

Man a kill a man for nutin': Gang transnationalism, masculinities, and violence in Belize City

Baird, A.

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

Original citation & hyperlink:

Baird, A 2019, 'Man a kill a man for nutin': Gang transnationalism, masculinities, and violence in Belize City' *Men and Masculinities*, vol. (In-Press), pp. (In-Press).

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1097184X19872787>

DOI 10.1177/1097184X19872787

ISSN 1097-184X

ESSN 1552-6828

Publisher: Sage

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

Man a kill a man for nutin': Gang transnationalism, masculinities, and violence in Belize City

Abstract

Belize has one of the highest homicide rates in the world, however the gangs at the heart of this violence have rarely been studied. Using a masculinities lens and original empirical data this article explores how Blood and Crip 'gang transnationalism' from the USA flourished in Belize City. Gang transnationalism is understood as a 'transnational masculinity' that makes cultural connections between local settings of urban exclusion. On one hand, social terrains in Belize City generated masculine vulnerabilities to the foreign gang as an identity package with the power to reconfigure positions of subordination; on the other, the establishment of male gang practices with a distinct hegemonic shape, galvanised violence and a patriarchy of the streets in already marginalised communities. This article adds a new body of work on gangs in Belize, and gang transnationalism, whilst contributing to theoretical discussions around the global to local dynamics of hegemonic masculinities discussed by Connell and Messerschmidt (2005; 2018).

1. Introduction

Belize is a small country of 350,000 people on the Caribbean Sea sharing borders with Mexico to the north and Guatemala to the west. It is unique being both Central American, a member of SICA, and Caribbean, a member of CARICOM¹. Belize is also a fledgling nation, a former colony named British Honduras in 1878 which gained independence 1981. Although popularised as a tourist destination there is another side to the country. National murder rates reached 45 per 100,000 in 2017 making Belize one of the most violent countries in the world, comparable to its 'noisy neighbours' in the Northern Triangle countries of El Salvador, Guatemala and Honduras (Peirce, 2017). Murders are driven by gang violence in the poor

¹ *Central America Integration System; Caribbean Community and Common Market*

Southside area of Belize City located on the coast, which is so severe one local school teacher lamented “young men round here have become an endangered species” (Greta 14/11/2017). Despite copious amounts of scholarship on gangs in neighbouring countries, besides the research presented here, Gayle et al are the only other international scholars to publish on violence in Belize that draws from original data with gang members (2016).

This article explores how Blood and Crip ‘gang transnationalism’ emanating from the United States of America (US) became established and then flourished on Southside, and why high levels of violence persist today. Whilst this is a rare empirical contribution about gangs in Belize, masculinities are front and centre of the analysis, which is built inductively from the street (see Methodology below). The impacts of exclusion are gendered and on Southside created a palpable masculine vulnerability amongst youths to transnational Blood and Crip culture as an aspirational site of identity formation. In this way, the social terrain was rendered receptive to the establishment of gangs, which is significant for contexts of urban exclusion beyond Belize.

Whilst this provides a narrative frame for the rapid embedding of gangs, this article adds to theoretical discussions around the global, regional, and local dynamics of hegemonic masculinities. For the first time, gang transnationalism is conceived as a form of ‘transnational masculinity’ after Connell and Messerschmidt, who have discussed the potential for localised models of hegemonic masculinity (2005; 2018).

This article is mindful not reduce gangs to a rigid hegemonic identity, recognising that individual and collective practices are multifaceted and complex, and that masculinities are indeed multiple. It draws upon sociological interpretations of gangs to argue that the ‘gang displays’ and performances – i.e. the gang ‘persona’ and ‘gangsta culture’ - established by the

Bloods and Crips in Belize have a concerted ‘hegemonic shape’ that has galvanised violence and a patriarchy of the streets. Despite the rapid Creolisation (also *kriolisation*, see Hall, 2015), and fragmentation of street gangs on Southside in recent years, the hegemonic shape of certain gang behaviours persists. This article aims to provide a rigorous empirical and conceptual exploration of gang practices as a form of localised hegemonic masculinity. Given that the overwhelming majority of gang interventions are masculinities blind, understanding gang activities as a form of localised hegemonic practice is presented as a way rethink and reinvigorate the approach to gang interventions to reduce the harm done to marginalised urban communities.

The article is structured as follows: (2) reviews the relevant literature subdivided into ‘gang transnationalism’ and ‘gangs and masculinities’; (3) outlines the methodology used, which draws upon the authors unique experience of designing the only masculinities focused gang prevention programme on Southside; whilst (4) provides a contextual background to gang violence in Belize City. These are followed by substantive analytical sections on (5) gang transnationalism as a form of ‘transnational masculinity’, (6) and the ‘hegemonic shape’ of gang practices and the continuity of violence.

2. Literature and contribution

Gang Transnationalism

Gang transnationalism is a subsection of the broader academic literature on gangs dominated by the US deportation experiences of the *Mara Salvatrucha* (MS-13) and *Barrio/Calle 18* gangs, often collectively termed *maras*. Up to 50,000 individuals were sent back to their emigre countries El Salvador, Honduras, Guatemala, and Nicaragua in Central America during the 1990s, although *maras* did not emerge significantly in the latter (Jose Miguel Cruz, 2014; Zilberg, 2011). Most research on gang transnationalism concludes that they are social forms of

street level youth gang with no clear federal or transnational criminal structure, and are migratory socio-cultural phenomenon as opposed to the expansion of an international criminal network (Jütersonke, Muggah, & Rodgers, 2009; Roks & Densley, 2019). *Maras* first developed in Northern Triangle countries as a localised cultural capital populated by disenfranchised male youths. Evidence of gang transnationalism as a cultural or subcultural phenomenon is corroborated through studies of the Latin Kings and Queens who migrated from the US to Ecuador, and later Europe (Brotherton, 2007; Cerbino & Barrios, 2008; Quierolo Palmas, 2009). As Rodgers and Baird (2015) have argued, the literature indicates that transnational gangs are a globalised socio-cultural and political youth phenomena that tend to arise as a diaspora in the country of return in contexts of persistent exclusion, either organised in situ by migrant gang members, or by disaffected youths that aspire to gang membership.

Northern Triangle countries were contending with legacies of post-war violence, undergoing transitions to democracy from dictatorship, military oppression and civil conflict, which shaped the societies that gangs inserted themselves into (Levenson, 2013; Savenije & van der Borgh, 2015). A range of factors are attributed to the rise of *maras* including exclusion, connectedness to organised crime and drug trafficking, and the role of politics (Berg & Carranza, 2018), including counter-productive *mano dura* crackdowns (e.g. Gutierrez Rivera, Strønen, & Ystanes, 2018; Wolf, 2017).

