of three main layers: application and services, policy management, and abstraction layer.

4.1 Application & Services Layer

The first layer of FVC architecture, namely application and services layer, provides a variety of real-time applications for the end users based on the collected data by the deployed sensors in the inertial navigation system (INS), parking environment, shopping center building, and inside vehicles (i.e. environmental, health, activity, and vehicle recognition). This layer also offers several new services to the end users, as follows:

- **Information as a Service (INaaS) and Entertainment as a Service (EnaaS):** These services are able to provide the useful information about events and emergency circumstances for customers of the shopping center who are connected to the FVC. The center can also offers various entertainments such as online games and commercial movies with the aim of increasing the welfare and safety of the customers.

- **Network as a Service (NaaS):** The customers who have the Internet connection can offer this facility to the other customers who do not have Internet connection, as long as they need it. This connection can be provided whether through the mobile devices or stationary infrastructure on the roads. There are many resources, which can be useful for the clients, especially in emergency situations. In this case, such essential resources can be accessible for
who are interested in, as long as they have net access. These resources advertise on the center when the owner agreed to do so.

c. **Storage as a Service (STaaS):** The concept of storage as a service (STaaS) refers to a situation in which the FVC has plenty of free on-board storage capability and in the meanwhile some clients need additional storage for different purposes, for example running the applications that require high storage resources, taking a temporary backup, or using for p2p applications over an extended period of time. Thus, the vehicles with additional storage capability will provide storage as a service.

d. **Computation as a Service (CaaS):** U.S. Department of Transportation (US DOT) recently released that most of the registered vehicles (around 256 million) in US are parked for several hours per day in parking lots, garages, and centers [13]. These vehicles have enormous computational resources that are unused and have the opportunity to be exploited in the VFC structure as a new service for the clients who want to augment the computation resources of their mobile devices to perform huge computational tasks.

### 4.2 Policy Management Layer

The policy management layer is known as a heart of the FVC system, which is responsible for managing the life-cycle of tasks by allocating the appropriate computation and storage resources to them. The policy management layer is also responsible to deal with the different basic issues such as monitoring system state dynamically. This layer consists of three sub-layers: policy, fog, and vehicular cloud, as follows:

#### 4.2.1 Policy Sub-layer

is a centralized layer of the FVC architecture that is interconnected with both fog and vehicular cloud sub-layers to assign the tasks and resources dynamically. In other words, all the clients’ services have to be checked by this sub-layer and delivered to the fog or vehicular sub-layers based on particular policies and their situations. The main components of the policy sub-layer are:

a. **Policy of Load Balancing:** refers to the threshold for a maximum number of vehicles, clients, CPU load, and connections. It can be seen that the number of required vehicles can be set on the basis of the number of users and submitted tasks to the FVC.

b. **Policy of Quality of Services (QoS):** is used to set the criteria related to computing, network, and storage such as rate of delay, computation cost, and communication cost.

c. **Policy of Configuration:** refers to the necessary configuration for different devices and services, which are supported or presented by the FVC.

d. **Policy Repository:** is a database for storing the set of policies and rules that are used by the decision manager entity for handling security, performance, and network requirements during decision making step.

e. **Security and Privacy:** is responsible for providing a secure environment for users by using different techniques including determining the users’ access control to requested applications and services, enhancing privacy and data isolation, and preserving the confidentiality and integrity of the outsourced data.

---

Fig. 2. The general architecture of FVC.
f. **Service Database**: presents the list of active services which are provided by fog or vehicular nodes.

g. **Decision Manager**: as a main component of the policy manager layer is in charge of making decision with regarding to collected data form other components. The decision manager component consists of two parties:

- **FVC-Service finder**: this module analyses the set of active services in the service database to identify the proper one that can satisfy the clients’ request.
- **FVC-Task manager**: when a task is received by this module, the FVC-Task manager decides to assign the task to fog or vehicular section based on predicted time to perform the task in each of sections. In the next section, we explain more about the decision making process in this component.

### 4.2.2 Fog sub-layer

is the second sub-layer of policy management and located within the vicinity of the clients. This sub-layer is able to serve a limited number of user needs based on its resources. The main components of Fog sub layer are as follows:

- **Fog-Capability Database**: a repository consists of unassigned fog nodes and their capabilities to perform the different services.
- **Fog-Task Scheduler**: checks the list of unassigned fog nods to find the appropriate nodes, which can satisfy the requested service from the available nodes in the fog clusters.
- **Fog-Service Manager**: is in charge of updating the list of available fog nodes or clusters upon when a task is assigned to them. The fog task scheduler also needs to check the policy repository to identify network configuration and service policies before assigning the requested services to the unallocated fog nodes.

