Defects, Diffusion and Dopants in Sillimanite

Kuganathan, N., Sukumar, R., Iyngaran, P. & Chroneos, A.

Published PDF deposited in Coventry University's Repository

Original citation:

Sukumar, R, Iyngaran, P, Kuganathan, N & Chroneos, A 2020, 'Defects, Diffusion and Dopants in Sillimanite', Minerals, vol. 10, 857. https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/min10100857

DOI 10.3390/min10100857 ESSN 2075-163X

Publisher: MDPI

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.





Article **Defects, Diffusion and Dopants in Sillimanite**

Raveena Sukumar¹, Poobalasuntharam Iyngaran¹, Navaratnarajah Kuganathan^{2,3,*}

- ¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Jaffna, Sir. Pon Ramanathan Road, Thirunelvely, Jaffna 40000, Sri Lanka; raveenasukumar@gmail.com (R.S.); piyngs7@gmail.com (P.I.)
- ² Department of Materials, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK; ab8104@coventry.ac.uk
- ³ Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing, Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry CV1 5FB, UK
- * Correspondence: n.kuganathan@imperial.ac.uk

Received: 18 August 2020; Accepted: 28 September 2020; Published: 29 September 2020



Abstract: Aluminum silicate based mineral "Sillimanite" (Al₂SiO₅) is important in the industrial preparation of aluminum-silicon alloys and cement. In the present study classical pair potential simulations are used to examine the intrinsic defect processes, diffusion pathways of Al³⁺ and O²⁻ ions together with their activation energies and promising dopants on the Al and Si sites in Al₂SiO₅. The cation anti-site (Al-Si) defect cluster is calculated to be the most favorable defect, highlighting the cation disorder in this material, in agreement with the experiment. The cation disorder is important as this defect can change the mechanical and chemical properties of Al₂SiO₅. The Al³⁺ ions and O²⁻ ions migrate in the *c* direction with corresponding activation energies of 2.26 eV and 2.75 eV inferring slow ion diffusion. The prominent isovalent dopants on the Al and Si sites are found to be the Ga and Ge, respectively, suggesting that they can be used to prevent phase transformation and tune the properties of sillimanite.

Keywords: sillimanite; defects; dopants; diffusion; solution energy

1. Introduction

Sillimanite (Al₂SiO₅) is a naturally occurring mineral found in many parts of the world [1–5]. This mineral is mainly found in metamorphosed rocks and its formation is dependent on temperature and pressure [6]. It is an economically important mineral in the industrial preparation of bricks, cement, ceramics, jewelry (e.g., sillimanite gold ring) and fine porcelain (e.g., table top) [7–9]. The industrial use of sillimanite is related to its unique chemical composition, thermal stability and the formation of mullite-rich aggregates [10].

In order to produce high quality sillimanite samples from low grade ores to meet industrial needs, a variety of techniques have been applied [4,10]. Jin et al. [5] used a flotation technique to effectively separate sillimanite from ores and concluded that a higher flotation recovery is observed for sillimanite than its polymorph "kyanite" in the presence of a sodium oleate collector. The composition of sillimanite from high-grade metamorphic rock was analyzed by Grew et al. [11] and it was shown that a small amount of Fe³⁺ ions are present on the Al site forming stoichiometric (Al,Fe³⁺)₂SiO₅ composition. Annealing experiments undertaken by Holland et al. [12] at high pressures, resulted an Al/Si disorder in sillimanite.

Though there are many experimental studies on natural sillimanite, only a few experimental studies are available on the synthetic sillimanite. Xu et al. [13] synthesized sillimanite in the form of whiskers at low temperature and characteried its structure using X-ray diffraction (XRD) together with electron microscopy techniques. One of the difficulties in the preparation of sillimanite is the phase transformation in which sillimanite crystal structure becomes distorted and in this distorted structure

(mullite), a disordered distribution of Si and Al is present. Igami et al. [14] used synchrotron X-ray diffraction experiments to determine the temperature at which sillimanite transformation occurs. It was concluded that the mullitization (sillimanite to mullite) temperature is at ~1200 °C [14]. As synthetic sillimanite is of interest in the ceramic industry, its defect properties are also important in order to optimize its properties. Defect studies on this material have not been explored by experiments yet. Theoretical studies can provide useful information on the defects in this material. To the best of our knowledge, there are no theoretical studies available in the literature on the defects, including cation disorder (anti-site defect) and dopants in sillimanite.

