Regimes of Coriolis-Centrifugal Convection
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Centrifugal buoyancy affects all rotating turbulent convection phenomena, but is conventionally ignored in rotating convection studies. Here, we include centrifugal buoyancy to investigate what we call Coriolis-centrifugal convection (C³), characterizing two so far unexplored regimes, one where the flow is in quasicyclostrophic balance (QC regime) and another where the flow is in a triple balance between pressure gradient, Coriolis and centrifugal buoyancy forces (CC regime). The transition to centrifugally dominated dynamics occurs when the Froude number Fr equals the radius-to-height aspect ratio γ. Hence, turbulent convection experiments with small γ may encounter centrifugal effects at lower Fr than traditionally expected. Further, we show analytically that the direct effect of centrifugal buoyancy yields a reduction of the Nusselt number Nu. However, indirectly, it can cause a simultaneous increase of the viscous dissipation and thereby Nu through a change of the flow morphology. These direct and indirect effects yield a net Nu suppression in the CC regime and a net Nu enhancement in the QC regime. In addition, we demonstrate that C³ may provide a simplified, yet self-consistent, model system for tornadoes, hurricanes, and typhoons.
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Rotating turbulent thermal convection is the fundamental process underlying a variety of geo- and astrophysical flow phenomena, including deep ocean convection, planetary atmospheric flows, and liquid metal core dynamics. Rotating Rayleigh–Bénard convection (RRBC) serves as the paradigm model system; it constitutes a fluid heated vertically and thereby changes the range of potential behaviors. Here, we argue that centrifugal buoyancy warrants inclusion because, like gravity, it drives convective motions: Cold, denser fluid moves radially away from the axis of rotation, and warm, less dense fluid moves radially towards it. Further, it breaks the symmetry of the system and thereby changes the range of potential behaviors. Studying Coriolis-centrifugal convection (C³)—that is RRBC with the full inertial acceleration taken into account—is also exceedingly important for today’s state-of-the-art experimental devices that aim to characterize geostrophic turbulence [11]. These experiments must often rotate slower than their actual technical capabilities in order to keep the centrifugal buoyancy small. However, it is not known when centrifugal dynamics start to affect important output parameters such as the heat transport and the flow morphologies, nor in which ways those may be altered.

In this Letter, we predict the uncharted regime transitions of C³ using scaling arguments and provide an analytical derivation for the heat transport. Our results are verified and corroborated by direct numerical simulations (DNS), which show a wide range of geophysically interesting flow behaviors.

The governing equations in nondimensional form are the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations augmented by the temperature equation, viz.,

\[ D_t \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \sqrt{\frac{\Pr}{\alpha R}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \sqrt{\frac{\Pr \gamma}{\alpha R \kappa}} \mathbf{u} \times \hat{z} \]

\[ + T \hat{z} - Fr Tr \hat{r}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1a) \]

\[ D_t T = \frac{1}{\alpha R Pr} \nabla^2 T. \quad (1b) \]

The temperature \( T \) is scaled by the imposed adverse temperature difference \( \Delta \), lengths by the radius of the convection vessel \( R \), velocity \( \mathbf{u} \) by \( \sqrt{\alpha g R \Delta} \), where \( \alpha \) denotes the isobaric expansion coefficient and \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration, and time \( t \) by \( R/\sqrt{\alpha g R \Delta} \), and reduced pressure \( p \) by \( \rho g R \Delta \), where \( \rho \) is the mean density. The sidewall is insulated, and the top and bottom are isothermal with \( T_{top} = -0.5 \) and \( T_{bot} = 0.5 \), respectively. The velocity boundary conditions are no slip on all walls. The nondimensional control parameters are the Rayleigh number \( Ra = \alpha g \Delta H^3 / (\kappa \nu) \), Prandtl number \( \Pr = \nu / \kappa \), Ekman number \( Ek = \nu / (2 \Omega H^2) \), Froude number \( Fr = \Omega^2 R / g \), and aspect ratio \( \gamma = R / H \), where \( \kappa \) is the thermal diffusivity, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( \Omega \) the rotation rate, and \( H \) is the height of the vessel.
Equations (1) are solved numerically in cylindrical coordinates \((r, \phi, z)\) using the fourth order finite volume code GOLDIFISH [12]. In our DNS, we can independently vary \(Fr\) and the gravitational Rossby number \(Ro_\parallel \equiv \sqrt{(Ek^2 Ra/Pr)}\) and even set them to 0 or \(\infty\), respectively, while the other remains finite. This numerical flexibility, which is essential to map out the broadest possible parameter space, does not exist in the laboratory where \(Fr\) and \(Ro_\parallel\) must covary. A total number of 160 DNS are presented here, conducted with \(Pr = 6.52\), \(Ra = 10^7\) and \(10^8\), \(0.0125 \leq Ro_\parallel \leq \infty\), \(0 \leq Fr \leq 10\) in a cylindrical tank with \(\gamma = 0.365\), and a small subset with \(\gamma = 1.5\) [13]. Figure 1 shows characteristic flow fields for the investigated parameter space. (See the movies and Fig. 4 in the Supplemental Material [14] for a broader array of visualizations.)

