A Modified Method for Los Angeles Abrasion Test

Umar, T, Egbu, C & Saidani, M

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

Umar, T, Egbu, C & Saidani, M 2019, 'A Modified Method for Los Angeles Abrasion Test' Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions of Civil Engineering <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40996-019-00268-w</u>

DOI 10.1007/s40996-019-00268-w ISSN 2228-6160 ESSN 2364-1843

Publisher: Springer

The final publication is available at Springer via <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40996-019-</u> 00268-w

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

A Modified Method for Los Angeles Abrasion Test

Tariq Umar MSc, CEng IntPE(UK), MICE

Lecturer, Civil Engineering, A'Sharqiyah University Oman

Email: <u>t.umar@asu.edu.om</u>

Charles Egbu PhD, FRICS, FCIOB, FAPM, FRSA, FHEA

Dean of School of the Built Environment and Architecture, London South Bank University, UK.

Email: Egbuc@lsbu.ac.uk

Messaoud Saidani BEng, PhD, PgCertLT, CEng, MIStructE

Head of Research, School of Energy, Construction and Environment, Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing, Coventry University, UK.

Email: cbx086@coventry.ac.uk

Abstract:

Infrastructure development projects in Oman are at a peak which results in a huge requirement for the construction materials. Aggregates are one of the most common materials, naturally available and used in both cement and asphalt concrete apart from being used as under laying material. The evaluation of aggregates physical, chemical and mechanical properties thus become important as it directly affects the product in which they are used as a constituent. Aggregates should be hard and tough enough to resist crushing, degradation and disintegration from any associated activities including manufacturing, stockpiling, production, placing and compaction. In this article an attempt is made to develop a modified method to determine the abrasion resistance of aggregates. Different sizes of aggregates obtained from two natural sources in Oman were tested in the laboratory using both the standard and modified Los Angles Abrasion (LAA) test and a mathematical relationship is developed. Based on this relationship, the standard LAA value can be determined from the modified method results. In the modified LAA test method, the time required for the test is reduced by 24 hours, apart from reducing the resources required for the test. Since the modified LAA method is developed considering Oman climatic and geological conditions, thus there is a possibility that this method and mathematical relationship described in this article may need to be validated before it is adopted.

Key Words: Strength & testing of materials, Quality control, Sustainability, Mathematical modelling, Granular materials, Materials technology, Aggregates, Los Angeles Abrasion

1. Introduction:

Construction is a major industry providing jobs to millions of people and contributing to the countries and the world economy. Construction industry's contribution towards the Omani economy is around 9% of the total gross domestic product and employs 18% of the total population (Umar et al., 2018). The ongoing and planned construction projects in Oman for the financial year 2015–2016 amount to a total value of US\$43.160 billion (Umar, 2016). This includes the largest project 'Oman Rail', which is in the pre-execution phase with a total length of 2135 km and a budget of US\$15.6 billion. The ongoing and planned infrastructures projects reflect a large demand for construction materials. Cement production capacity in Oman currently stands at 8.81 Megaton/year (GB, 2016). This can be translated to the requirement of aggregates for cement concrete which will be equal 52.86 Megaton/year. A more or less similar quantity of aggregates would be required for asphalt concrete. A report published by the Ministry of Transport and Communication, Oman indicates that the asphalt road network in Oman has grown exponentially in recent decades, soaring from 3,766 km in 1990 to 13,209 km in 2013, an increase of 11 percent annually. The graded road network also grew significantly during the same period, climbing from 13,048 km in 1990 to 16,600 km in 2013, an increase of 1.2 percent annually (MOTC, 2015). This can be translated to an annual increase in aggregates requirement for highway sector in Oman which is roughly equal to 10%. The infrastructure projects in other gulf countries are also at peak these days. All these projects need an increased quantity of construction materials which results in sustainability issues. Sustainability in a number of areas in the gulf region is comparatively lower than other countries around the world (Umar and Egbu, 2018-a).

