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Abstract

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2. The clinical presentation of this virus mainly manifests in the respiratory system but may also lead to severe complications in the cardiovascular system. The global burden of COVID-19 has led to an unprecedented need to gain further insight into patient outcomes, management, and clinical practice. This review aims to provide an overview of the current literature on heart failure (HF) hospitalizations, management, and care pathways for supporting patients during and beyond this pandemic. A literature review of five areas of interest was conducted and included: (i) HF hospitalization; (ii) recognizing the needs and supporting HF patients during COVID-19; (iii) supporting rehabilitation services; (iv) transitioning to a telehealth framework; and (v) the need for evidence. Patients with new-onset or existing HF are particularly vulnerable, but a significant reduction in HF hospital admissions has been reported. During these periods of uncertainty, the current care pathways for acute and elective cardiac patients have had to change with the relocation of HF services to protect the vulnerable and reduce transmission of COVID-19. Optimizing community HF services has the potential to reduce the pressures on secondary care during the recovery from this pandemic. Telemedicine and virtual health care are emerging technologies and overcome the risk of in-person exposure. Successful remote delivery of cardiac rehabilitation services has been reported during the pandemic. Delivery of a robust telehealth framework for HF patients will improve communication between clinician and patient. The reduction in HF admissions is a concern for the future and may result in unintended mortality. New-onset and current HF patients must understand their diagnosis and future prognosis and seek help and support using the appropriate platform when needed. Realigning HF services and the use of telemedicine and virtual health care has great potential but needs to be carefully understood to ensure engagement and approval in this population to overcome barriers and challenges.
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Introduction

The global spread of the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been unprecedented since it first emerged in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, in December 2019. One of the deadliest pandemics in modern history, COVID-19 is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). Its clinical presentation mainly affects the respiratory system but also has severe consequences on other organ systems, for example, the cardiovascular and metabolic systems, resulting in multiple organ failure. The global burden of COVID-19 has resulted in 23 918 447 confirmed cases
and 819,945 deaths (as of 26 August 2020). COVID-19 may only cause mild or even no symptoms, but typical indicators at hospital admission include fever, shortness of breath, coughing, fatigue, and dyspnoea. The global population is susceptible to this virus, but advancing age, being male, severe obesity, pre-existing co-morbidities, and underlying cardiovascular disease including heart failure (HF) have a poorer prognosis.

Patients who are elderly with multiple co-morbidities (both cardiovascular disease and diabetes) are more susceptible to left ventricular hypertrophy, diastolic dysfunction, and HF, which makes them vulnerable to higher pulmonary vascular pressures. New-onset or worsening HF is a common complication in patients with COVID-19, with documented poor survival rates of ~60%. Furthermore, nearly 50% of patients who die from COVID-19 have a diagnosis of HF have no previous history of either hypertension or cardiovascular disease. A review of the symptom burden of COVID-19 highlighted that dyspnoea is the most prominent symptom, but the primary cause of death is by respiratory failure or HF or both. In a large observational study, nearly three-quarters of patients diagnosed with COVID-19 had at least one pre-existing condition, and over half were hypertensive. In end-stage HF patients, myocardial injury has resulted from COVID-19, but the pathophysiological mechanisms between the virus, cardiac injury, and HF are not yet understood. At present, we are unable to determine the long-term effects of COVID-19 on the cardiovascular system and can only rely on the SARS-CoV virus outcomes as an indicator. However, deterioration in myocardial function has been reported in the short term with findings suggesting that patients who recently recovered from COVID-19 presented with lower left and right ventricular ejection fraction, higher left ventricular end-diastolic volume, and raised native T1 and T2 values vs. both healthy controls and risk factor-matched controls.

Besides, the prognosis of COVID-19 patients is worse in those with existing conditions such as cardiovascular disease. Individuals who are older and have existing cardiac co-morbidities have higher mortality from COVID-19 than non-cardiac patients. COVID-19-induced myocardial injury, confirmed with higher troponin concentration on admission to hospital, is more likely in those who have a history of coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, and HF. COVID-19-positive patients admitted with both reduced left ventricular ejection fraction or impaired right ventricular function and tricuspid regurgitation > 1 are more likely to have a poorer prognosis than those with normal cardiac function. In a case report, acute respiratory distress syndrome in a patient with COVID-19 was found to mimic HF with reduced ejection fraction.

