The Effect of Using Basic Oxygen Slag with By-Product and Non-Hazard Waste Materials to Produce Paving Blocks

Jalull, G. & Ganjian, E.

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

Original citation & hyperlink:

Jalull, G & Ganjian, E 2019, The Effect of Using Basic Oxygen Slag with By-Product and Non-Hazard Waste Materials to Produce Paving Blocks. in M Limbachiya , P Claisse , M Bagheri & E Ganjian (eds), Fifth International Conference on Sustainable Construction Materials and Technologies (SCMT5). vol. 1, International Committee of the SCMT conferences, pp. 441-454, Fifth International Conference on Sustainable Construction Materials and Technologies , Kingston upon Thames, United Kingdom, 14/07/19.

ISSN 2515-3048 ESSN 2515-3056 ISBN 978-1078314435

Publisher: International Committee of the SCMT conferences

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

The Effect of Using Basic Oxygen Slag with By-Product and Non-Hazard Waste Materials to Produce Paving Blocks

Ghassan Jalull¹ and Eshmaiel Ganjian²

¹Part time visiting research fellow in School of Energy, Construction and Environment, Faculty of Engineering, Environment & Computing, Coventry University, Coventry, and Lecturer in Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Az Zawiyah University, Az Zawiyah, Libya.< ghassan131973@yahoo.com>

² Professor of Civil Engineering Materials, Built & Natural Environment Research Centre, School of Energy, Construction and Environment, Coventry University, Coventry, CV1 2JH. <E.ganjian@Coventry.ac.uk>

ABSTRACT

The production of Portland cement has significant adverse effects on the environment due to the emission of carbon dioxide. Therefore reduction of Portland cement content will benefit the carbon footprint of concrete products.

The use of waste and by-product materials, such as basic oxygen slag (BOS), ground granulated blast furnance (GGBS), run-of-station ash (ROSA), plasterboard gypsum (PG) and cement by-pass dust (BPD) for the production of paving blocks is investigated. The combinations of binary and ternary blends in different mixes are considered. The split tensile strength of paving blocks specimens, verified that a cementitious mix containing BOS up to 70%, ROSA up to 35%, GGBS up to 40%, BPD up to 10% and PG up to 5% by weight can replace Portland cement by 80% without having any substantial impact on the strength of the paving blocks produced in accordance with BS EN 1338:2003.

Keywords: Paving blocks, basic oxygen slag, waste minerals, split tensile strength.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pre-cast paving blocks manufactured using cementitious paste are widely used for various purposes including landscaping with blocks of different shapes and sizes. Paving blocks offer a hard surface upon which it is easy to walk on but they also have a long life when in use. This means that they can be useful for heavy duty purposes, such as in car parks, bus terminals and at bus stops as well as in petrol stations, on roundabouts, in industrial estates and for other uses. It is their capacity to cope with substantial loads as well as offering resistance to those forces

that might otherwise shear off or damage the surface that makes them useful across such a wide area.

Beaty and Raymond, (1995) claim that using concrete paving blocks provides many advantages, such as ease of maintenance and repair and the provision of easy access to underground utilities. They are also available in colours and shapes that are both attractive and functional and these qualities account for the wide use given to them by contractors and engineers.

Almost all relevant civil engineering applications make use of Portland cement as an essential material and in 2009 it was estimated that the annual production of cement worldwide exceeded 3 billion tonnes with this figure increasing further during 2010 (Oss, 2003). In order to manufacture paving blocks, it is usual for a minimum of 210 kg of cement per m³ to be used. However, when Portland cement is produced it impacts negatively on the environment to a significant extent due to the carbon dioxide emissions (Ganjian et al. 2015). Cement production accounts for roughly 8 % of global CO₂ emissions (Olivier et al.2012).

According to Turanli et al. (2004) energy plays a very important part in the manufacture of Portland cement, "Portland cement manufacturing is an energy-intensive process in which approximately 4 GJ of energy/tonne, mostly obtained from the burning of fossil fuels, is consumed".