Aggregates are one of the most common materials used in engineering and infrastructure projects. Roughly, both fine and coarse aggregates accumulate more than 85% of cement and asphalt concrete. The accumulative percentage of aggregates used in underlying layers is more than 90%. Aggregates can be obtained from natural sources like gravel pit, river bed and rocks (Umar and Wamuziri, 2016; Mamlouk and Zaniewski, 2018). Aggregates are exposed to a variety of physical and chemical influences depending on the areas in which they are used. An aggregate should generally be hard, durable, and uniform and clean, as well as highly abrasion resistant (Teymen, 2017). The aggregates are tested in the laboratory to ascertain their properties. Roberts et al., (1991) noted that aggregates undergo substantial wear and tear throughout their life. The aggregates used in both building and highway projects must be able to transmit loads from top layers to the lower layer of earth surface. Mechanical properties are especially critical for open or gap

graded hot mix asphalt (HMA), which does not benefit from the cushioning effect of the fine aggregate and where coarse particles are subjected to high contact stresses (Parker and Kandhal, 1998). Aggregates which fail to resist abrasion and polishing may cause premature structural failure and a possibility of loss of skid resistance. Poor resistance to abrasion can produce excessive dust during HMA production which results in environmental problems as well as mixture control problems (Wu et al., 1998). The LAA test measures the degradation of a coarse aggregate sample that is placed in a rotating drum with steel spheres. As the drum rotates the aggregate degrades by abrasion and impact with other aggregate particles and the steel spheres (called the "charge"). Once the test is complete, the calculated mass of aggregate that has broken apart to smaller sizes is expressed as a percentage of the total mass of aggregate. The lower Los Angeles Abrasion loss values indicate aggregate that is tougher and more resistant to abrasion. This article presents the results of LAA tests conducted on different grades of aggregates were then tested following the standard procedure, but without washing and drying. The aim of this experimental study was to compare the results of LAA test conducted on the different grades of aggregates obtained from a local source of gravel pit and to compare the results of test following both the standard and modified methods.

2. Standard and Modified LAA Test:

The standard LAA Test referred in this article is conducting the test in accordance to the procedure defined in AASHTO T 96-02, ASTM C131 / C131M - 14 and ASTM C535 - 16. The standard procedure of the test indicates that the test samples need to be separated into individual size fractions and recombined to the grading as shown in Table 1 ((ASTM (1994), C131-81), AASHTO T 96-02). The sample of the aggregates shown in Table 1 need to be collected from washed aggregate dried at 110 ± 5 °C (230 ± 9 °F). The abrasive charge and number of revolutions depends upon the grading of the test sample as described in Table 2. In the LAA as the drum rotates, a shelf plate picks up the sample and the steel spheres, carrying them around until they are dropped to the opposite side of the drum, causing an impact-crushing effect. The contents then roll within the drum with an abrading and grinding action until the shelf plate impacts and the cycle is repeated. In the standard Los Angeles Machine, the drum normally rotates at a speed of 30 to 33 revolutions per minute. After the prescribed number of revolutions, the contents are removed from the drum and the aggregate portion is sieved to measure the degradation as percentage loss. After the prescribed number of revolutions the material is discharged from the machine and a preliminary separation of the sample is made on 4.75 mm sieve. The fine material portion is sieved on a 1.7 mm sieve. Aggregates coarser than the 1.70 mm are washed, oven dried at 110 ± 5 °C, and weighed to the nearest gram. Washing the aggregates over a 1.70mm sieve and re-drying is normally only required when the percentage loss is within 2% of the specification limit. The test has more acceptability because the resistance to abrasion and impact is determined simultaneously. Depending upon the percentage loss value, the suitability of aggregates for different layers of road constructions can be judged as per Ministry of Transportation and Communication, Oman specifications given in Table 3. Mathematically, the percentage loss in the weight of aggregates after performing the test can be obtained from Eq. (1).

Percentage Loss = $\frac{z}{x} \times x100$ Eq. (1)

Where,

X = Weight of the sample in grams before testing.

Y = Weight of the sample after testing.

Z = Weight Loss in grams (X-Y)

The standard LAA test required approximately 25 hours. The time required for each component of the test is shown in Table 4.

Modified LAA Test referred in this article is conducting the test as per the procedure mentioned in the standard LAA Test expects washing and drying the aggregates at 110 ± 5 °C before and after the test. This method is adopted to observe the variation in the results of aggregates obtained from a specific source (gravel pit) in Oman. The hypothesis behind adopting this procedure is that although the results obtained from standard and modified would not be the same, if the results in both cases would be in the range of acceptable range, then the stages of washing and drying the aggregates can be waived from the standard procedure of LAA Test. This will help to establish a relationship between the results obtained from both methods. There is a possibility that modified LAA Test will best suit the aggregates obtained from Oman due its climatic conditions and crust properties.