The literature on Blood and Crip transnationalism is sparse. Exceptions in the Americas include Flores, Gemert, Hagedorn, and Johns (2009; 2001; 2008; 2014) and Roks & Densley in Europe (2017; 2019). In the Belizean case only Miller Matthei & Smith (1998) have focused on transnationalism, although this research took place before the dramatic rise in gang violence in the country. In stark contrast to *maras*, research on gangs in Belize is scarce. Although this is not a comparative article, key similarities and divergences between the *maras* and the Bloods

and Crips will be referred to in section (4) to contextualise the Belizean gang experience within the Central American region. Finally, amongst the gang transnationalism literature, this is the first masculinities reading of the phenomenon.

Gangs and masculinities

Historically, scholars have written about the polymorphous threats of marginality that render disenfranchised young men susceptible to the lure of gang life. The notion of ‘protest’ masculinity is not a new one (Adler, 1928) and early studies in the US referred to gangs as a male backlash against socio-economic exclusion where working class young men were blocked from achieving conventional male goals (e.g. Bloch & Niederhoffer, 1958; Cloward & Ohlin, 1966). These ideas permeated later studies where the gang has been consistently seen as an opportunity structure for ‘capital acquisition’ or ‘masculine capital’ that generates status, esteem and respect for men in subordinated contexts (Baird, 2012; Mullins, 2006; Mullins & Lee, 2019). The literature has tended to present gangs as a homosocial enactment, display or performance, based on variants of the ‘code of the street’ (notable examples include: Anderson, 2000; Bourgois, 1995, 2001; Brotherton, 2007; Venkatesh, 2008). Bourgois’s framing of young men ‘in search of respect’ has gained significant traction amongst those seeking to explain the masculine dynamics of contemporary urban violence (e.g. Zubillaga, 2009). The ‘ganging process’ (Baird, 2018a) has been conceptualised as a form of gendered socialisation that insulates boys and young men from the threat of emasculation in the urban margins, reflected in findings across the globe (e.g. Barker, 2005; Buller, 2015; Heinonen, 2011; Jensen, 2008). Most notably in sociology and anthropology, gangs have been considered socially generated epiphenomena of structural violence, and this frames the protest, resistant, rebellious and compensatory reactions by young men to societal expectations to achieve predominantly traditional, normative, and ‘hegemonic’ forms of masculinity.

Critically, feminist scholarship (e.g. Cobbina, Like-Haislip, & Miller, 2010; Maher, 1997; Miller, 2001) has warned against masculinist criminological assumptions that essentialise gang members to their aberrant or violent traits, and fail to recognise multiple masculinities, glossing-over the textures of gender relations in gang settings (these debates are well covered by Fraser, 2017; Panfil & Peterson, 2015; and Peterson, 2018); how violence affects women (Saunders-Hastings, 2018; Zulver, 2016); and the strategies women use to negotiate violent ganglands (Baird, 2015; Cobbina et al., 2010).

The richness of recent ethnographic literature shows that the pursuit of masculinity amidst exclusion provides an important, although partial insight into the subjectivities that lead some into gang violence (Levenson, 2013; Mullins & Cardwell-Mullins, 2006). Notably, labelling gang members' masculinities as a 'hyper' or 'exaggerated' has limited explanatory power when presented as a monotone identity. Furthermore, de la Tierra (2016) roundly criticises the recent work of Contreras, Goffman and Rios (2012; 2014; 2011) as one dimensional presentations of 'perilous masculinity' that obscure the potential range of situationally enacted, relationally constructed, and hierarchical masculinities expounded by Connell and Messerschmidt's rethinking of hegemonic masculinities in 2005. However, scholarship in Latin America has taken noteworthy strides in teasing out the meanings of masculinity, particularly how these relate to violence (such as Gutmann & Viveros Vigoya, 2005; Theidon, 2007).

Contemporary analyses gangland masculinities have advanced sociological ideas about violence as a situationally dependent phenomenon, where the ganging process promotes the 'baddest' to leadership positions (Baird, 2018a). As opposed to a reductive exercise that essentialises the gang experience, this applies a masculinities lens to explain violence as one component, albeit an important one, of a repertoire of potential behaviour. In this vein, Levenson-Estrada refers to "the marero [who] has turned into a gendered killer/killed persona,

a male warrior” (2013, p. 97). This allows for multiple masculinities that are both contextually and situationally dependent. In recent studies, gang members have been shown to be loving fathers, sons or boyfriends in certain socialisation spaces, whilst murders or rapists in others, all of which can occur within the same community, on the same day (Baird, 2018b; Fontes 2018). Fundamentally, the masculine ‘protest’ of the gang may be an insurrection against structural constraint, but it rarely challenges local gender hierarchies that subjugate women and non-hegemonic masculinities. On balance, they are more likely to reinforce them.

Progress in the theorisation of masculinities as configurations of practice allow for nuanced interpretations of gangs as a form of localism that can be applied to contexts in the global south. Jewkes et al (2015) have debated this at length reflecting the Connell and Messerschmidt’s (2005) efforts to rethink their concept of hegemonic masculinity, suggesting they can be analysed as multiple collective hegemonic projects, at global, societal, and local levels. In 2018 Messerschmidt revisited these, arguing that at a regional level hegemonic masculinities can provide cultural materials to be adopted or reworked, providing models of masculinity that are important in local gender dynamics, practices and interactions (p. 53). These dynamics provide conceptual leverage for understanding gang transnationalism as a form of transnational masculinity established in the local.

This article argues that gang transnationalism is a migrant form of gendered localism that requires a receptive terrain to bed-in effectively. Most obviously, the race, class, and rebellious cultural dynamics of Blood and Crip gang identities appealed to poor young Creole Belizeans as a site of opportunity, elevated gender status and aspirational manhood. The Bloods and Crips brought Messerschmidt’s ‘model’ of masculinity with them, which was adopted, then reworked and *kriolised* by vulnerable young men. Clearly, we should not reduce the gang experience to

hegemonic masculinity alone, likewise we cannot deny the distinct hegemonic shape of gang practices, including violence.

3. Methodology

Based on four research trips to Belize between 2011 and 2018², the methodology was built cumulatively as an ethnographic revisit, by layering together short-term trips of three to four weeks. Whilst this is not an unusual approach to research, what gave the methodology sufficient rigour to peer beneath the surface was the author's experience of designing the Southside Youth Success Programme (SYSP) in 2011 (Baird, 2011). This was the first masculinities focused gang intervention project attempted in Belize, which ran in collaboration with UNDP and the Ministry of Youth Development, Social Transformation, and Poverty Alleviation, until 2014. This created a foundation of relationships with individuals across government, state, and civil society, which were key to making short-term research trips effective. For example, colleagues at SYSP facilitated numerous interviews with young men from Southside that had passed through the programme. Being known as a foreigner who had worked on Southside was a crucial in gaining trust from respondents who otherwise may have been unwilling to speak candidly about sensitive issues. Belize City is a small town and locals are understandably reluctant to talk about gangs, crime, and political corruption to outsiders.