Atomistic simulation techniques based on the classical pair potentials can provide detailed information about defect energetics, cation disorder and diffusion pathways, together with activation energies and promising dopants. Such information is useful in the interpretation of experimental data. In particular, the cation disorder and substitutional doping are important as these defect processes can influence the mechanical and chemical properties of Al₂SiO₅. The current methodology has been successfully applied to a variety of oxide materials to make precise predictions on the defect structures, local structural changes, migration energies and dopant properties [15–20]. In this work, atomistic simulation techniques are used to examine various defect processes, diffusion pathways and isovalent dopant behavior in sillimanite.

2. Computational Methods

All calculations were performed using a classical simulation code General Utility Lattice Program (GULP, version 3.4.1) [21]. Ionic interactions were described using long range (Coulombic) and short range (Pauli repulsion and van der Waals attraction) forces. The short range interactions were modelled using Buckingham potentials. Energy minimization calculations, to relax the simulation boxes and ionic positions, were performed using the Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) algorithm [22], as implemented in the GULP code. A gradient norm of 0.001 eV/Å was used to converge bulk and defect calculations. The Mott–Littleton method [23] was employed to calculate defect energies. In this approach, the crystal lattice is divided into two spherical regions (region I and region II). The inner spherical region (region I) consisted of ~ 700 ions immediately surrounding the defect and this region was relaxed explicitly. The ions in the outer sphere (region II) were relaxed using quasi-continuum methods. Two adjacent Al vacancy sites were first created, and Al-ion interstitial positions were then systematically placed at regular intervals along the diagonal connecting them. Seven interstitial positions were considered in all cases and the interstitial ion was fixed while all other ions were free to relax. However, fixing the interstitial ion position does not guarantee the minimum energy path, and it will give only a direct diffusion path. Therefore, interstitial positions were allowed to move in the x, y, z, xy, yz, and xz directions separately. Finally, the lowest activation energy pathway (curved pathway) was reported. The activation energy of the diffusing ion was calculated by taking the energy difference between the initial configuration and the saddle point configuration. This methodology has been discussed in previous theoretical studies [24,25].

3. Results

3.1. Crystal Structure of Al₂SiO₅

Sillimanite exhibits orthorhombic structure (space group *Pnma* (no 62)) with unit cell parameters a = 7.4856, b = 7.6738, c = 5.7698 Å and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.0^{\circ}$ [26]. While tetrahedral coordination is observed for Si⁴⁺ ions, both tetrahedral and octahedral coordination are noted for Al³⁺ ions. Chains of edge-sharing AlO₆ octahedra exist in this structure along the (001) direction (refer to Figure 1). In the same direction, alternating tetrahedral AlO₄ and SiO₄ units are present, sharing their corners. Buckingham potentials (refer to Table 1) [27,28] were validated by comparing experimental lattice parameters with those calculated from geometry optimization of bulk Al₂SiO₅. There is a good agreement between calculated and experimental values, as reported in Table 2.



Figure 1. Crystal structure of Al₂SiO₅.

Table 1. Buckingham potential parameters [27,28] used in the classical simulations of Al₂SiO₅. Two-body $\Phi_{ij}(r_{ij}) = A_{ij} \exp(-r_{ij}/\rho_{ij}) - C_{ij}/r_{ij}^{-6}$, where A, ρ and C are parameters which were selected carefully to reproduce the experimental data. The values of Y and K represent the shell charges and spring constants. A very large spring constant means there is no shell charge and atom is treated as core.

Interaction	A/eV	ho/Å	C/eV∙Å ⁶	Y/e	K/eV·Å ^{−2}
$Al^{3+}-O^{2-}$	1114.9	0.3118	0.00	3.000	99,999
$Si^{4+}-O^{2-}$	1283.91	0.32052	10.66	4.000	99,999
O ²⁻ -O ²⁻	22,764.00	0.1490	0.00	-2.8481	74.82

Parameter	Calculated	Experiment [26]	Δ (%)
a (Å)	7.247734	7.485600	3.18
b (Å)	7.508304	7.673800	2.16
c (Å)	5.944604	5.769800	3.03
$\alpha = \beta = \gamma (^{\circ})$	90.0	90.0	0.00
V (Å ³)	323.494621	331.434606	2.40

Table 2. Calculated and experimental structural parameters of Al₂SiO₅.