We first determine when fundamental changes in the dynamics occur in the \(C^3\) system based on time scale arguments. Relevant are the Coriolis time scale, \(\tau_\Omega = 1/(2\Omega)\), the gravitational buoyancy (free-fall) time scale, \(\tau_{ff} = H/\sqrt{\alpha g \Delta H}\), and the centrifugal buoyancy time scale, \(\tau_{cb} = R/\sqrt{\alpha \Delta \Omega^2 R^2}\).

If the flow is three-dimensional (3D), the dynamics happen on time scales \(\tau_{ff} \ll \tau_\Omega \wedge \tau_{ff} \ll \tau_{cb}\). On the other hand, if the flow is quasi-geostrophic (QG), such that the primary force balance is between the pressure gradient and Coriolis forces, we have \(\tau_{QG} \ll \tau_{ff} \wedge \tau_{QG} \ll \tau_{cb}\). The ratio of \(\tau_{QG}\) and \(\tau_{ff}\) yields the gravitational Rossby number

\[
Ro_\parallel = \frac{\tau_\Omega}{\tau_{ff}} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha g \Delta H}}{2\Omega H} = \sqrt{\frac{Ek^2 Ra}{Pr}}, \tag{2}
\]

where \(\parallel\) denotes the alignment of the rotation and gravitational buoyancy vectors. We estimate the transition value, \(Ro_\parallel\), from 3D to QG flow using the criterion of King et al. [3],

\[
6 \leq Pr^{3/4} Ra^{1/4} Ro_\parallel^{3/2} \lesssim 20. \tag{3}
\]

This \(\tilde{Ro}_\parallel\) transition prediction, marked by the hatched area in Fig. 2(a), works well for our \(Pr\) and \(Ra\) values and is in agreement with other studies [15].

Similarly, if the flow is quasicyclostrophic (QC), i.e., the primary force balance is between the pressure gradient and centrifugal buoyancy, the characteristic dynamical scale is \(\tau_{cb} \ll \tau_\Omega \wedge \tau_{cb} \ll \tau_{ff}\). This gives a centrifugal Rossby number [16]

\[
Ro_{\perp} = \frac{\tau_\Omega}{\tau_{cb}} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha \Delta}}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{Ek^2 Ra Fr}{Pr \gamma}} = Ro_\parallel^{1/2} \frac{Fr}{\gamma}, \tag{4}
\]

where \(\perp\) denotes the perpendicularity of the rotation and centrifugal buoyancy vectors. Based on the similarity of these two Rossby number definitions, we hypothesize here that the transitional \(Ro_{\perp}\) also obeys Eq. (3), as indicated by the crosshatched area in Fig. 2(a).

We predict that the transition to centrifugally dominated flows occurs approximately where the two transition Rossby numbers are equal, corresponding to \(\tau_{ff} \approx \tau_{cb}\) [18]. Crucially, this equivalence occurs at the intersection between the \(\tilde{Ro}_\parallel\) and \(\tilde{Ro}_{\perp}\) lines in Fig. 2 when

\[
\tilde{Ro}_\parallel \approx \tilde{Ro}_{\perp} \Leftrightarrow Fr \approx \gamma. \tag{5}
\]

Note, that Eq. (5) can be equivalently expressed dimensionally as \(H = g/\Omega^2\), and this holds irrespective of the specific value of Eq. (3). This regime transition implies, nonintuitively, that centrifugal buoyancy effects will be strongest in low-\(\gamma\) vessels.