Interviews were conducted with six active and six former gang members; eight male youth participants in two gang intervention programs³; a local rapper and dancehall singer, both former gang members; the wife a murdered gang leader; one individual from drug trafficking family; and, six recorded interviews and numerous informal conversations with inhabitants

² The author acknowledges the important role played by the British Academy, Leverhulme Trust, and Coventry University in funding the research; further thanks UNDP Belize and the University of Belize for sponsoring a Masculinities and Violence conference held in Belmopan, March 2018, to disseminate and discuss the research findings.

³ Southside Youth Success Program; Belize National Youth Apprenticeship Program.

from Southside. This was supplemented by four focus groups on Southside, one with young men, one with young women, a mixed group, and one group of mothers. Fifty-six local experts were interviewed comprising of youth workers, teachers, NGO staff, academics, police officers, prison wardens, magistrates, civil servants, politicians, UN and embassy staff.

The methodology included time spent on the streets with gangs, in youth detention centres, and Belize Central Prison. However, interviewing gang members is clearly not without risk⁴. This was mitigated through the use of gatekeepers, including; a local Imam to enter the prison, a well-liked youth worker who helped conduct impromptu interviews on Southside, and a politician who arranged meetings with gang leaders at her office. The author's experience of gang research in the region also provided a foundation of 'ethnographic safety', an intuitive understanding of the rules of the game around street violence (Baird, 2018b), although risks cannot be assuaged completely. These experiences helped the collection of primary data with gang members which is rare precisely because it is hard to obtain, although sometimes a little fortune is needed: the first leader of the Crips in Belize (Angel, below) who was long 'retired', was a driver contracted regularly during the fieldwork, although it took several years of bonding before he finally revealed his former identity and agreed to be interviewed.

4. Gang violence in Belize

Below the Haulover Creek that bisects Belize City and its 60,000 inhabitants, Southside is comprised of ramshackle neighbourhoods, many built on unforgiving peri-urban marshlands. Southside and one notorious downtown street called Majestic Alley, has played host to gang violence since the 1980s. Belize is a country characterised by elitism and inequality and residents south of the creek have long been at the bottom of the country's socio-economic strata

⁴ Ethical approval was secured from the Centre for Trust, Peace, and Social Relations at Coventry University.

(UNICEF Belize, 2011; Warnecke-Berger, 2019, p. 197). The country has decidedly mixed heritage, with sizeable Mayan, Spanish, Mestizo, and Garifuna (afro-indigenous) populations. The Creole minority of African descent makes up 15% of the national population but accounts for the majority of Southside's residents. It is therefore unsurprising as Gayle says, that the majority of gang members there are black and brown (2016, p. 192).

In 1961 Hurricane Hattie laid waste to Belize City creating a national emergency. A lack of housing in addition to already fragile livelihoods and severe unemployment prompted a significant exodus north and by the turn of the millennium 30% of the entire population resided in the US (Vernon, 2000). Migration to the US proved pivotal as the deportation of Blood and Crip, red and blue 'colors', gang members back to Belize City was the spark for the country's gang culture.

Whilst Belize shares the Northern Triangle deportation model of gang transnationalism it most noticeably diverges along the lines of ethnicity and language. The Creole, and to a lesser extent Garifuna migrants that joined gangs understandably gravitated towards the English-speaking African-American Bloods and Crips, not the Latino, Spanish speaking 18th Street and MS 13 *maras* gangs, thus defining the gang identities of future deportees. Those who joined the Bloods and Crips in the US were deported for the first time in the early 1980s. These were the pioneers of gang transnationalism in Belize, arguably pre-dating the emergence of the *maras* in Central America. There are no reliable figures for 1980s deportations, but across a decade between 1992-2002 there were 1,122 deportees (Warnecke-Berger, 2019).

The first wave of Bloods and Crips met a nascent democratic system with limited institutional capacity to enforce the Rule of Law. Angel, who was deported in 1981 for his part in a drive-by shooting in Los Angeles was due to serve the remainder of his sentence in

Belize: “I was taken to di plane in da US, then [when I landed in Belize] *notin’ happen!* [his emphasis] I jus’ walk off di plane. I cum home to my aunty here in Belize City, in Majestic Alley” (20/05/2016)⁵. He went on to become the first leader of the Majestic Alley Crips in 1981. In contrast to post-conflict countries saturated with weapons in the Northern Triangle, Angel noted the absence of firearms upon arrival when Blood and Crip street culture began:

Firs’, we were selling weed, crack-cocaine hadn’t even touched Belize. I started sellin’, hustlin’, whateva, jus’ to mek a buck. There weren’t gangs den, jus’ little hoodies, guys who hang out and try to hustle... There weren’t really any guns, we used to chase our enemies wid a stick and machete... den we started ta walk round in blue rags, blue clothes, y’know.

Both Bolland and Shoman (1997; 2011) recorded the post-independence role of political clientelism in underpinning the emergence of the United Democratic Party (UDP) and the People’s National Party (PNP). Whilst there is no specific literature referring to the role of political parties in gang development in Belize City, numerous interviewees, including gang leaders Angel, Shorty and Vartas, referred to clientelist relationships that peaked in the run-up to elections, “People only vote for what they getting off the politicians” (Shorty 19/11/2016). Locals stated that the 1990s *Generals* (leaders) of the George Street Bloods and Majestic Alley Crips were connected to political parties and ran a ‘tight operation’ with structure and discipline that kept a lid on factional gang spats (Bill 15/11/2017; Muhammad, 2015, p. 71). One frustrated local politician even regretted the eventual loss of the *Generals* “Boys don’t respect gang structure anymore, they just want a gun... they steal, they lie, and are out of control” (Shirley, 18/05/2016), reflecting the way clientelist control of the streets has declined.

By the early 1990s gangs were being taken seriously by authorities. In 1991 a Crimes Commission was set up to create legislation responding to growing public concern around the gang phenomenon. Responses included *mano dura* type crackdowns, representing clear dissonance with clientelist forms of gang engagement, and for the first time in the country’s

⁵ Quotations from interviews have been written phonetically where the interviewees spoke with a pronounced Creole accent. These tended to be young people and gang members, whilst expert interviewees would typically ‘lighten their tongue’ or ‘speak American’ for the benefit of foreigners.

history the Belizean Defence Force was deployed to the streets (Miller Matthei & Smith, 1998), although present day responses are led by the specially created Gang Suppression Unit. As with the *maras* these measures have proved to be counter-productive, driving the “overutilization” of juvenile incarceration where young men are regularly held for lengthy pre-trial periods on misdemeanour charges, as they say locally “*fi wan stick o weed*”, for one joint (Peirce, 2017, p. 5; UNDP 2013).