The main diagonal components of the elastic tensors were also calculated and compared with available theoretical and experimental values to further validate the potential parameters. Table 3 lists the calculated and experimental values. In general, there is a reasonable agreement between calculated values in this study and the values from the other theoretical studies and the experiment.

Table 3. Calculated and experimental diagonal components of the elastic tensors (C_{ij} units of Mbar) of Al₂SiO₅. Density functional theory is abbreviated as DFT.

Diagonal Components	This Study	Core–Shell Model [29]	DFT [30]	Experiment [31]
C ₁₁	2.53 (-12%)	2.82 (-2%)	3.19 (+11%)	2.87
C ₂₂	2.43 (+5%)	2.94 (+27%)	2.13 (-8%)	2.32
C ₃₃	5.32 (+37%)	5.53 (+43%)	4.14 (-17%)	3.88
C ₄₄	1.05 (-14%)	1.31(+7%)	1.23 (+1%)	1.22
C ₅₅	0.72 (-11%)	0.91(+12%)	0.76 (-6%)	0.81
C ₆₆	0.61 (-31%)	0.85 (-5%)	0.89 (0%)	0.89

In order to validate the potentials against energetics, we performed energy minimization calculations on the two other polymorphs of Sillimanite (Andalusite and Kyanite). Relative energies were compared with the experimental study reported by Waldbaum [32]. There is a good agreement in the trend between the calculation and the experiment (refer to Table 4).

Polymorphs	Relative Energy			
	This Study (eV)	Experiment (cal/gfw) [32]		
Andalusite	0	0		
Sillimanite	0.41	546		
Kyanite	0.86	1160		

Table 4. Comparison between experimental and calculated relative energies of polymorphs of Al₂SiO₅.

3.2. Intrinsic Defect Energetics

In this section, a systematic survey of intrinsic defects in Al_2SiO_5 was performed. A series of point defects (vacancies and interstitials) were first calculated and then they were combined to calculate Schottky and Frenkel energies. The following equations (Equations (1)–(8)) as written using Kröger–Vink notation [33] describe the Schottky, Frenkel and anti-site defect processes.

Al Frenkel :
$$Al_{Al}^{\chi} \to V_{Al}^{\prime\prime\prime} + Al_{i}^{\bullet\bullet\bullet}$$
 (1)

Si Frenkel :
$$\operatorname{Si}_{Si}^{X} \to V_{Si}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime} + \operatorname{Si}_{i}^{\bullet\bullet\bullet\bullet}$$
 (2)

$$O \text{ Frenkel} : O_O^{\chi} \to V_O^{\bullet \bullet} + O_i^{\prime\prime}$$
(3)

Schottky:
$$2AI_{Al}^{\chi} + Si_{Si}^{\chi} + 5O_O^{\chi} \rightarrow 2V_{Al}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} + V_{Si}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} + 5V_O^{\bullet\bullet} + Al_2SiO_5$$
 (4)

$$Al_2O_3 \text{ Schottky}: 2Al_{Al}^X + 3O_O^X \rightarrow 2V_{Al}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} + 3V_O^{\bullet\bullet} + Al_2O_3$$
(5)

$$SiO_2 Schottky: Si_{Si}^{\chi} + 2O_O^{\chi} \rightarrow V_{Si}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime} + 2V_O^{\bullet\bullet} + SiO_2$$
(6)

Al/Si antisite (isolated) :
$$Al_{Al}^{\chi} + Si_{Si}^{\chi} \rightarrow Al_{Si}' + Si_{Fe}^{\bullet}$$
 (7)

Al/Si antisite (cluster) :
$$Al_{A1}^{\chi} + Si_{Si}^{\chi} \rightarrow \{Al_{Si}' : Si_{A1}^{\bullet}\}^{\chi}$$
 (8)