The LAA test was developed considering the United States environmental conditions. However, in the gulf region particularly, Oman environmental conditions are totally different from the United States. The average maximum reported temperature in July 2017 was 39° C, while the average rainfall in the same period was 0.4 mm (WWO, 2017). It needs to be noted that the actual temperature in the summer season in Oman is far more than the reported one. Umar and Egbu (2018-b) quoting some local newspaper reported that in summer, the maximum temperature could reach to 50° C in some parts of Oman. The summer period in Oman usually remain eight months of the year covering the period from March to October. Both the high climatic temperature and low rainfall in Oman could have an impact on the aggregates and somehow raise concerns about the washing and drying of the aggregates, it would be much less and may have no practical implication. If the argument is supported by the laboratory experiments results, then it can lead towards reducing the two steps, washing and drying of aggregates for LAA Test in Oman. This will not only reduce the time required for the testing but will also reduce the use of resources and energy to conduct such tests.

Sieve sizes (square openings), mm		Mass of indicated sizes, (grams)						
Passing	Retained	Grading						
_	on	Α	В	С	D	Ε	F	G
75	63					2500±50		
63	50					2500±50		
50	37.5					5000±50	5000±50	
37.5	25.0	1250 ± 25					5000±25	5000±25
25.0	19.0	1250 ± 25						5000±25
19.0	12.5	1250 ± 10	2500 ± 10					
12.5	9.5	1250 ± 10	2500 ± 10					
9.5	6.3			2500 ± 10				
6.3	4.75			2500 ± 10				
4.75	2.35				5000 ± 10			
To	tal:	5000 ± 10 5000 ± 10 5000 ± 10 5000 ± 10 10000 ± 75 $10000 \pm 5000 \pm 10$						10000 ± 50

 Table 1: Gradation of Aggregates for LAA Test (ASTM (1994), C131-81).

Grading	Number of Spheres	Weight of Charge (grams)	Number of Revolutions
А	12	500	500
В	11	458	500
С	8	333	500
D	6	250	500
Е	12	500	1000
F	12	500	1000
G	12	500	1000

S. No.	Type of Pavement	Maximum Permissible abrasion value (%)
1	Water bound macadam sub base course	60
2	WBM base course with bituminous surfacing	50
3	Bituminous bound macadam	50
4	WBM surfacing course	40
5	Bituminous penetration macadam	40
6	Bituminous surface dressing, cement concrete surface course	35
7	Bituminous concrete surface course	30

Table 2: Abrasive Charge and Number of Revolutions for Different Grades of Aggregates.

 Table 3: Maximum Permissible Abrasion Value (%) of Aggregates for Different Layers of Flexible Pavement (MOTC, 2010).

Test Component	Required Time
Reducing a sample to testing size	5 – 10 minutes
Washing the sample	5 – 10 minutes
Drying to a constant mass	8 – 12 hours (overnight)
Time in rotating drum	30 minutes
Sieving and rewashing	30 minutes
Drying to a constant mass	8 – 12 hours (overnight)
Final weighing	5 – 10 minutes

 Table 4: Time Required for Each Component of a Standard LAA Test.

3. Laboratory Experiments:

The samples of aggregates for laboratory experiments were obtained from two gravel pit sources of aggregates. The aggregates from these sources were in use in the construction of a local highway project connecting two cities of Oman with an estimated cost of US \$305.90 million and a length of 75 km. Overall in this project, aggregates from five different locations were used. The reason of obtaining aggregates from two different locations was that at each location different sizes of aggregates were available. At the first location of aggregate source, the size of aggregates available was from the range of 2.35 mm to 37.5 mm and at second location of source, the aggregates size was from 25 mm to 75 mm. Thus aggregates of grades A, B, C and D were obtained from the first source and aggregates of grade E, F and G were obtained from the second source. The AASTTO (T2) and ASTM (D75 / D75M - 14) standards which cover the sampling of both coarse and fine aggregates were used to collect the appropriate field samples of aggregates. The test samples for different grading of aggregates were prepared in accordance with criteria mentioned in Table 1 (ASTM (1994), C131-81). Measuring balance (weighting scale) with a readability of 0.5 g was used to measure the mass of each sample. The LAA machine used for the testing was also in compliance with European Standards CSN EN 1097-2 (2010). Large capacity oven with a temperature control from 40° C to 250° C was used where the samples were required to be oven dried. Two samples of each grade were prepared. One sample of each grade was tested according to the standard LAA test procedure and second sample was tested according to the modified method of LAA method. The modified LAA test is similar to the standard LAA method; however, in the modified

LAA method the washing and drying of aggregates was ignored. Equation (1) was used to determine the percentage loss in each sample. The test results obtained from both methods are reported in Table 5.