The crack-downs of the 1990s failed, giving way to party-led attempts at gang negotiations, but these proved unsustainable. Murder rates rose from 9 per 100,000 in 1995, to 17 in 2002, to 30 in 2006, and 45 in 2017 (Peirce, 2017; UNODC, 2018). By 2008 there were over 30 gangs in Belize City with 500 youth members, and in 2015 gang membership had tripled to 1,500 as gang territories packed closer together (Haylock, 2013, p. 46; Peirce, 2017, p. 21). One seventeen-year-old gang member said that in the St Martins neighbourhood alone there were four gangs; Peace in the Village, Bacalan (Back-of-land) Crips, the Complex City Crips, and the Third World Bloods, estimating that half of all young males in the area were in gangs (Smalls 12/05/2016). Instead of becoming more institutional, organised criminal enterprises like some cliques of *maras*, Southside gangs have splintered into ever smaller groups, multiplying ‘beefs’. As one civil servant put it “It’s interpersonal violence at a gang level” (9/11/2017). Shorty moved to Los Angeles at six years old, later joined the Bloods, and was deported back to Belize in 2011, becoming a local gang leader. When interviewed in Belize Central Prison he said:

It about small beefs, [gang members] be like chil’re. One beef started because someone step on someone else’s shoe in a club, and now they can’t even remember what the original beef was about... Like a dog in a cage, then you put another one in, and it ok. Then you throw a piece of meat in and they gonna kill each other... Man a kill a man for nutin’, for no reason, it’s fucked up

This fragmenting process meant that, counterintuitively, gang violence has risen whilst gang institutionalisation has gone backwards over the last two decades. Despite spanning some four decades, gangs in Belize are currently very much at the margins of organised crime and

transnational drug trafficking networks. Although gangs sold crack-cocaine in the late 1980s, Shorty said nowadays cocaine only ended up on Southside when someone found a bale jettisoned by traffickers washed up at the beach. This was corroborated during an interview with a member of a significant drug trafficking family from the coast who said their clandestine networks deliberately avoided street gangs (interview, 22/05/2016).

In 2017 Belize City's murder rate reached 99 per 100,000, placing it amongst the top ten most violence cities in the world, with an estimated rate on Southside at 128 per 100,000 (Arciaga Young, 2019; Peirce, 2017). Violence and trauma amongst boys in the city is now estimated to be higher than anywhere else in Caribbean (Gayle et al., 2016). Belize reflects the male demographic of gang violence regionally, where the vast majority of victims and perpetrators of murder are poor young men, or as Muhammad said in prosaic terms, Belizean gangs are “a black thing, a youth thing, an urban thing, a poor thing, an unskilled, undereducated and unemployed thing” (2015, p. 69).

5. Gang transnationalism as transnational masculinity

The modern identity of Belize has been moulded by colonialism and migration. One local academic lamented “Colonial history means we have been taught to embrace and value the foreign more than our own history. Everything that is great is fucking foreign, even Jesus is foreign” (Raul 15/11/2017), and another that “there is an inherent sense of shame about Belizean culture and history” (Nia 15/11/2017). A confluence of historic, cultural and socio-economic circumstances on Southside contributed to a propensity amongst a number of youths to “embrace that [US] ghetto culture... because Creole culture is not held sacred, young gang members have no recollection of history” (Raul 15/11/2017). Evoking Espange's notion of ‘cultural transfer’ (1999), US gangs represented a rebellious black youth identity that transposed fluidly into Belize City's urban margins as gangs “discursively appeared”

(Warnecke-Berger, 2017, p. 256) in blue and red:

Media images of the gangster in the 1980s and 1990s were the black youth of Los Angeles, New York... his gait, his stance, his mannerism and language formed a prototype that was made a global iconic figure... whilst these images were foreign in style, there were socio-economic and historical conditions for our own crop of gang activity... in Belize today we see more than the imitation of a foreign culture, we see the creations of [gang members] with their own set of values and definitions of what society is about and what means they will use to survive in a social environment they view as increasingly hostile and unfair... they lost hope and as a result became rebellious to the status quo (Muhammad, 2015, pp. 16–17).

‘His gait, his stance, his mannerism’ noted by Muhammad underscore the gender dynamics of this cultural transfer, hence gang transnationalism can be understood as a ‘transnational masculinity’ that makes cultural connections between local settings of urban exclusion; i.e. from South Central Los Angeles to Southside Belize City. On Southside, multiple and historic marginality generated masculine vulnerabilities to the foreign gang as an identity package with the apparent power to radically reconfigure positions of subordination. From this perspective, gang transnationalism is a migrant form of gendered localism that requires a receptive destination terrain that reflects (at least some of) the intersections of race, class, and subordination found in the originating locale.

Blood and Crip culture spread in a fertile environment. The aforementioned ‘global iconic figure’ of the disenfranchised young black man striking back at structural violence was a compelling symbol in a post-colonial Belize disposed to revere the foreign. Early Bloods and Crips quickly gained influence over young men and boys pledging allegiance to them, as Carlos an eclectic former gang member, then prison officer, and current youth worker explained:

Carlos: So [in the 1980s] Belizeans now have these American [Bloods and Crips] guys here who are deported, saying this is how we have to dress... they would bring back a couple of barrels of clothes, and den share dat wid de guys.

Author: So, they were building like a cultural identity?

Carlos: Exactly! Y’understand. Den when clothing come in, it would be basically for dat specific gang... At da time you wud wear di khaki pants and da white t-shirt, wid your red rag, den you wud be a Blood... Or red pants, red shirt, red bandana. And di Crip wud have di blue rag... dis ting was comin’ from America, y’undersand? Because we did not have da finance to purchase them. So, di gang leader would distribute [clothes] and he would be seen as good, ‘Hey! He’s looking out for us!’ So that is his defence now, he is giving them money, clothes, he protects them, he gives them weapons as a form of defence. So, people [young men] start to pledge allegiance to these guys (11/05/2016)

Two principle factions developed, the George Street Bloods and the Majestic Alley Crips. Angel, the first leader of the Crips recalled how earnest beginnings scaled-up into lethal violence:

My friend he started acting real gangster da way America does it, you know. He's da one dat decide dat Majestic Alley wud be blue, and anyting over swing-bridge [George Street], dat's red.

Yeah, in '87, '88, we use to go fight at a local disco. If you from over di bridge, we pick a fight wid'you, wid knife an' machete. Dey were serious fights, but not really wid guns.

First, we were selling weed, crack cocaine hadn't even touched Belize [in the early 1980s]. Da cocaine came in lik di '85. I started hustlin', whateva, jus' to make a buck. There weren't gangs den, jus' little hoodies, there weren't really guns. I tink I was about 17 maybe, I hold ma firs' gun, an' da first man dat talk big, I shoot in his chest.