However, reduction of waste from industrial processes has become more complex and costly. On the other hand, there are stringent laws relating to the environment and a limit has been put on sites where waste can be disposed of. Therefore, government policies in all regions of the world are used to pro-actively promote the use of non-hazardous waste and products through construction regulations.

Nowadays, mineral additives are attracting a great deal of attention as materials that contribute to the improvement of specific properties of concrete, as well as decreasing the carbon dioxide emissions and energy generated in making the cement.

Since 1970 researchers have been engaged in attempts to partially replace Portland cement with other suitable materials. Some pozzolans, limestone and metakaolin are possible materials which occur naturally; others such as fly ash and steel slag are produced by various metallurgy processes, with silica and other materials being the by-products of various industries (Limbachiya et al. 2006). If it is possible to make use of existing waste materials, this would

lead to the environmental impact being largely reduced as well as natural raw materials being preserved. This will mean that the overall energy required for the production of a cementitious material will be reduced, thus reducing the carbon dioxide emissions (Ganjian and Sadeghi-Pouya, 2009).

This research aims to explore the possibility of using a mixture of different waste pozzolans to make paving blocks, and to reduce the percentage of Portland cement in the mixture. Moreover, this should make possible a reduction in the waste materials in order to reduce their effect on the environment.

2. MATERIALS USED IN THIS RESEARCH

2.1 Basic oxygen slag (BOS)

Basic oxygen slag otherwise known as steel slag dust is a by-product generated during the conversion of iron into steel. During the current production of steel it is inevitable that basic oxygen steel slag will be produced (Ganjian et al. 2015). with nearly 150kg resulting from the manufacture of each tonne of steel. In 2006, the United States had an iron and steel slag output of approximately 21.5 million tonnes, with 40% being classified as steel slag (Oss, 2003). Where possible the use of basic oxygen steel slag is favoured in US production, not only because this prevents unpleasant slag accumulates being produced but also because it means there is less need for finite primary materials to be used. The basic oxygen slag for this research was obtained from the Tata Steel plant at Scunthorpe

2.2 Grand Granulated Blast-furnance slag (GGBS)

Ground granulated blast-furnace slag 'GGBS' is a cement substitute, manufactured from a byproduct of the iron-making industry. GGBS essentially consists of silicates and alumina silicates of calcium and other bases that are developed in a molten condition simultaneously with iron in a blast-furnace. The chemical composition of oxides in GGBS is similar to that of Portland cement but the proportion varies (Dubey et al.2012). Using GGBS with Concrete has many advantages, including improved durability, workability and economic benefits (American Concrete Institute, 2003).

The Ground Granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS) was obtained from Civil and Marine, a part of Hanson UK. The material complies with BS EN 15167-2:2006.

2.3 Run-of-station ash (ROSA)

For this research dry run-off-station ash has been obtained from Rugby Ash. In this case, the run-off-station ash is derived from a power station with an average particle size of 20 micron. Run-off-station ash is an unclassified fly ash collected from the chimney stacks of power stations. It is pozzolanic and reacts with calcium hydroxide and alkalis to form cementitious compounds, such as calcium silicate/aluminate hydrates.

2.4 Plasterboard gypsum (PG)

In this research the crushed plasterboard gypsum waste from demolition and construction sites was received from Lafarge plasterboard recycling plant in Bristol. Plasterboard used in the construction and repair of buildings as a facing for walls and ceiling, also it is used for forming certain structures, for instance barriers. "Plasterboard waste can arise on construction sites for a number of reasons, including wasteful design, off-cuts generated during installation, damaged boards, and over-ordering (Dunster, 2008). Crushed plasterboard gypsum waste from different sources, such as construction and demolition sites, was recycled and classified carefully to avoid some contaminants such as paper and glass.

The big pieces of paper and other contaminations were separated by using a series of sieves before the gypsum was crushed using a metal tamper. Plasterboard was then ground, sieved and conditioned to form a powder. The analysis of the particle size of the gypsum was made using a Malvern Mastersize 2000 laser analyser with an accuracy of \pm 1%. As a result, the particle size was found to be between 1 µm and 1 mm in diameter, and mostly >300 µm (Ganjian and Sadeghi-Pouya, 2009).