Calculations show that the lowest energy defect process is the Al-Si anti-site defect cluster (0.43 eV/defect) (refer to Figure 2). This indicates that a small concentration of cation mixing (Al-Si) is present in Al₂SiO₅. This is in agreement with the experimental study by Holland et al. [12]. The defect energy for the isolated form of the anti-site defect is 0.82 eV. Therefore, the energy difference between the isolated and cluster forms of the anti-site defect is -0.39 eV. This energy is the binding energy and the exoergic nature of the binding energy means that the isolated anti-site defects are not stable and they aggregate to form cluster without energy penalty. The anti-site defect has been identified in a variety of oxide materials experimentally and theoretically [34–38]. For example, Li/Fe anti-site disorder was determined experimentally during the cycling of Li₂FeSiO₄ cathode material for Li-ion batteries [39]. The next favorable defect is the O-Frenkel (1.98 eV/defect). This defect would not be present at a significant concentration at normal temperatures. The Al₂O₃ Schottky defect energy is 2.97 eV/defect, suggesting that loss of Al₂O₃ is possible only at high temperatures. The other Frenkel and Schottky defects exhibit high formation energies and they would not be present under any conditions.



Figure 2. Defect reaction energies for different defect processes.

3.3. Self-Diffusion of Aluminium and Oxygen

The ionic conductivity of a material is mainly dependent on the parameters associated with the formation of point defects, pre-exponential factor and activation energy of the migrating ion. The current simulation technique enables the examination of various ion diffusion pathways with their activation energies in Al₂SiO₅. Experimental determination of ion diffusion pathways is often difficult. The current methodology has successfully reproduced experimentally determined ion diffusion pathways in a variety of ionic oxide materials [16]. For example, an excellent agreement between the calculated and experimental Li-ion diffusion pathways was observed in LiFePO₄ [40,41].

Vacancy assisted diffusion pathways were examined for Al^{3+} and O^{2-} ions as their Frenkel energies are lower than the other Frenkels. Two different local Al hops (A and B) (refer to Figure 3) with the jump distances of 2.97 Å and 3.14 Å were identified (refer to Figure 3). Individual Al hops and their activation energies are listed in Table 5. Figure 4 shows the energy profile diagrams for hops A and B. The hop A has the jump distance of 2.97 Å and its activation energy was calculated to be 2.26 eV. A long range diffusion path was constructed using local hops A along the *c* axis (refer to Figure 3). In this pathway, diffusion of Al^{3+} ions is slow. The hop B has a slightly higher activation energy by 0.21 eV than that of hop A. The long-range diffusion pathway connected by hops B exhibit a zig-zag pattern along the *c* axis.



Figure 3. Possible long-range Al vacancy migration paths considered. Green and purple color atoms correspond to different Al hopping trajectories.



Table 5. Local Al-Al hops, their jump distances and activation energies for the Al ion migration in Al₂SiO₅ as reported in the Figure 3.

Figure 4. Two different energy profiles (as shown in Figure 3) of Al vacancy hopping between two adjacent Al sites in Al₂SiO₅.

For the O vacancy diffusion, we identified four local hops. The hop with lowest activation energy (2.75 eV) was considered for constructing a long range diffusion pathway (refer to Figure 5). The oxygen ion involves in a zig-zag type motion along the *c* axis. A high activation energy of 2.75 eV reveals that the oxygen diffusivity in this materials is low. The other hops exhibited activation energies greater than 4 eV and they are reported here.



Figure 5. (a) Oxygen vacancy local hops connecting a possible long range pathway and (b) energy profile diagram for the local oxygen hop.

3.4. Solution of Isovalent Dopants

We considered a variety of trivalent dopants (M = Ga, Fe, Ni, Mn, Sc, Y and La) on the Al site and tetravalent dopants (M = Ge, Ti, Sn, Zr and Ce) on the Si site. Solution energies were calculated using appropriate lattice energies. Buckingham potentials used for the dopants are provided in the supplementary information (refer to Table S1). Isovalent dopants do not introduce any charge compensating defects. Dopants exhibiting low solution energies are useful as those dopants can influence the properties of the material.