I buy my firs' [gun] from a farmer. Den we go an' kidnap di watchman, an' took his 16 [gauge shotgun] an' cut di barrel shaaf [shaft]. We call it *saadaaff* [sawn-off], you could stick it in your side, you run up into your enemy and you jus' bus-it [fire it] and run aff (Angel, 20/05/2016).

Smoking in Belize City predates transnational gangs, and relatively benign 'Base Boys' who sold marijuana on the streets were swiftly subsumed by the new gang identities and began selling small amounts of crack cocaine. Successful gang leaders achieved notoriety and by the 1990s *Generals* George 'Junie Balls' McKenzie a Crip from Majestic Alley, and later 'Shiney' from George Street Bloods (Muhammad, 2015, p. 169) had become iconic figures amongst the local population and pivots for political party clientelism. It is telling that within a generation the Bloods and Crips emerged as a standout model for young male Creole success across Southside. Those with the capacity for violence such as Generals and gunmen were admired, even reified, by younger generations as 'big men':

Yah. More money, more bigger you get. Den man com' to trade gun for crack, gun for weed. So, I sell weed, but if I have no army, man [rival gang member] com an' tek it away. I use' to pack a 9mm and a 357... dat a barrel gun, it sound lik a bomb exploded, so everybody respec' you. Dat a Big Man gun you know (Angel, 20/05/2016).

Being red or blue had become aspirational and ontologically salient amongst local meanings of masculinity, mirroring gang research in Colombia and Guatemala (Baird, 2018a; Saunders-Hastings, 2018). Whilst this analysis chimes with previous interpretations of gangland masculinities as a protest against structural constraint, we should be wary of presenting gang members straightforwardly as disenfranchised rebels. In the Belizean case the assertion of

transnational gang identities in the local simultaneously established the gang as a hegemonic masculine project with negative outcomes for host communities. Whilst gangs are in part a male reaction to deprivation, many of their activities reassert hegemonic practices that reinforce a patriarchy of the streets. The gang persona becomes a vessel that vulnerable young men fill with their gendered ambitions and fantasies of manhood built around their “soldier heroes” (after Dawson, 1994).

Complex histories of poverty on Southside generated a deeply gendered vulnerability to gang transnationalism which arrived as an aspirational and accessible form of masculinity at a time when a young nation was finding its feet. The following section considers how the ‘hegemonic shape’ of gang practices, particularly violence, have remained consistent across generations of gang members, even when gang structures themselves have changed rapidly.

6. The hegemonic shape of gang practices

As Southside gangs began to splinter at the turn of the millennium, micro-level ‘beefs’ proliferated. Gangs are now broadly acknowledged to be disorganised with fast-flowing ephemeral membership, a far cry from the early days of the *Generals*. As Shorty said “Gangs here are childish, they don’t know what they’re doing... Everyone spend their money and be broke the next day” (19/11/2016). The Blood and Crip identities that had previously been adopted wholesale passed through a culturally syncretic process, blending with Belizean identities. Whilst present day gangs still bear remnants of US gangsta culture, seen in the ongoing use of red or blue ‘rags’ and imported Dickies trousers, the influence of the Bloods and Crips as an organisational structure has been eroded over the last two decades. Local politician Shirley surmised “Boys are confused about what they are fighting about, they don’t know what wearing red or blue means” (18/05/2016). Gang leader Vartas added “Now it’s ‘an enemy of my enemy is my friend’, Bloods and Crips don’ matter no more” (Vartas 18/05/2016).

This section draws upon Messerschmidt's proposal that regional level hegemonic masculinities can provide cultural materials to be adopted or reworked, providing models of masculinity that are important in local gender dynamics (2018, p. 53). The arrival of the Bloods and Crips reconfigured street patterns of masculinity establishing a gangsta culture with discernible hegemonic practices. Jewkes et al (2015) suggested that multiple hegemonic projects can be observed in the local, which supports the possibility of gang transnationalism being established as a model of localised hegemonic masculinity. A key finding from Belize is that these local models or projects of masculinity are not static templates, but culturally and intergenerationally adaptable, which is why the term 'hegemonic shape' is used.

The original Blood and Crip structures in Belize City established a lasting set of social practices perceivable in contemporary gangs; the aesthetics of language, the pose, the cars, or gold chains, and the symbolic *shotta* notoriety and fear, sexual access to women, street parties, drinking and drug-taking. This can be understood as significant 'capital', flaunted to an audience in the ghetto to acquire meaning (Baird, 2012a; 2012b; 2015; Fraser, 2013; Sandberg, 2008). These displays are a version of hegemonic masculine localism, a set of socially and culturally adaptable and relational notions, practices and displays, performed under specific social and economic conditions.

This hegemonic shape runs through the history of street gangs in Belize. As the Bloods and Crips fragmented and the *Generals* died off, smaller factions were run by new generations of *Big Men*, *Boss Men*, *Shottas*, *Killer Men* and *Strike Men* who picked up the mantle as the new gangsta personas driving the localised hegemonic masculine ideal. Tiger, a young man on the fringes of gang life stated:

**Tiger: Mi father was a member of a gang, but he ded. Mi brother a was a member of Bakatown gang, but Ghost Town [gang] end up kill him, like tree year ago.
Author: Why they kill him, what was the beef about?**

Tiger: Mi brother was [laughs self-consciously], mi brother was their killer-man. He was the strike-man for Bakatown, and Ghost Town wanted revenge...

Author: Why do they fight?

Tiger: Dey fight for respec' an' ting. 'Coz mostly ting happen when dey got a party on. When all da gang members meet up, and ting just start to flick-up [flare-up]. Their beef done start simple. Simple ting cause beef down here. They teef [steal] a bike down dere, small tings! Den dey come up into bigger problems, y'know? (12/05/2016)

The narratives of young gang members discussed identities consistently linked to domination and the rejection of non-hegemonic traits, where “Everybody wanna be a man, you da man if you kill somebody, if you don’t do it, ya pussy” (Vartas 18/05/2016). Haylock’s research with male youth offenders corroborates this “Everybody wants to be known as the ‘big man’ out on the streets. We want everyone to think we are ‘bad’ enough, being a ‘killer, murderer, or a prisoner’ is respected.” (2013, p. 31). Messiah, a former gang member-turned rapper, connected violence to male status, “There’s niggaz who have respect from the streets and niggaz know, ‘don’t fuck wid dat nigga ‘coz he will shoot the fuck out of you’” (16/11/2016). These identities set out a gendered framework for gang membership. Sufficient numbers of Southside boys learn these rites-of-passage to facilitate the fluid intergenerational handing-over of the baton, what Jabaar refers to below as a fast ‘transition’:

Like, right now the leader of George Street is a guy dey call ‘Baby’, because he’s unknown, he’s a young fellow, and he’s more ruthless coz he knows what happened to his seniors [murdered gang leaders]. So, da killers are more ruthless now. Dey are new, dey are young, and da transition is faster. Some are 13 years old. I saw a young guy who I know is a shotta [gunman], and he is not more than 11 years old. So basically, what we have in Belize is child soldiering (11/05/2016).