Grade of	Standards LAA Test			Modified LAA Test		
Aggregates	Mass of	Mass of	Percentage	Mass of	Mass of	Percentage
	Sample	Sample After	Loss of	Sample	Sample After	Loss of
	Before Test	Testing	Materials (%)	Before Test	Testing	Materials (%)
	(grams)	(grams)	- Equation	(grams)	(grams)	– Equation No.
			No. 1			1
Α	5000	3841.5	23.17	5000	3912	21.76
В	5000	3778.5	24.43	5000	3951	20.98
С	5000	3710	25.80	5000	3811	23.78
D	5000	3719.5	25.61	5000	3882.5	22.35
Ε	10000	7737	22.63	10000	8249	17.51
F	10000	7824	21.76	10000	8450	15.50
G	10000	7850	21.5	10000	8329	16.71

Table 5: Results of Laboratory Tests by Using Standard and Modified LAA Method.

4. Interpretation of Results and Discussion:

The results of standard and modified LAA test shows that in both cases the results are different, however after comparing with the maximum values as shown in Table 3, they are in the acceptable range if used in the construction of highways. The results indicate that the values of LAA test performed on different size of aggregates are not the same using a standard method or modified method. For instance, aggregates of grading A, B, C and D are obtained from the same source of aggregates, however the percentage loss in all cases is different, which reveals that the LAA values are more dependent on the size of aggregates rather than the geological nature of aggregates. Comparatively, aggregates from second source which has grading of E, F and G give a lower value of percentage loss by both standard and modified method which indicates that aggregates from second source have a higher abrasion resistance. Comparing both the results of LAA values obtained through a standard method and modified method encourages the argument that since the values obtained are in the acceptable range, therefore there is a possibility of skipping two components of the test which is washing and drying of aggregates. This can reduce the time required by 24 hour interval from reducing the resources required for the standard test. The typical time required for a standards LAA test is approximately 25 hours (Table 4). The results of modified LAA test can be translated to the standard test. For instance, the aggregates of grading "A" gives a percentage loss in weight equal to 21.76% while the percentage loss through the standard LAA test is equal to 23.17%. Mathematically the relationship between two results can be developed through the Eq. (2) as under.

 $N = \frac{Log10(X)}{Log10(Y)} \qquad \dots \qquad Eq. (2)$

Where,

N = Modification Factor

X = Percentage Loss through Standard Los Angeles Abrasion Test

Y = Percentage Loss through Modified Los Angeles Abrasion Test

Using equation No.2, the modification factor (N) for aggregates of grading A will be equal to 0.958. The standard LAA can be obtained by using equation No.3 as under.

$$SLA = 100 \times \left\{\frac{MLA}{100}\right\}^N$$
 Eq. (3)

Where;

SLA = Standard LAA Value

MLA = Modified LAA Value

N = Modification Factor (Calculated from Eq.(2))

The calculated Los Angeles Abrasion value for aggregates grading "A" using Eq. 3 is equal to 23.17% which is the same value obtained from the standard LAA test (Table 6). Based on these equations, the modification factors (N) and the values of percentage loss for all grading of aggregates are calculated and presented in Table 6. These modification factors (N) can be used whenever the modified LAA test is used and it is required to determine the standard value of LAA value.

Grade of Aggregates	Standard Method Loss)(%	Modified Method (% Loss)	Modification Factor (N) – Eq. (2)	Calculated (% Loss) – Eq. (3)
Α	23.17	21.76	0.958	23.17
В	24.43	20.98	0.902	24.43
С	25.8	23.78	0.943	25.80
D	25.61	22.35	0.909	25.61
E	22.63	17.51	0.852	22.63
F	21.76	15.50	0.818	21.76
G	21.50	16.71	0.859	21.50

Table 6: Calculation of Modification Factors (N) and Los Angeles Abrasion Values.