3.4.1. Trivalent Dopants

First trivalent dopants were considered on the Al site. The following reaction was used to calculate the solution energy.

$$M_2O_3 + 2Al_{Al}^{\chi} \rightarrow 2M_{Al}^{\chi} + Al_2O_3$$
(9)

Solution energies are reported in Figure 6a. Exoergic solution energy (-0.11 eV) is calculated for Ga indicating that this promising dopant is worth examining experimentally. The possible composition after doping would be $(Al_{1-x}Ga_x)_2SiO_5$. The preference of Ga on the Al site is due to the ionic radius of Al^{3+} (0.39 Å) being close to that of Ga^{3+} (0.47 Å). The second promising dopant is Fe^{3+} with a solution energy of 0.02 eV. This is supported by the formation of stoichiometric $(Al,Fe^{3+})_2SiO_5$ composition in an experimental study carried out by Grew [11]. Dopants Ni, Mn and Sc exhibit positive (but < 0.45 eV) solution energies, inferring that they can be doped with a small energy penalty. High positive values are observed for Y and La meaning that doping is only possible at high temperatures. This is due to the larger ionic radii of these two dopants as compared to the ionic radius of Al^{3+} .



Figure 6. Solution energies of (**a**) M_2O_3 (M = Ga, Fe, Ni, Mn, Sc, Y and La) and (**b**) MO_2 (M = Ge, Ti, Sn, Zr and Ce) with respect to their ionic radii in Al_2SiO_5 .

3.4.2. Tetravalent Dopants

Next, the Si site was considered for the doping of tetravalent dopants. The following reaction was used to calculate the solution energy.

$$MO_2 + Si_{Si}^{\chi} \to M_{Si}^{\chi} + SiO_2$$
(10)

Solution energies are reported in Figure 6b. The favorable dopant for this process is Ge^{4+} with a solution energy of 0.43 eV. Favorability of the dopant Ge can be attributed to its ionic radius (0.39 Å) being closer to the ionic radius of Si⁴⁺ (0.26 Å) in the tetrahedral coordination. The possible synthesis composition would be $Al_2Si_{1-x}Ge_xO_5$. The second most stable dopant is Zr^{4+} with the solution energy of 1.17 eV. Solution energies for the other dopants are highly positive meaning that they are unlikely to occur at ambient temperature.

4. Conclusions

Atomistic simulation based on the classical pair potentials was used to examine the defects, diffusion and solution of isovalent dopants in Al_2SiO_5 with sillimanite structure. The key defect present in this material is the Al/Si anti-site leading to the formation of disordered configuration as observed in the experiment [11]. The presence of the Al/Si anti-site defect is important as this defect can influence the mechanical and chemical properties of Al_2SiO_5 . The second most stable defect is the O-Frenkel and the concentration of this defect is not significant. Both Al^{3+} and O^{2-} ions exhibit slow diffusion with activation energies of 2.26 eV and 2.75 eV, respectively, inferring low ionic conductivity in this material. Exoergic solution energy (-0.11 eV) is calculated for Ga³⁺ on the Al site suggesting that the synthesis of $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_2SiO_5$ is possible. Ge⁴⁺ is found to be a promising candidate dopant on the Si site though its solution energy is endoergic (0.43 eV). The favorable dopants can be of interest to prevent phase transformation and tune the properties of Al_2SiO_5 .

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2075-163X/10/10/857/s1, Table S1: Interatomic potential parameters used in the atomistic simulations of Al₂SiO₅.

Author Contributions: Computation, R.S. and N.K.; writing, N.K and R.S.; analysis and editing, N.K., P.I. and A.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was financially supported by the European Union's H2020 Program under Grant Agreement no. 824072–HARVESTORE.