A former manager of a gang prevention programme referred to the vulnerability of the young boys she worked with on Southside who were almost all from struggling single mother households: “The only men that talk to these boys are gangs on the streets, who they look up to and idolise” (Sally 10/05/2016).

Boys think that being rude and acting like a gang member is how you get respect off someone. There is no longer manhood. We have overgrown adolescents, right? There’s no male role model. Actually, the role model that most of our young males seem to be gravitating towards are gangsters. Back in the day, when you went to jail you got scorned. Now, you get stripes, street credibility. So, street credibility has taken over masculinity (Jabaar 11/05/2016).

The ganging process demands the practice and display of ‘baddness’ that subordinates non-hegemonic masculine traits (Baird, 2018). Gang performance asserts a patriarchy of the streets encompassing the treatment of women and sexual violence, demonstrated by Shorty’s narrative:

Besides my other whores, I had this beautiful woman [goes on to talk about teenage girlfriend. Shorty was thirty-three at the time]. The bitches who love gangstas only love the dude for the stuff he’s doin’ on the streets... they all want the same, they only like him because of his name, then they always fuck their best friends! Yeah, we *train* [gang rape] them. I’ve seen ten gangbangers do one woman [called ‘Pleasers’]. Some are forced, some wanna do it to show that they are down, it shows they love them... the dudes don’t really hang out with the home girls, they hang out in their own groups, they are separated. Women don’t hold guns... but I know one who killed two people, because I taught her how to be a real, real, real home girl (19/11/2016).

This section has not set out to be an essentialist appraisal of poor young men, rather it uses empiricism to demonstrate how gang culture plays a role in gendering identities of the street. Particularly the gang persona occupies significant ontological ground in terms of local meanings of masculinities because of its hegemonic power, displayed in the public practices and capital of gang members. Arguably then, hegemonic masculine practice as a form of localism is at the heart of gang continuity. A notable finding is that this hegemonic power is derived from multiple sources. This allows Southside gangs to have influence through a range of masculine capitals even if they may be financially poorer than more organised criminal gangs in other contexts. For example, despite this relative poverty a recent report stated that 73% of gang members interviewed still felt the gang brought them significant ‘respect’ (Arciaga Young, 2019, p. 86). As one government official said, “gangs might not be well resourced, but they are an important social organism in the human ecology of Southside. That’s why people join gangs. It’s like a factory” (Bob, 21/11/2017).

Finally, reflecting on Beske’s work, who said that rising violence in rural Belize has come to redefine the cultural order itself (2016, p. 63), gang transnationalism has come to redefine the cultural order on the streets, whose hegemonic shape underpins the continuity of violence in the city to this day.

6 Conclusions

By focusing on gangs in Belize this article has framed gang transnationalism as a form of ‘transnational masculinity’. Drawing on Connell and Messerschmidt’s (2005; 2018) notions of localised hegemonic masculinity, models or projects (the latter after Jewkes et al, 2015), empirical evidence has been put forward to argue that gang transnationalism has established localised hegemonic gang practices on Southside, most visibly in displays of violence and symbolic and material masculine capital, leading gangs to acquire and maintain prominence as aspirational sites of male identity formation, leading to their self-perpetuation.

Much has been said about the social terrain into which gang transnationalism inserts itself. Clearly, historical exclusion on Belize City’s Southside created vulnerabilities amongst the disenfranchised, poor, male, Creole population to the lure of transnational gang culture. It has been argued that gang transnationalism is a migrant form of gendered localism that requires a receptive terrain that reflects the intersections of race, class, and subordination found in the originating locale. The experience on Southside indicates that the transnational gangsta persona can become established rapidly - within a generation – in vulnerable terrains, precisely because it is a hegemonic masculine model that inflects the vulnerabilities of local men. This is a significant claim, but one that opens lines of enquiry for further, particularly comparative, research: Can other experiences of gang transnationalism be understood as a form of transnational masculinity; do destination terrains need to reflect the setting where gang transnationalism originates from, and; would comparative analysis make it possible to predict where gang transnationalism may emerge in the future?

‘Localising’ hegemonic masculinities is in itself a challenge. To what extent can men subordinated by race and class even represent a hegemonic ideal? In response, this article has attempted to tease out some nuances of gangland masculinities. Although gang members have

not been cast as simple victims of ‘perilous masculinity’ (after de la Tierra, 2016) it is vital to read the social terrain from which gangs emerge. Reading exclusion as gendered helps us understand how gang transnationalism embeds effectively. The vulnerability of local boys and young men was palpable during the fieldwork; for many, gang membership was a socially cohesive sub-cultural guarantor of identity and dignity, a conduit for masculine power that offset the multiple subordinations wrought by legacies of exclusion. However, the image of the emasculated and imperilled Creole gang member striking back at the system as a form of protest, rebellious or compensatory masculinity, is only part of the picture. Whilst gang practices should be considered a subversive reaction to structural constraint, they rarely challenge the gender hierarchies of the street that subjugate women and non-hegemonic masculinities. In the Belizean case, the gangsta persona reinforced them, often with violence, reasserting the patriarchies of the street and multiplying the harm done to communities already structurally excluded.

We should be measured in our use of this analysis, however. Perceiving gang practices, displays or performances as an expression of hegemonic masculinity may be an apposite way to unpack the violence often inherent to them, but it should not be used to essentialise the lives of individual gang members. Masculinities are multiple, relational, and setting dependant; away from gang socialisation spaces, these young men are likely to be loving sons, boyfriends or fathers. In short, gang members are part victim, part perpetrator; both vulnerable men and violent hegemonic men.

If vulnerable young men respond to gangs, how can alternative masculinisation opportunities be promoted in marginalised communities to prevent gang membership? These types of questions are rarely considered in gang focused interventions which are overwhelmingly masculinities-blind. Therefore to conclude, it is worth reflecting upon the Southside Youth

Success Programme (UNDP Belize & Government of Belize, 2013), a masculinities focused pilot project designed by the author that targeted school drop-outs and other youths at high risk of joining gangs, such as those in conflict with the law. It was notable from interviews with the participants on this program that they valued the ‘men-talk’ component as the only time they critically engaged with meanings of masculinity. Most came from fractured homes and gang members were often their male role models on the streets. The mentoring delivered at a drop-in centre on Southside encouraged them to find positive pathways to manhood and to reject the gang as a site of male success. This was further bolstered by weekly male ‘motivational speakers’ who covered topics such as ‘Not choosing the path of a gangster’, ‘Losing a loved one as a result of gang rivalry’, ‘Sexual exploitation’, and ‘Changing the course of your life’ (SYSP Annual Report, 2014). The program evaluation showed that the masculinities focus was instrumental in reaching out to boys and young men who were tempted by the gang because it gave them tools to critically appraise the gang as a site of male success. During the project life-cycle from 2012-2014, 89 of the 106 participants ended up in work, a paid apprenticeship, or back into full-time education (SYSP Annual Report, 2014). A key lesson from this experience was that whilst masculinities matter, mentoring was only effective when twinned with tangible alternative pathways for youths back into education or gainful employment; in other words, visualised and viable alternative pathways to manhood prevented gang membership. The better we grasp the masculine dynamics of gang practices, the better positioned we will be as scholars and practitioners to reduce the harm done by gangs to already vulnerable communities, particularly homicidal and sexual violences, and the pernicious impact of fear that lies just beneath the surface.