A larger proportion of angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2)-positive cells in cardiomyocytes, and a greater expression of ACE2 found in HF patients, is associated with greater risk of mortality in comparison with those without underlying medical conditions. It has been hypothesized that hypertensive patients treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) are at increased risk of developing COVID-19 and potentially worse outcomes. The European Society of Cardiology and other cardiology societies encourage treatment with renin–angiotensin system antagonists in patients with cardiovascular disease given the inconclusive data on the association between the up-regulation of systemic or tissue ACE2 and risk of COVID-19. A large retrospective cohort study of COVID-19-positive patients found no association with mortality when comparing prior users of ACEIs or ARBs with non-users. Tomasoni et al. reviewed both the harmful and protective effects of ACEI/ARB treatment but suggested that their use ‘may counteract increased angiotensin II AT1 receptors stimulation favoured by the loss of the counter-regulatory effects of the downregulated ACE2’. Although underlying cardiovascular disease increases the risk of a poorer prognosis from COVID-19 and patients with these conditions are more likely to be prescribed with ACEIs or ARBs, the evidence suggests that the use of these medications does not increase the risk of COVID-19. Indeed, prior use of ACE inhibitors, ARBs, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, or thiazide diuretics showed no association with a higher risk of testing positive for COVID-19.

Primary care practice has changed dramatically as a result of COVID-19 with the transition to telephone and video consultations, which are reported as more efficient than standard consultations, thereby conserving time. Video calls are also being utilized for practice meetings with staff in primary care. For HF patients, the usual patterns of care have changed during this pandemic, with the majority of practice converting to telehealth with reduced in-person outpatient visits. Guidance on the continuation of clinical trials during and after COVID-19 has provided alternative methods for measurement of endpoints and highlighted that practicing physicians and researchers have an obligation even during these difficult times to continue to improve patient outcomes, management, and clinical practice. New communication methods that are being advised between clinical care teams, researchers, and patients such as telehealth, remote assessments, and in-person procedures have been delayed.

This article provides an overview of the current literature on HF hospitalizations, management, and care pathways for supporting patients during and beyond COVID-19.

Heart failure hospitalization

In London, admission rates for acute HF have been significantly lower during the peak of the pandemic vs. the same period in 2019. Likewise, in Italy, a 49% decrease in acute HF admissions was recorded from February to April 2020.
compared with the same period in 2019. A similar trend has been reported in Denmark with significantly lower rates of new-onset HF diagnoses and hospital admissions for worsening HF during the lockdown period of 2020 vs. the same period in 2019. In Germany, emergency admissions for HF declined by 22% between March and April 2020 vs. the same period in 2019. Likewise, the USA has reported a similar decline in HF hospitalizations. Despite lower admissions rates, when patients do present, they are at a more critical stage of the disease progression with higher New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III or IV at admission (96% vs. 77%) and more severe peripheral oedema (39% vs. 14%) in 2020 compared with 2019. However, no differences in HF severity, admission to intensive care unit, length of stay, or mortality have been reported elsewhere between the pre-COVID-19 and COVID-19 periods. During the COVID-19 period, HF clinic volume has been reported as one-half less vs. the same period in 2019, and the authors suggest that those with more stable HF will likely have deferred their clinic appointment during this period.

It should not be underestimated that there will be a ‘tidal wave’ effect shortly owing to HF patients avoiding urgent care during the pandemic. This will impact on health care services and potentially result in unintended mortality from HF. A potential ‘weathering the storm’ mentality may be present in patients owing to fears of contamination in hospitals and a realignment of care pathways for HF treatment and management. More advanced or severe complications in cardiac conditions are currently presenting and may present further post-pandemic, which may lead to a rise in morbidity and mortality. Delayed care or reluctance of patients to seek medical attention earlier may result in valve disease progressing to symptoms of advanced or decompensated HF.