### 4.2.3 Vehicular Cloud Sub-layer

is the last sub-layer of the policy management layer architecture, which contains a plenty of resources to augment the fog sub-layer by supporting the services that requires huge computation. This sub-layer consists of the following components:

- **Vehicular-Capability Database**: this repository stores a list of existing vehicular clusters and their capabilities.
- **Vehicular-Task Scheduler**: is responsible for assigning the computational task to the available clusters or vehicles in a cluster.
- **Vehicular-Resource Manager**: is responsible for identifying and managing any modification of vehicular resources dynamically. The vehicular-resource manager also requires finding the network configuration from policy repository and updating the service database.

### 4.3 Abstraction Layer

The abstraction layer is responsible for concealing the heterogeneous platform of FVC and revealing a monotonic interface for monitoring, provisioning, and managing the physical resources, such as memory, CPU, and network. This layer can also be used to control different operating systems, hypervisors, and services on physical machines. Moreover, the abstraction layer has adequate capabilities to carry out the visualization technique for supporting multi-tenancy, and to execute multiple operating systems and services on physical machines for cultivating resource utilization.

Protecting the security and privacy is a crucial role of the abstraction layer. There are different methodologies that can be used in this layer to ensure the data integrity, confidentiality, and resource isolation for diverse clients. Homomorphic secret sharing, zero knowledge proof, and attribute-based cryptography are some methods that can safeguard the confidentiality, integrity, and access control in the FVC architecture [14], [15].

### 5 Decision-making process in FVC

Decision-making process plays an important role in the FVC architecture. It determines how to fulfill the requested services efficiently based on the available resources, information about the demanded service, and its parameters. Figure 3 illustrates a general decision-making process in FVC. This process is carried out in the three different sections, as follows:

- **Decision manager**: is the main part of this process in the FVC architecture, which is responsible to compute the completion time and assign the task to the required sub-layer. Once a service request is received by the decision manager, the FVC service finder searches the list of active services in the service database to satisfy the client request. If the available services are unable to perform the task, the decision manager has to assign the task to the fog or VCC sub-layers. However, before delegating the task, the decision manager has to
calculate the completion time of the task in each sub-layer. To achieve this goal, the decision manager asks the VCC and Fog sub-layers to provide the computational complexity of the task execution. This complexity is presented by $aN^b$, where $a$ and $b$ are two constant integers, and $N$ indicates the problem size. Moreover, the fog resource manager and VCC resource manager have to frequently update the service database about their workloads, available services, and computation speeds by using mega floating-point operations per second (MFlop) from a simple benchmark. As a result, the decision manager determines the completion time of a service with a particular problem size in each of the sub-layers by estimating: (1) the computation cost of the task by employing the received information (last status of resources and the service complexity), and (2) the communication cost of sending and receiving data. In the following, we describe the task scheduling process in each of these sub-layers.

- **Fog sub-layer**: Fog computing has been introduced as a new paradigm to extend the traditional cloud computing to the edge of the network. Due to the decentralized architecture of fog computing, it is usually used for distributed applications with the aim of decreasing the latency. To achieve this goal in the proposed architecture, the decision manager delegates the task to fog sub-layer when its completion time is less than VCC sub-layer. This type of tasks often requires less computation cost and is not very complex. Upon offloading the task by the fog sub-layer, the task scheduler component of fog, which is responsible for allocating tasks to the fog clusters, searches the nodes and capabilities database to identify a list of unassigned nodes, which can satisfy the task. The task scheduler transfers the list of nodes to the resource manager component to provision the task on the fog. In addition to updating the list of fog nodes and capabilities, the resource manager has to assign the task to the nearest nodes to fulfill the low latency object. However, selecting the closest nodes is not always optimal from the point of view of the FVC provider, because of the unbalanced resource utilization issue due to overloading of some nodes. Moreover, the fog layer may include the variety of nodes with heterogeneous hardware specifications and energy prices, which incurs different computation cost. As a result, it requires to investigate the task scheduling optimization for fog computing to obtain the most efficient result, which is out of the scope of this paper.