For \(Fr > \gamma\), there exists an important subregime where \((\tau_{cb} \sim \tau_\Omega) \ll \tau_{ff}\). It is characterized by a triple balance between pressure gradient, Coriolis, and centrifugal force (CC), which is called gradient wind balance [19].

We verify these predictions using the dimensionless heat flux, expressed by the Nusselt number \(Nu\), that has proven to be an excellent tool to indicate regime transitions. The results presented here are for \(\gamma = 0.365\); a small set of DNS with \(\gamma = 1.5\) is provided in Fig. 5 of the Supplemental

![FIG. 1. Flow fields for Ra = 10⁸, Pr = 6.52, γ = 0.365: (a-d) temperature T, (e–h) side and top view of the velocity vectors scaled in size by velocity magnitude and colored by azimuthal velocity \(u_z\). (a,e) \(Ro_\parallel = \infty\), Fr = 2.0 (QC); (b,f) \(Ro_\parallel = 1.0\), Fr = 1.0 (QC); (c,g) \(Ro_\parallel = 0.05\), Fr = 10.0 (QC/CC); (d,h) \(Ro_\parallel = 0.05\), Fr = 2.0 (CC). Note that the three rings for (h) at the top and bottom are located at approximately the same radial positions. Corresponding movies can be found in the Supplemental Material [14].](204502-2)
FIG. 2. Relative deviations of Nu from (a) nonrotating, noncentrifugal convection, i.e., with Fr = Ro⊥⁻¹ = 0, and (b) traditional noncentrifugal convection, i.e., with Fr = 0. The phase diagrams are based on the DNS conducted at Ra = 10⁷, the used data points in Fr-Ro⊥⁻¹ space are marked by crosses. In addition, the color-filled symbols show the results for Ra = 10⁸ using the same color code, where the stars correspond to the cases presented in Fig. 1. The horizontal dash-dotted line indicates the bifurcation Ro⊥, according to Weiss et al. [17]. The black (grey) hatched and crosshatched area indicate the transition region from the 3D and QC regimes to the QG and CC regimes based on Ro⊥ and Ro⊥ for Ra = 10⁷(10⁸). The transition borders are continued with dashed lines. The vertical solid line marks Fr = γ, the transition from 3D and QG to the centrifugally dominated regimes QC and CC. For clarity, hatching and dashed lines are omitted in (b).

Material [14] as supporting evidence. The relative deviation of Nu from the value without rotation Nu₀₀ ≡ Nu(Fr = Ro⊥⁻¹ = 0), is shown in Fig. 2(a). Indeed, Ro∥, Ro⊥, and γ adequately describe the borders between different heat transfer regimes [20]. Furthermore, our regime diagram resembles those found in similarly anisotropic geophysical systems (e.g., rotating, stably-stratified dynamics described by Cushman-Roisin and Beckers [21], Fig. 11.6).

For Fr < γ, the heat transport exhibits the well-known characteristics of Coriolis-affected convection at moderate Pr. With decreasing Ro∥, it is initially enhanced due to Ekman pumping in the 3D regime, and then it is suppressed due to the Taylor Proudman effect in the QG regime [3]. For Fr > γ, i.e., when centrifugal buoyancy is significant, the two so-far largely unexplored QC and CC regimes show a strong heat transfer increase and decrease, respectively.

For Fr = 0, the well-known relationship between heat flux and viscous dissipation rate ε is recovered [22] [e.g.]. The extra term Nu⊥ in Eq. (6) proves that centrifugation has a direct effect on the heat flux, which is always present. This distinguishes it from pure Coriolis convection. Furthermore, Nu⊥ must be negative for sufficiently high Fr, since the hot flow is radially inwards at the bottom, i.e., ur < 0 and T > 0, and the cold flow at the top is radially outward, ur > 0 and T < 0. This is confirmed by the phase diagram in Fig. 3(a). The main contribution here is stemming from the boundary layers, where naturally the radial velocities and temperature anomalies are highest.