The results of standard and modified LAA test shown in Table 5 are not consistent, which reflects that even if the aggregates source is the same the Los Angeles Abrasion value can be different. This indicates that there is a major role of the size of aggregates which is one of the main factors which control the Los Angeles Abrasion value. The procedure mentioned above for the calculation of standard Los Angeles Abrasion Value from modified Los Angeles Abrasion Value will be valid for the aggregates from the same sources, however, as the sources aggregates will change, their standard and modified LAA values will change, this will change the modification factors. For instance, the aggregates from river bed and rocks will have different values for Los Angeles Abrasion. Thus it is recommended that appropriate validation needs to be done before considering the use of modified method for Los Angeles Abrasion test. It is also necessary to keep in mind that as the earth crust properties change, the aggregates properties will change. The aggregates used in these experiments were from two sources approximately 10 km away from each other, and there is a considerable variation in the values of Los Angeles Abrasion obtained through standard and modified method. The research is conducted in a specific area in Sultanate of Oman, which has its own geological facts. Therefore apart from crust and upper plate's properties of the earth, temperature variation and rain fall could be some of the factors which need to be considered before adopting modified method of Los Angeles Abrasion.

5. Conclusion:

Aggregates are one of the main and important construction materials which are used very widely. Aggregates can be obtained from natural sources such as gravel pit, river pit and rocks. Physical, chemical and mechanical properties of aggregates and their effective evaluation are considered important as it influences the final product such as cement or asphalt concrete properties. Although there are several laboratory and field tests which help researchers to understand aggregates properties and to decide on the use of aggregates for a specific mix design. In this article the abrasion resistance of aggregates is considered and an attempt is made to develop a time effective method for

determining the LAA value. Aggregates obtained from two sources in Oman are tested through a standard and modified LAA method and a relationship between both methods is developed. The standard LAA test normally required 25 hours for one test, while in modified method the duration of the test can be reduced by 24 hours apart from reducing the resources required for a standard LAA test. Considering the high temperature and low rainfall in Oman, two main components from standard LAA test which are washing and drying of aggregates are skipped from the modified LAA test. The results from both standard and modified LAA test were, however, not the same but were in the acceptable range if used in the highway construction. To calculate the standard LAA value from the modified LAA results a mathematical relationship is developed and a modification factor is obtained for different grades of aggregates. The modified LAA test and the mathematical relationship with standard LAA discussed in this article may only be valid in Oman and other gulf countries as the temperature, rainfall and earth crust and upper plate's properties are similar. In a situation where these factors are changed, the modified Los Angeles results and its relationship with the standard LAA results will change, and it will require validation before the modified LAA test is adopted. The results obtained from both standard and modified LAA are limited to two locations and the same source (gravel pit) of aggregates. It is possible that the results from a different source of aggregates such as river bed or rocks would be different and the modification factors may need to be calculated. Thus further research is recommended to investigate the topic further considering the other source of aggregates and finding similar modification factors which could be applicable in different situations. Since this was an initial attempt to develop a modified LAA test, the laboratory experiments were on a limited scale. One standard and one modified test for each grade of aggregates were conducted. In further research, the number of tests may need to be more to increase the reliability of the results.

References:

- AASHTO T 96-02. (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials). Standard method of test for resistance to degradation of small-size coarse aggregate by abrasion and impact in the Los Angeles Machine. 2002. AASHTO, Washington, USA. See: http://standards.globalspec.com/std/1021272/aashto-t-96 (accessed 08/11/2017).
- AASTTO (T2). (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials). Sampling of Aggregates. AASHTO, Washington, USA. See: http://www.dot.nd.gov/manuals/materials/testingmanual/t2.pdf (accessed: 19/11/2017).
- ASTM (American Society of Testing and Materials). (1994), C131-81). Standard test method for resistance to degradation of small size coarse aggregate by abrasion and impact in the Los Angeles Machine. 1994 Annual Books of ASTM Standards, Volume 04.03, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, USA. 19103-1187.
- ASTM (D75 / D75M 14), (American Society of Testing and Materials). Standard Practice for Sampling Aggregates, 2014. ASTM, ASTM, Pennsylvania, USA. See: https://www.astm.org/Standards/D75.htm (accessed: 19/11/2017).
- ASTM C131 / C131M 14. (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials). Standard Test Method for Resistance to Degradation of Small-Size Coarse Aggregate by Abrasion and Impact in the Los Angeles Machine. 2014. ASTM, Pennsylvania, USA.
- ASTM C535 16. (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials). Standard Test Method for Resistance to Degradation of Large-Size Coarse Aggregate by Abrasion and Impact in the Los Angeles Machine. 2016. ASTM, Pennsylvania, USA. See: https://www.astm.org/Standards/C535.htm (accessed: 15/01/2017).
- CSN EN 1097-2 (2010). European Standards. Tests for mechanical and physical properties of aggregates Part 2: Methods for the determination of resistance to fragmentation. 2010. European Standards Store, Czech Republic.