Acknowledgments: Imperial College London, Coventry University and the University of Jaffna are acknowledged for providing high-performance computing facilities.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Dharmapriya, P.L.; Malaviarachchi, S.P.K.; Kriegsman, L.M.; Galli, A.; Sajeev, K.; Zhang, C. New constraints on the P–T path of HT/UHT metapelites from the Highland Complex of Sri Lanka. *Geosci. Front.* 2017, *8*, 1405–1430. [CrossRef]
- Dharmapriya, P.L.; Malaviarachchi, S.P.K.; Kriegsman, L.M.; Sajeev, K.; Galli, A.; Osanai, Y.; Subasingheh, N.D.; Dissanayakeb, C.B. Distinct metamorphic evolution of alternating silica-saturated and silica-deficient microdomains within garnet in ultrahigh-temperature granulites: An example from Sri Lanka. *Geosci. Front.* 2017, *8*, 1115–1133. [CrossRef]
- Kato, M.; Mitsui, H.; Kobayashi, T.; Hiroi, Y.; Satish-Kumar, M.; Dunkley, D.J.; Hokada, T. New finding of kyanite and andalusite in sillimanite-rich pelitic granulites from the Kerala Khondalite Belt, Southern India. *J. Mineral. Petrol. Sci.* 2010, 105, 328–333. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ihlen, P. Sillimanite minerals, Al₂SiO₅ Utilisation of sillimanite minerals, their geology, and potential occurrences in Norway—An overview. *Norg. Geol. Unders Bull.* **2000**, *436*, 113–128.
- 5. Jin, J.; Gao, H.; Ren, Z.; Chen, Z. The Flotation of Kyanite and Sillimanite with Sodium Oleate as the Collector. *Minerals* **2016**, *6*, 90. [CrossRef]
- Whitney, D.L. Coexisting andalusite, kyanite, and sillimanite: Sequential formation of three Al₂SiO₅ polymorphs during progressive metamorphism near the triple point, Sivrihisar, Turkey. *Am. Mineral.* 2002, *87*, 405–416. [CrossRef]
- 7. Gilchrist, J.D. 22-Alumino-Silicate Refractories. In *Fuels, Furnaces and Refractories*; Gilchrist, J.D., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxfrod, UK, 1977; pp. 258–272.
- Sarkar, A.D. Chapter 9—Furane and other binders. In *Mould & Core Material for the Steel Foundry*; Sarkar, A.D., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, UK, 1967; pp. 85–98.
- 9. Kos, S.; Dolenec, M.; Lux, J.; Dolenec, S. Raman Microspectroscopy of Garnets from S-Fibulae from the Archaeological Site Lajh (Slovenia). *Minerals* **2020**, *10*, 325. [CrossRef]
- 10. Sadik, C.; El Amrani, I.-E.; Albizane, A. Recent advances in silica-alumina refractory: A review. *J. Asian Ceram. Soc.* **2014**, *2*, 83–96. [CrossRef]
- 11. Grew, E.S. Sillimanite and Ilmenite from High-grade Metamorphic Rocks of Antarctica and Other Areas. *J. Petrol.* **1980**, *21*, 39–68. [CrossRef]