2.5 Cement by-Pass dust (BPD)

By-Pass Dust (BPD) is collected from the kiln bypass. The bypass is used to bleed off volatile materials that would otherwise re-circulate around the kiln and pre-heater system (condensing in cooler parts of the kiln causing blockages) or eventually end up in the cement clinker. The most important consideration for BPD is the temperature, BPD is taken out from part of the kiln where the temperature is about 1000° C. As a result, BPD contains more cement bound phases (Jalull et al. 2014). The BPD was provided in a powder form. However, the average size of fine particles is about $10 \,\mu$ m for the BPD, and the maximum particle size is 200 micron. BPD from a local cement works, Castle Cement (Heidelberg cement group in Rugby, UK) was obtained for this research.

2.6 Ordinary Portland cement (OPC):

The cement used for this research was CEM1 cement and it complied with the European standard BSEN-197 (2011).

3. EXPERIMENTAL WORK AND MIX PROPORTIONS

In this research the aim was to find out the best way to achieve good consistent test result for paving blocks made in the laboratory. A compression machine was used to fully compact the materials in a single layer with 150 kN of load.

A mould collar of 75 mm high was used to retain the material within the mould. Paving blocks made were 190 x100 mm cross section and either 75 mm thick, or 80 mm thick. Once cast the specimens were covered with a polythene sheet so that there would be no loss of water. On the next day all samples were de-moulded and then stored in curing chambers at a constant air temperature of 22 ± 2 degrees C and 98% relative humidity until they were to be tested.

BS EN 1338: 2003 was used to determine the split tensile strength of the paving blocks and the tensile force was applied along the longest side of the specimen block. Prior to the test the block specimen was located in the split tensile steel frame, using fibreboard strips on the top and bottom of the specimen to provide packing. Contact was made between the platens of the loading machine and the top and bottom of the steel plates of the testing frame, before the load was slowly applied at a rate of 0.05 ± 0.01 MPa/s until the point of failure. At this point the test was stopped and the specimen divided into two halves by tensile force. A record was made of the failure load and the split tensile strength was calculated in MPa according to BS EN 1338: 2003.

The standard requires a minimum split tensile strength of 3.6 MPa for paving blocks in order to be acceptable by the industry. Blocks were tested after 14 and 28 days.

The mix designed to be used in this research aimed to obtain the highest split tensile strength of binary and ternary mixtures. Five different groups of mixes were designed and tested and the split tensile strength on paving blocks specimens was determined. The water content for all groups of mixes was constant at 15 percent.

4. MIX DESIGNS FOR PASTE PAVING BLOCKS

The mix design of all paste made are shown in table 1. Five different groups of paste blocks were made.

Min anda	OPC	BOS	ROSA	GGBS	PG	BPD	
Mix code	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	W/C
OPC40/BOS30/GGBS30	40	35	-	35	-	-	0.15
OPC30/BOS30/GGBS40	30	30	-	40	-	-	0.15
OPC30/BOS40/GGBS30	30	40	-	30	-	-	0.15
OPC30/BOS35/GGBS35	30	35	-	35	-	-	0.15
OPC20/BOS40/GGBS40	20	40	-	40	-	-	0.15
OPC20/BOS50/GGBS30	20	50	-	30	-	-	0.15
OPC30/BOS65/PG5	30	65	-	-	5	-	0.15
OPC40/BOS55/PG5	40	55	-	-	5	-	0.15
OPC50/BOS45/PG5	50	45	-	-	5	-	0.15
OPC60/BOS35/PG5	60	35	-	-	5	-	0.15
OPC70/BOS25/PG5	70	25	-	-	5	-	0.15
OPC50/BOS47/PG3	50	47	-	-	3	-	0.15
OPC30/BOS35/ROSA35	30	35	35	-	-	-	0.15
OPC40/BOS30/ROSA30	40	30	30	-	-	-	0.15
OPC50/BOS25/ROSA25	50	25	25	-	-	-	0.15
OPC50/BOS30/ROSA20	50	30	20	-	-	-	0.15
OPC52/BOS18/ROSA30	52	18	30	-	-	-	0.15
OPC60/BOS20/ROSA20	60	20	20	-	-	-	0.15
OPC70/BOS15/ROSA15	70	15	15	-	-	-	0.15
OPC70/BOS30	70	30	-	-	-	-	0.15
OPC60/BOS40	60	40	-	-	-	-	0.15
OPC50/BOS50	50	50	-	-	-	-	0.15
OPC40/BOS60	40	60	-	-	-	-	0.15
OPC30/BOS70	30	70	-	-	-	-	0.15
OPC80/BOS10/BPD10	80	10	-	-	-	10	0.15
OPC70/BOS20/BPD10	70	20	-	-	-	10	0.15
OPC60/BOS33/BPD7	60	33	-	-	-	7	0.15
OPC50/BOS45/BPD5	50	45	-	-	-	5	0.15
OPC40/BOS55/BPD5	40	55	-	-	-	5	0.15