- **VCC sub-layer**: Nowadays, a huge number of
parked vehicles are geo-distributed in different indoor or outdoor parking areas, such as streets parking, shopping malls parking, offices parking, and shopping mall parking. The collaboration of these parked vehicles provides plenty of idle computational resources that can be used by the FVC architecture to deal with complex tasks that necessitates large computational competence. The first component of VCC sub-layer is the task scheduler that is responsible for allocating the tasks to individual clusters or even to individual vehicles. After assigning the task to VCC sub-layer due to better completion time, the vehicular task scheduler can seek the vehicular nodes and capabilities database to identify a list of available resources and allocate the task. However, this database always needs to be updated by the resource manager because the VCC has a dynamic nature in terms of computational resources. In other words, the preparation of the list of available resources depends on predicting the availability of vehicles as computational resources in the parking lots. One of the main components of VCC sub-layer is resource manager, which is responsible for allocating the physical resources. Moreover, the resource manager has to control the clusters by: (1) following the available resources, (2) identifying the new resources by arriving new vehicles, (3) migrating tasks from a vehicle before leaving the parking, and (4) performing load balance between the existing clusters. The resource manager should also update the fog services database upon allocating or releasing the resources.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topology</td>
<td>LAN, fully connected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tasks</td>
<td>[1-5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of VCC nodes</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fog nodes</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>1024 Mbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We also defined a task to compare the performance of fog and FVC in which each user is able to select a file with size of 10 mb, and ask the server to compute the algebraic signature of this file, which consist of the following steps: (1) dividing the file into 12500 blocks, (2) computing the algebraic signature of each block with length 256 b, and (3) integrating the signatures to generate the signature of the file. It is important to mention that The Algebraic signature is computed along with the groundwork of defining multiplication by using the Galois theorem $GF(2^n)$ as a polynomial multiplication modulo, where $g$ can be 16 bit (half-word) or 32 bit word [15].

We evaluated the performance of the fog and FVC on the basis of the following metrics: (1) computation cost: indicts the required time to compute the algebraic signature of a file, including file division, signature generation, and signature integration steps; (2) Communication cost of fog sub-layer: indicates the amount of data that transferred between decision manager and fog sub-layer; and (3) Communication cost of VCC sub-layer: indicates the amount of data that transferred between decision manager and VCC sub-layer.

In the first scenario, we checked the effectiveness of the proposed architecture by considering a scenario in which a number of visitors of a shopping center are using the existing fog or FVC of the center for performing their tasks (e.g., generating the algebraic signature of a file). Figure 4 clearly shows that by increasing the number of tasks, the required time to perform the computation in the FVC is less than the required time in the fog computing. This is because the FVC is able to delegate the task two unused computational resources of parked vehicles in the shopping center as a VCC sub-layer to decrease the latency and perform more tasks in comparison with the fog computing.

In the next scenario, we checked the dataflow in the FVC architecture based on the communication cost of fog and VCC sub-layers, which has a direct effect on the task completion time. Figure 5 depicts that by
increasing the number of tasks, the communication cost of VCC sub-layer is rising more than the communication cost of fog sub-layer. This is because the decision manager assigned most of the tasks to the VCC sub-layer due to better completion time.

7 Conclusion and Future Work

Fog computing has been emerged as a new computation paradigm in which the computational resources have been deployed along the edge of the network with the aim of reducing the latency. In accordance with the resource restriction of fog computing, a limited number of clients are able to use the fog computing simultaneously. To alleviate this problem, we present a fog vehicular computing (FVC) as a new concept in which plenty of unused resources of vehicles can be leveraged to augment the fog computing resources. We also depict a cross layer architecture for FVC and elucidate its constitutive components along with their role in the construction of FVC. We explain a decision-making process as a most important procedure of this architecture and show how the different types of services are distributed among vehicle of fog nodes. As a future direction, we plan to implement this architecture in the real environment and compare with the state-of-the-art methods to show its efficiency.

Task scheduling is one of the most important requirements to improve the efficiency of FVC. The main issue to provide an applicable task Schelling is considering the role of decision manager and its interconnection with Fog sub-layer and VCC-sublayer, which make it a complex problem as a future work.

Another direction of this work is to focus on security and privacy as a main concern of users, especially when a huge number of computation task will be delegated to the FVC, and several users share the same set of resources in fog and vehicle nodes. Presenting a secure data access control for FVC by using attribute-based data encryption and proxy re-encryption has to be considered as a future work.
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