Adler, A. (1928). *Understanding human nature*. London: Allen and Unwin.

Anderson, E. (2000). *Code of the street : decency, violence, and the moral life of the inner city*.

Arciaga Young, M. (2019). *Community Gang Assessment, Belize*. Interamerican Development Bank, Washington D.C.

- Baird, A. (2011) *South-Side Youth Success: Pathways to employment for young men at-risk of joining gangs*, UNDP, Belize (open access at: https://www.academia.edu/11549073/Southside_Youth_Success_Pathways_to_employment_for_young_men_at-risk_of_joining_gangs_in_Belize_City)
- Baird, A., (2012a), The violent gang and the construction of masculinity amongst socially excluded young men, *Safer Communities*, 11(4): 179–190.
- Baird, A., (2012b), Negotiating Pathways to Manhood: Rejecting Gangs and Violence in Medellín’s Periphery, *Journal of Conflictology*, 3(1): 30-41.
- Baird, A., (2015), *Duros & Gangland Girlfriends: Male Identity, Gang Socialisation and Rape in Medellín*, in Auyero, J., Bourgois, P. & Scheper-Hughes, N. (Eds), *Violence at the Urban Margins in the Americas*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Baird, A (2018a) Becoming the Baddest: Masculine trajectories of gang violence in Medellín, *Journal of Latin American Studies*, 50(1), 183-210.
- Baird, A (2018b) Dancing with Danger: Ethnographic safety, male bravado and gang research in Colombia, *Qualitative Research*, Sage.
- Barker, G. (2005). *Dying To Be Men: Youth, masculinity and social exclusion*. London: Routledge.
- Beske, M. (2016). *Intimate Partner Violence and Advocate Response: Redefining Love in Western Belize - 9781498503600* | Rowman & Littlefield. Lanham, Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Bloch, H., & Niederhoffer, A. (1958). *The Gang: A Study of Adolescent Behavior*. New York: Philosophical Library.
- Bolland, N. (1997). *Struggles for Freedom: Essays on slavery, colonialism and culture in the Caribbean and Central America*. Belize City: The Angelus Press Limited.
- Bourgois, P. (1995). *In Search of Respect: Selling crack in El Barrio* (2nd ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Bourgois, P. (2001). In Search of Masculinity: Violence, Respect, and Sexuality among Puerto Rican Crack Dealers in East Harlem. In *Men’s Lives*. Pearson.
- Brotherton, D. (2007). Proceedings from the transnational street gang/organization seminar. *Crime, Media, Culture: An International Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1741659007082472>
- Brotherton, D., & Gude, R. (2018). *Social Inclusion from Below: The Perspectives of Street Gangs and Their Possible Effects on Declining Homicide Rates in Ecuador*. Washington DC.
- Buller, A. M. (2015). Young men and structural, symbolic and everyday violence in Lima, Peru. In J. Parkes (Ed.), *Gender Violence in Poverty Contexts: The Educational Challenge. Education, Poverty and International Development*. London: Routledge.
- Cerbino, M., & Barrios, L. (2008). *Otras Naciones: Jóvenes, transnacionalismo y exclusión*. Quito: FLACSO.
- Cerbino, M., & Rodríguez, A. (2008). La Nación imaginada de los Latin Kings: criminología cultural y la banda transnacional. In M. Cerbino & L. Barrios (Eds.), *Otras Naciones:*

- Jóvenes, transnacionalismo y exclusión* (FLACSO). Quito.
- Cloward, R. A., & Ohlin, L. E. (1966). *Delinquency and opportunity: a theory of delinquent gangs*. Glencoe, Illinois: Free Press.
- Cobbina, J. E., Like-Haislip, T. Z., & Miller, J. (2010). Gang Fights versus Cat Fights: Urban Young Men's Gendered Narratives of Violence. *Deviant Behavior, 31*(7), 596–624. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639620903231522>
- Cruz, Jose Miguel. (2014). Maras and the Politics of Violence in El Salvador. In J. Hazen & D. Rodgers (Eds.), *Global Gangs: Street violence across the world* (pp. 123–144). Minnesota: University of Minnesota Press.
- Cruz, José Miguel. (2010). Central American maras : from youth street gangs to transnational protection rackets. *Global Crime, 11*(4), 379–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17440572.2010.519518>
- Dawson, G. (1994). *Soldier heroes : British adventure, empire, and the imagining of masculinities*. London ; Routledge.
- de la Tierra, A. L. (2016). Essentializing Manhood in “the Street”: Perilous Masculinity and Popular Criminological Ethnographies. *Feminist Criminology, 11*(4), 375–397. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1557085116662313>
- Espagne, M. (1999). *Les transferts culturels*. Paris: Press Universitaires e France.
- Flores, J. (2009). *The diaspora strikes back Caribe* ♦o tales of learning and turning. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Fontes, A. W. (2018). *Mortal Doubt: Transnational Gangs and Social Order in Guatemala City*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Fraser, A. (2013). Street habitus: gangs, territorialism and social change in Glasgow. *Journal of Youth Studies*.
- Fraser, A. (2017). *Gangs & Crime: Critical Alternatives*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Gayle, H., Hampton, V., & Mortis, N. (2016). *Like Bush Fire: A study on male participation and violence in urban Belize*. Belize City: Cubola Productions.
- Gemert, F. V. (2001). Crips in Orange: Gangs and Groups in the Netherlands. In W. E. G. M. Klein M.W., Kerner HJ., Maxson C.L. (Ed.), *The Eurogang Paradox: Street gangs and youth groups in the U.S. and Europe*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Gutierrez Rivera, L., Strønen, I., & Ystanes, M. (2018). Coming of Age in the Penal System: Neoliberalism, ‘Mano Dura’ and the Reproduction of ‘Racialised’ Inequality in Honduras (pp. 205–228). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-61536-3_9
- Gutmann, M. C., & Viveros Vigoya, M. (2005). Masculinities in Latin America. In M. Kimmel, J. Hearn, & R. W. Connell (Eds.), *Handbook of Studies on Men and Masculinities*. London: Sage Publications.
- Hagedorn, J. (2008). *A World of Gangs*. London: University of Minnesota Press.
- Hall, S. (2015). Creolité and the Process of Creolization*. In *Creolizing Europe*. Liverpool University Press. <https://doi.org/10.5949/liverpool/9781781381717.003.0002>
- Haylock, N. (2013). *National Public Policy Proposal: Prevention of Youth-Involved Violence in Belize 2012-2022*.