Table 1. Mixes of paste giving percentage by weight:

5. RESULTS

5.1 Chemical analysis of materials

Chemical analysis of the raw materials was carried out using XRF method.

These are shown in table 2.

Table 2. Chemical content of OPC, BOS, ROSA, PG and PBD used.

Sample	OPC (%)	BOS (%)	ROSA (%)	PG (%)	PBD (%)	GGBS (%)
SiO2	20.00	11.43	45.91	2.43	21.86	37.28
TiO2	-	0.39	1.41	0.03	0.29	0.58
Al2O3	6.00	1.60	26.51	0.81	3.85	10.79
Fe2O3	3.00	28.24	5.23	0.36	2.57	0.43
MnO	-	4.35	0.08	< 0.01	0.02	0.68
MgO	1.50	8.27	2.13	0.40	1.13	8.83
CaO	63.00	41.29	6.88	37.30	53.40	40.12
Na2O	1.00	0.02	0.61	0.03	0.41	0.27
K2O	1.00	0.02	1.35	0.24	3.64	0.37
P2O5	-	1.48	0.98	0.02	0.08	< 0.05
SO3	2.00	0.44	1.37	53.07	7.10	0.15
Lol	0.50	3.12	7.11	4.09	5.64	1.03

5.2 Chemical analysis of mixtures

Four sets of pastes were studied and the chemical analysis was determined using XRF method, the results are shown in table 3.

Table 3. Chemical analysis of the materials, carried out using XRF method

Sample oxides	OPC/ BOS / GGBS	OPC/ BOS/ PG	OPC/ BOS / ROSA	OPC/ BOS	OPC/ BOS / BPD
SiO2	23.66	16.39	20.91	15.54	14.70
TiO2	0.50	0.38	0.50	0.42	0.41
Al2O3	7.13	3.76	6.59	3.42	3.25
Fe2O3	6.32	5.81	5.46	10.36	11.20
MnO	1.00	0.57	0.35	1.29	1.44
MgO	5.08	2.00	2.09	3.37	3.69
CaO	45.27	54.73	49.07	50.65	48.76
Na2O	0.22	0.16	0.19	0.13	0.16
K2O	0.40	0.43	0.57	0.32	0.44
P2O5	0.32	0.29	0.33	0.53	0.57
SO3	1.35	4.15	2.16	1.67	1.43
Lol	8.49	10.40	11.41	11.56	13.24
Total	99.75	99.06	99.62	99.27	99.28

5.3 Density results

The average measured densities of paving blocks that were made are presented in table 4. It can be seen that the densities for different groups change significantly due to the different specific gravities of the ingredients in each mix. The density ranges between approximately 2000 to 2300 kg/m³ as expected.