- 12. Holland, T.J.B.; Carpenter, M.A. Aluminium/silicon disordering and melting in sillimanite at high pressures. *Nature* **1986**, *320*, 151–153. [CrossRef]
- 13. Xu, L.; Xi, X.; Shui, A. Synthesis of sillimanite whiskers. Ceram. Int. 2015, 41, 10304–10307. [CrossRef]
- 14. Igami, Y.; Ohi, S.; Miyake, A. Sillimanite-mullite transformation observed in synchrotron X-ray diffraction experiments. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2017**, *100*, 4928–4937. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kuganathan, N.; Srikaran, R.; Fossati, C.M.P.; Chroneos, A. Theoretical Modeling of Defects, Dopants, and Diffusion in the Mineral Ilmenite. *Minerals* **2019**, *9*, 610. [CrossRef]
- Kuganathan, N.; Tsoukalas, L.H.; Chroneos, A. Defects, dopants and Li-ion diffusion in Li₂SiO₃. *Solid State Ion*. 2019, 335, 61–66. [CrossRef]
- 17. Kuganathan, N.; Iyngaran, P.; Vovk, R.; Chroneos, A. Defects, dopants and Mg diffusion in MgTiO₃. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 4394. [CrossRef]
- 18. Islam, M.S.; Fisher, C.A.J. Lithium and sodium battery cathode materials: Computational insights into voltage, diffusion and nanostructural properties. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 185–204. [CrossRef]
- Kuganathan, N.; Kordatos, A.; Chroneos, A. Defect Chemistry and Li-ion Diffusion in Li₂RuO₃. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 550. [CrossRef]
- 20. Khan, M.S.; Islam, M.S.; Bates, D.R. Dopant Substitution and Ion Migration in the LaGaO₃-Based Oxygen Ion Conductor. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, *102*, 3099–3104. [CrossRef]
- 21. Gale, J.D.; Rohl, A.L. The General Utility Lattice Program (GULP). Mol. Simul. 2003, 29, 291–341. [CrossRef]
- 22. Gale, J.D. GULP: A computer program for the symmetry-adapted simulation of solids. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1997**, *93*, 629–637. [CrossRef]
- 23. Mott, N.F.; Littleton, M.J. Conduction in polar crystals. I. Electrolytic conduction in solid salts. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1938**, *34*, 485–499. [CrossRef]
- 24. Kuganathan, N.; Ganeshalingam, S.; Chroneos, A. Defects, Diffusion, and Dopants in Li₂Ti₆O₁₃: Atomistic Simulation Study. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 2851. [CrossRef]
- 25. Catlow, C.R.A. *Solid State Chemistry—Techniques;* Cheetham, A.K., Day, P., Eds.; Clarendon Press: Oxford, UK, 1987; p. 231.
- 26. Charles, W.B. Refinement of the crystal structure of sillimanite. Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 1963, 118, 127–148.
- 27. Lewis, G.V.; Catlow, C.R.A. Potential models for ionic oxides. J. Phys. C Solid State Phys. 1985, 18, 1149. [CrossRef]
- 28. Panchmatia, P.M.; Orera, A.; Kendrick, E.; Hanna, J.V.; Smith, M.E.; Slater, P.R.; Islam, M.S. Protonic defects and water incorporation in Si and Ge-based apatite ionic conductors. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2010**, *20*, 2766–2772. [CrossRef]
- 29. Winkler, B.; Dove, M.T.; Leslie, M. Static lattice energy minimization and lattice dynamics calculations on aluminosilicate minerals. *Am. Mineral.* **1991**, *76*, 313–331.
- 30. Björn, W.; Hytha, M.; Warren, M.C.; Victor, M.; Gale, J.D.; Schreuer, J. Calculation of the elastic constants of the Al₂SiO₅ polymorphs and alusite, sillimanite and kyanite. *Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater.* **2001**, *216*, 67–70.
- 31. Vaughan, M.T.; Weidner, D.J. The relationship of elasticity and crystal structure in andalusite and sillimanite. *Phys. Chem. Miner.* **1978**, *3*, 133–144. [CrossRef]
- 32. Waldbaum, D.R. Thermodynamic properties of mullite, and alusite, kyanite and sillimanite. *Am. Mineral.* **1965**, *50*, 186–195.
- Kröger, F.A.; Vink, H.J. Relations between the Concentrations of Imperfections in Crystalline Solids. In Solid State Physics; Seitz, F., Turnbull, D., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1956; Volume 3, pp. 307–435.
- Politaev, V.V.; Petrenko, A.A.; Nalbandyan, V.B.; Medvedev, B.S.; Shvetsova, E.S. Crystal structure, phase relations and electrochemical properties of monoclinic Li₂MnSiO₄. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2007, 180, 1045–1050. [CrossRef]
- 35. Kempaiah Devaraju, M.; Duc Truong, Q.; Hyodo, H.; Sasaki, Y.; Honma, I. Synthesis, characterization and observation of antisite defects in LiNiPO₄ nanomaterials. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 11041. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kuganathan, N.; Kordatos, A.; Anurakavan, S.; Iyngaran, P.; Chroneos, A. Li₃SbO₄ lithium-ion battery material: Defects, lithium ion diffusion and tetravalent dopants. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2019, 225, 34–41. [CrossRef]
- Kuganathan, N.; Chroneos, A. Na₃V(PO₄)₂ cathode material for Na ion batteries: Defects, dopants and Na diffusion. *Solid State Ion.* 2019, 336, 75–79. [CrossRef]
- 38. Jay, E.E.; Rushton, M.J.D.; Chroneos, A.; Grimes, R.W.; Kilner, J.A. Genetics of superionic conductivity in lithium lanthanum titanates. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 178–183. [CrossRef]

- 39. Armstrong, A.R.; Kuganathan, N.; Islam, M.S.; Bruce, P.G. Structure and Lithium Transport Pathways in Li₂FeSiO₄ Cathodes for Lithium Batteries. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13031–13035. [CrossRef]
- 40. Fisher, C.A.J.; Hart Prieto, V.M.; Islam, M.S. Lithium Battery Materials LiMPO₄ (M = Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni): Insights into Defect Association, Transport Mechanisms, and Doping Behavior. *Chem. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 5907–5915. [CrossRef]
- 41. Nishimura, S.-I.; Kobayashi, G.; Ohoyama, K.; Kanno, R.; Yashima, M.; Yamada, A. Experimental visualization of lithium diffusion in LixFePO₄. *Nat. Mater.* **2008**, *7*, 707. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).