- GB (Global Cement), 2016. Update on cement industry of Oman. Global Cement, Surrey, United Kingdom. See: http://www.globalcement.com/news/itemlist/tag/Oman%20Cement (accessed 12/10/2017).
- Kandhal, P.S. and Parker, F., 1998. Aggregate tests related to asphalt concrete performance in pavements (No. 405). Transportation Research Board. Washington, USA. See: http://pubsindex.trb.org/view/1998/m/477791 (accessed 08/11/2017).
- Mamlouk, M.S. and Zaniewski, J.P., 2018. Materials for Civil and Construction Engineers, 4th Edition. Pearson Education Limited. London, UK.
- MOTC (Ministry of Transport and Communication, Oman). 2015. "Special Issue on Roads in Oman". Ministry of Transport and Communication, Oman, March, 2015. Issue 2. Muscat, Oman. See: http://www.motc.gov.om/Ann/2015En/index.html#/18 (accessed: 06/12/2017).
- MOTC. (Ministry of Transport and Communication, Oman). 2010. "Highway Design Standards, Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction". Ministry of Transport and Communication, Oman, 2010. Volume 3. Muscat, Oman.
- Roberts, F.L., Kandhal, P.S., Brown, E.R., Lee, D.Y. and Kennedy, T.W., 1991. Hot mix asphalt materials, mixture design and construction.
- Teymen, A., 2017. Estimation of Los Angeles abrasion resistance of igneous rocks from mechanical aggregate properties. Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, Springer. pp.1-10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-017-1134-0.
- Umar, T and Wamuziri, S "Strength Analysis of Concrete (300/20) with Super plasticizer". In Mohammad Mohtaram (Editor). Proceeding of the International Conclave on Innovations in Engineering & Management (Oman Vision 2020: Opportunities & Challenges); 2016. 24-25 February, 2016. Muscat, Oman. Pages 162-176. ISBN: 978-99969-0-773-9.
 https://www.waljatcollege.edu.om/downloads/SouvenirICIEM-2016.pdf.
- Umar, T. and Egbu, C., 2018-a. Global Commitment Towards Sustainable Energy. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Engineering Sustainability (pp. 1-09). Thomas Telford Ltd. <u>https://www.icevirtuallibrary.com/doi/abs/10.1680/jensu.17.00059</u>.
- Umar, T. and Egbu, C., 2018-b. Heat Stress, a Hidden Cause of Accidents in Construction. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers–Municipal Engineer (pp. 1-30). Thomas Telford Ltd. https://www.icevirtuallibrary.com/doi/abs/10.1680/jmuen.18.00004.
- Umar, T., 2016, Cost of accidents in the construction industry of Oman. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Municipal Engineer. 170 (2): 68-73. Thomas Telford Ltd. <u>https://doi.org/10.1680/jmuen.16.00032</u>.
- Umar, T., Egbu, C., Wamuziri, S. and Honnurvali, M.S., 2018. Briefing: Occupational safety and health regulations in Oman. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Management, Procurement and Law: 171(3). pp.1-7.
- Wu, Y.; Parker, F. and Kandhal, K. (1998). Aggregate Toughness/Abrasion Resistance and Durability/Soundness Tests Related to Asphalt Concrete Performance in Pavements. NCAT Report 98-4. National Center for Asphalt Technology. Auburn, USA. See: http://www.eng.auburn.edu/center/ncat/reports/rep98-4.pdf. (Accessed 08/11/2017).
- WWO (World Weather Online). 2017. Current Weather Report. World Weather Online. UK. See: https://www.worldweatheronline.com/muscat-weather-averages/masqat/om.aspx (accessed: 16/11/2017).