Mix code	Density (Kg/m ³)	Mix code	Density (Kg/m ³)	
OPC40/BOS30/GGBS30	2191	OPC50/BOS30/ROSA20	2217	
OPC30/BOS30/GGBS40	2120	OPC52/BOS18/ROSA30	2052	
OPC30/BOS40/GGBS30	2238	OPC60/BOS20/ROSA20	2125	
OPC30/BOS35/GGBS35	2181	OPC70/BOS15/ROSA15	2133	
OPC20/BOS40/GGBS40	2177	OPC70/BOS30	2322	
OPC20/BOS50/GGBS30	2270	OPC60/BOS40	2338	
OPC30/BOS65/PG5	2395	OPC50/BOS50	2387	
OPC40/BOS55/PG5	2354	OPC40/BOS60	2370	
OPC50/BOS45/PG5	2346	OPC30/BOS70	2393	
OPC60/BOS35/PG5	2261	OPC80/BOS10/BPD10	2197	
OPC70/BOS25/PG5	2208	OPC70/BOS20/BPD10	2263	
OPC50/BOS47/PG3	2392	OPC60/BOS33/BPD7	2296	
OPC30/BOS35/ROSA35	2030	OPC50/BOS45/BPD5	2327	
OPC40/BOS30/ROSA30	2043		2366	
OPC50/BOS25/ROSA25	2084	0rC40/DOSJJ/DPDJ		

Table 4. Density results for all paste mixes.

5.4 Strength results and discussion

Five groups of binary and ternary mixtures were designed with different proportions as shown in figures 1 to 5.

The use of BOS with mixtures of OPC/BOS/GGBS, OPC/BOS/PG, OPC/BOS and OPC/BOS/BPD to produce paving blocks confirmed that up to 70 percent of cement replacement can be achieved and the result was higher than the minimum requirements and the reason is due to the pozzolanic properties of the BOS.

Furthermore, figure 1 shows that the use of up to 40% basic oxygen slag and up to 40% ground granulated blast furnance slag as a replacement for cement shows sufficient strength after 28 days in the split tensile strength, the results also confirmed that it is possible to reduce cement by up to 80%. Moreover, it can be seen that the maximum split tensile strength can be achieved by using 50% BOS, 30% GGBS, and 20% OPC at 28 days.

On other hand, using 5% plasterboard gypsum in combination with OPC/BOS/PG as a partial replacement of cement showed satisfactory results for use as paving blocks as shown in figure 2.

Dunsterr, (2008) showed that the addition of gypsum at quantities greater than 5% SO₃ (by weight of cement) to such cements (which contain calcium aluminate and calcium silicate hydrates) leads to a high risk of durability problems. This is because the excess sulfate reacts with the silicates and aluminates in the cement to form large amounts of expansive products,

such as ettringite. Therefore, a maximum PG content of 5 percent was used in this investigation. Furthermore, the results of ternary mix of OPC/BOS/ROSA paste are shown in figure 3 and the use of up to 35% basic oxygen slag and up to 35% run of station ash as a replacement for cement shows sufficient strength after 14 days in the split tensile strength according to the requirements. Moreover, it can be seen that the split tensile strength of 5.1 MPa is achieved by the optimum ternary mix 18% BOS, 30% ROSA, and 52% OPC at 28 days.

The results of binary BOS and OPC in Figure 4 show that 40% BOS and 60% OPC produces the highest strength. Moreover, it can be seen that the maximum split tensile strength can be achieved by using 55% BOS, 5% BPD, and 40% OPC at 14 days as shown in figure 5 and the results also confirmed that it is possible to reduce cement by up to 60%.

In general, BOS typically hydrates after mixing with Portland cement or other alkali materials, such as; BPD which provides a source of alkalinity with which the slag reacts to form cement hydration products. The excessive amount of alkali in the system has a detrimental effect on the hydration of alkali activated slag causing low strength and a delay in setting.