- Heinonen, P. (2011). *Youth Gangs and Street Children: Culture, nature and masculinity in Ethiopia*. New York: Berghan Books.
- Jensen, S. (2008). *Gangs, Politics & Dignity in Cape Town*. Oxford: James Curry Ltd.
- Jewkes, R., Morrell, R., Hearn, J., Lundqvist, E., Blackbeard, D., Lindegger, G., ... Gottzén, L. (2015). Hegemonic masculinity: combining theory and practice in gender interventions. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 17 Suppl 2(sup2), S112–S127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2015.1085094>
- Johns, A. (2014). Bloods, Crips and Southern Cross Soldiers: Gang Identities in Australia. *A Critical Youth Studies for the 21st Century*. Brill.
- Jütersonke, O., Muggah, R., & Rodgers, D. (2009). Gangs, Urban Violence, and Security Interventions in Central America. *Security Dialogue*, 40(4–5), 373–397. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010609343298>
- Levenson-Estrada, D. (2013). *Adiós niño : the gangs of Guatemala City and the politics of death*. Duke University Press.
- Levenson, D. T. (2013). *Adiós Niño: The Gangs of Guatemala City and the Politics of Death*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Maher, L. (1997). *Sexed work : gender, race, and resistance in a Brooklyn drug market*. New York.
- Messerschmidt and R. W. Connell, J. W. (2005). Hegemonic Masculinity. Rethinking the Concept. *Gender and Society*, 19(6), 829–859.
- Messerschmidt, J. W. (2018). *Hegemonic Masculinity: Formulation, Reformulation, and Amplification*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Miller, J. (2001). *One of the Guys: Girls, Gangs and Gender*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Miller Matthei, L., & Smith, D. (1998). Belizean ‘Boyz ‘n the ‘Hood’? Garifuna Labor Migration and Transnational. In M. P. Guarnizo & L. E. Smith (Eds.), *Identity in Transnationalism from Below* (pp. 270–290). New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Publishers.
- Muhammad, N. (2015). *Insights into Gang Culture in Belize: Essays on youth, crime, and violence*. Belize City: Reynolds Desktop Publishing.
- Mullins, C. W. (2006). *Holding your square : masculinities, streetlife, and violence*. Willan.
- Mullins, C. W., & Cardwell-Mullins, R. M. (2006). Bad Ass or Punk Ass? The Contours of Street Masculinity. *Universitas*, 2(2), 1–17.
- Mullins, C. W., & Lee, S. (2019). “Like Make Up on a Man”: The Gendered Nature of Gun Norms. *Deviant Behavior*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2019.1565515>
- Panfil, V. R., & Peterson, D. (2015). Gender, Sexuality, and Gangs. In *The Handbook of Gangs* (pp. 208–234). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118726822.ch12>
- Peirce, J. (2017). *Gap Analysis Report: Citizen Security in Belize*. Washington D.C.
- Peterson, D. (2018). Gender and Gang Involvement. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Criminology*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264079.013.448>

- Quierolo Palmas, L. (2009). Pandillas en el Atlantico Latino: identidad, transnacionalismo y generaciones. *ICONOS*, 13(2), 125–138.
- Rodgers, D. & Baird, A., (2015), Understanding Gangs in Contemporary Latin America, in Decker, S. & Pyrooz, D. (Eds.), *The Wiley Handbook of Gangs*, Oxford: John Wiley & Sons.
- Roks, R. A. (2017). In the ‘h200d’: Crips and the intersection between space and identity in the Netherlands. *Crime, Media, Culture: An International Journal*, 174165901772900. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741659017729002>
- Roks, R. A., & Densley, J. A. (2019). From Breakers to Bikers: The Evolution of the Dutch Crips ‘Gang’. *Deviant Behavior*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2019.1572301>
- Sandberg, S. (2008). Street capital: Ethnicity and violence on the streets of Oslo. *Theoretical Criminology*, 12(2), 153–171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362480608089238>
- Saunders-Hastings, K. (2018). Red zone blues: Violence and nostalgia in Guatemala City. *Ethnography*, 146613811879597. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1466138118795975>
- Savenije, W., & van der Borgh, C. (2015). San Salvador: Violence and Resilience in Gangland: Coping with the Code of the Street. In K. Koonings & D. Krujt (Eds.), *Violence and Resilience in Latin American Cities*. London: Zed Books Ltd.
- Shoman, A. (2011). *A History of Belize in 13 Chapters* (2nd ed.). Belize City: The Angelus Press Limited.
- Theidon, K. (2007). Transitional Subjects: The Disarmament, Demobilization and Reintegration of Former Combatants in Colombia. *International Journal of Transitional Justice*, 1(1), 66–90. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijtj/ijm011>
- UNDP Belize, & Government of Belize. (2013). *The Good, The Bad, The Nobody Road*. Belize City.
- UNICEF Belize. (2011). *The Situation Analysis of Children and Women in Belize 2011 An Ecological Review*. Belize City.
- UNODC. (2018). Statistics.
- Venkatesh, S. (2008). *Gang Leader for a Day: A Rogue Sociologist Takes to the Streets*. New York: The Penguin Press.
- Vernon, S. (2000). *In-Transit: The story of a journey*. Belize City: The Angelus Press Limited.
- Warnecke-Berger, H. (2017). Forms of Violence in Past and Present: El Salvador and Belize in Comparative Perspective. In S. Huhn & H. Warnecke-Berger (Eds.), *Politics and History of Violence and Crime in Central America*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Warnecke-Berger, H. (2019). *Politics & Violence in Central America & the Caribbean*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wolf, S. (2017). *Mano Dura : the politics of gang control in El Salvador*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Zilberg, E. (2011). *Space of detention: The making of a transnational gang crisis between Los Angeles and San Salvador*. Duke University Press.
- Zubillaga, V. (2009). “Gaining respect”: The logic of violence among young men in the barrios of Caracas, Venezuela. In G. A. Jones & D. Rodgers (Eds.), *Youth Violence in*

Latin America: Gangs and Juvenile Justice in Perspective in Perspective. London: Palgrave.

Zulver, J. (2016). High-risk feminism in El Salvador: women's mobilisation in violent times. *Gender & Development*, 24(2), 171–185.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13552074.2016.1200883>