However, the other term, Nu⊥, counteracts this direct Froude effect. Thus, there is an indirect effect connected to a fundamental change in flow morphology. For Ra = 10⁷, the maximum positive contribution is almost twice as high in magnitude as the negative effect due to the centrifugality, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The reason for this is the higher ε related to stronger gradients in the velocity field, especially adjacent to the horizontal boundaries.

The flow fields presented in Fig. 1 and in the Supplemental Material [14] elucidate the fundamental changes in flow morphology in the QC and CC regimes. These visualizations show that turbulent C³ is inherently complex, as it is susceptible to inertial, gravitational, shear, and baroclinic instabilities [4].
For Ro\textsuperscript{1} time dependence, the C\textsuperscript{3} inviscid flow, and also neglecting nonlinearities and any
\[k = \frac{1}{\gamma},\] effects suffice to create a retrograde drifting vortex structure. Even with no Coriolis force, symmetry breaking
\[u_{\phi} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \partial_r T + \text{Fr} r \partial_z T \right). \] gradients and centrifugal forces dominate over the Coriolis
For Ro\textsuperscript{1} = 1.0 and Fr = 1.0 [Figs. 1(b), 1(f)], the primary
force balance is cyclostrophic (QC). That is, the pressure
The most common behavior of the Fr \(\geq \gamma\) flows is a hot
central upwelling, and it is associated with the known
increase of the central temperature [9,23] [e.g.]. This
upwelling is visible in all flow fields in Fig. 1 and most
prominently in Figs. 1(a), 1(e) for Ro\textsuperscript{1} = \infty and Fr = 2.0. In
this case, the primary force balance is cyclostrophic (QC) and the reduced pressure is essentially parabolic in the radial
direction. Even with no Coriolis force, symmetry breaking
effects suffice to create a retrograde drifting vortex structure
in the upper layer with an azimuthal \(m = 2\) wave number.

For the other cases, Ro\textsuperscript{1} is finite and the Coriolis force
also acts on the fluid, leading to thermal winds
\[u_{\phi} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \partial_r T + \text{Fr} r \partial_z T \right). \] and make use of other diagnostic tools such as the center
temperature [9,15,23]. This
gally dominated convection occurs when Fr \(\geq \gamma\), instead of a fixed absolute Fr value as traditionally assumed. In future
laboratory and numerical studies, we will further vary \(\gamma\)
and make use of other diagnostic tools such as the center
temperature [9,15,23].
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[13] These parameters are chosen to foster comparison with upcoming experiments using UCLA’s NoMag device (https://youtu.be/Et6mnnyn9PzE).

[14] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.204502 for movies, a broader array of visualizations, and additional analyses for the $\gamma = 1.5$ cases.


[16] The square-root of Ro$_\perp$ is also called the density deficit parameter or the thermal Rossby number [9,10].


[18] This is not expected to be a first order transition, and instead occurs over a relatively broad range centered in the vicinity of Ro$_\perp \approx$ Ro$_I$.


[20] We also indicate where the flow starts to be mildly affected by the Coriolis force according to Weiss et al. [17], noting that this holds for almost all of our results.


FIG. 4. Supplemental figure to Fig. 1. Shown are ten temperature isosurfaces for $Ra = 10^8$, equidistantly distributed between the top and bottom temperature. The lines mark the regime transitions as in Fig. 2. Note, that the transition range is relatively broad, thus, flow fields close to the borders exhibit also minor signatures of the adjacent regime(s).

FIG. 5. Nusselt number $Nu$ as function of the Froude number $Fr$ for $Ra = 10^8$ and $Ro = 1.0$. The blue stars and lines correspond to $\gamma = 0.365$, presented in the main part of the Letter. The magenta circles and lines correspond to additional simulations conducted at a higher aspect ratio of $\gamma = 1.502$ for $Fr \in \{0, 1.0, 1.5, 4.1\}$. The horizontal dashed lines mark $Nu(Fr = 0)$ and the vertical solid lines the predicted transition at $Fr = \gamma$. 