Figure 1. Split tensile strength (MPa) of blocks at 14 and 28 days



Figure 2. Split tensile strength (MPa) of blocks at 14 and 28 days



Figure 3. Split tensile strength (MPa) of blocks at 14 and 28 days



Figure 4. Split tensile strength (MPa) of blocks at 14 and 28 days



Figure 5. Split tensile strength (MPa) of blocks at 14 and 28 days

6. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions can be drawn from this study:

1) Paving blocks could be successfully made using cement and waste minerals such as basic oxygen slag (BOS), ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), run-of-station ash (ROSA), plasterboard gypsum (PG), and cement by pass dust (BPD) to achieve the required strength for paving blocks.

2) The results show that the ternary materials such as basic oxygen slag (BOS), ground granulated blast furnance slag (GGBS), run-of-station ash (ROSA) were more effective to reduce cement content.

3) Up to 80 percent replacement of Portland cement can be achieved in binders and this can lead to reduced cement contents for production of paving blocks in accordance to the BS EN 1338:2003.

4) It is possible to use waste minerals to achieve the minimum required split tensile strength of3.6 MPa for paving blocks in accordance to the British Standard BS BN1338: 2003.

5) Results show that BOS up to 70%, GGBS up to 40%, ROSA up to 35%, BPD up to 10%, and PG up to 5% by weight can replace the Portland cement without negative impacts on their desirable properties in accordance to the BS EN 1338: 2003.

7. REFERENCES

Andrew M Dunster, (2008). "Recycled gypsum in concrete construction product applications", Waste and Resources Action Program (WRAP) BRE.

American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, Mich. (2003). "Slag Cement in Concrete and Mortar", Report of ACI Committee; 233, ACI 233R-03.

Beaty, A. N. S., and Raymond, G. P. (1995). "Concrete Block Road Paving", Concrete Int. Vol 17(5), pp 36-41.

BS EN197-1, BSI. (2011). "Cement, part 1: composition, specifications and conformity criteria for common cement".

BS EN 15167-2:2006: (2006). "Specification for ground granulated blast furnace slag for use with Portland cement", British Standard Institute, London.

BS EN 1338. (2003). "Concrete paving blocks requirements and test methods", British Standard Institute, London.

Dubey, A., Chandak, R. and Yadav, R.K. (2012). "Effect of blast furnace slag powder on compressive strength of concrete", International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 3, Issue 8.

Ganjian, E., Jalull, G., and Sadeghi-Pouya, H. (2015). "Reducing cement contents of paving blocks by using mineral waste and by-product materials.", Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering– ASCE, Vol. 27, issue 1, pp 04014106-1 to 04014106-11, 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001037, ISSN 0899-1561.

Ganjian, E, Sadeghi-Pouya, H. (2009). "Investigation on the use of industrial and constructiondemolition wastes as cement replacement in concrete paving blocks", ' In ConMat'09 - '4th International Conference on Construction Materials: Performance, Innovations and Structural Implications', S 6-2-3, Nagoya, Japan: 295-300.

Ganjian, E., Jalull, G., and Sadeghi-Pouya, H., (2015). "Using waste materials and by-products to produce concrete paving blocks", Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 77, pp. 270-275.

Jalull, G, Ganjian, E and Sadeghi-Pouya, H, (2014). "Using GGBS and mineral wastes to reduce cement in paving block", Institution of Civil Engineering Construction Materials journal (ICE Proceedings), Volume 167, Issue CM2, pp 91-103.

Limbachiya, V. Ganjian, E and Claisse, P. (2006). "Strength, durability and leaching properties of concrete paving blocks incorporating GGBS and SF", Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 113, pp 273-279, ISSN: 0950-0618) DOI:10.1016/j. conbuildmat. 2016.02.152.

Oss, H. G. V. (2003). "Slag Iron and Steel, U.S. Geological Survey Minerals Yearbook." USGS, 2011. Mineral Commodity Summaries. U.S. Geological Survey.

Olivier, J., Janssens-Maenhout, G. and Peters, J. (2012). "Trends in global CO2 emissions". PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency. Turanli, L, Uzal, B, and Bektas.F. (2004). "Effect of material characteristics on the properties of blended cements containing high volumes of natural pozzolans", Cement and Concrete Research, 34, 2277-2282.