Barghash and Pinney highlighted possible reasons for the dramatic decline in HF hospitalizations, which include reduced dietary indiscretions due to restaurant closures, improvements in medication adherence, virtual follow-up care provided, reduction in daily energy expenditure, and refusal to attend hospital for worsening symptoms owing to fears of exposure to the virus. In contrast, social isolation during the pandemic may result in HF destabilizations and adverse events due to changes in dietary habits such as processed food and lifestyle behaviours (decreased physical activity levels) as well as quarantine-induced stress resulting in depression, social isolation, and behavioural addiction disorders.

To improve patient access and confidence in attending care facilities, it has been demonstrated that relocating HF services to isolated areas of admission or peripheral specialty units has prevented transmission of COVID-19 in advanced HF and cardiac transplantation patients and needs to be considered more widely to protect and promote outpatient visits. For example, colour-coded zones have been implemented in an HF unit in Milan, Italy, to ensure isolation of infected, suspected, and no-symptom patients. However, the authors recognize that the zone system was implemented to maximize the lack of personal protective equipment (PPE) and to save time between patients.

Recognizing the needs and supporting heart failure patients during coronavirus disease 2019

The current care pathways for acute and elective cardiac patients have changed dramatically during this pandemic. There is a need to protect patients from exposure to this virus during these periods of uncertainty. During the first wave of the pandemic, the highest risk patients were prioritized for review, but now, we are at the recovery phase, and both high-risk and intermediate-risk patient care need initiation to minimize disease progression. Optimizing community HF services during the recovery from the pandemic has the potential to reduce the pressures on secondary care. Based on our literature-guided insights, strategies for improved HF management during and after the COVID-19 pandemic is described in Table 1. New-onset and current HF patients must understand their diagnosis and future prognosis. Prior to COVID-19, the majority of patients did not understand their diagnosis, and the meaning of the term ‘heart failure’ and prognosis discussions have not been a regular feature in the management of HF. Communication between clinician and patient is evolving, and the recommendation is a transition to telehealth, remote monitoring, and virtual health care strategies. The British Society for Heart Failure recommends facilitating telephone or video consultations as the first method of communication with the patient from those who have suspected HF at the primary care referral stage, recently discharged acute HF, and known HF patients. By adopting virtual visits, patients have been given access to a platform to seek medical advice and avoid in-person exposure, which is essential for HF patients. However, these new methods will have barriers and challenges such as patient access to the internet, the reluctance of the patient to adopt new technology, feeling self-conscious on a video call, and the clinician’s inability to perform a full physical examination.

Supporting rehabilitation services

Successful remote delivery of cardiac rehabilitation services has been reported in Belgium during the pandemic with online videos, website resources, and emails utilized, which highlights a potential future for cardiac telerehabilitation. Future-proofing cardiac rehabilitation services to telehealth have been provided by Thomas et al. with a transition to
phone management techniques (i.e. for setting goals, advice, and counselling), monitoring and reviewing patients by email, use of mHealth to personalize goals, videoconferencing, and utilizing web resources.\textsuperscript{56} Virtual cardiac rehabilitation guidance has been published in Canada and highlights challenges with using this method such as loss of in-person interactions as well as difficulties with risk stratification.\textsuperscript{67} The evidence suggests that exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation has numerous health benefits by significantly reducing the risk of reinfarction, cardiac, and cardiovascular mortality as well as all-cause mortality.\textsuperscript{68} Redesigned services such as cardiac rehabilitation are needed to ensure that HF patients can receive optimal treatment during and in the recovery from the pandemic,\textsuperscript{55} which may be beneficial in reducing the potential ‘tidal wave’ in admissions post-pandemic.

### Transitioning to a telehealth framework

On reflection, a pre-existing robust telehealth framework for HF patients would have made remote clinical monitoring and early detection of decompensation easier during the pandemic.\textsuperscript{69,70} This is undoubtedly a recommended component of future HF services to improve communication and support between the clinician and patient.\textsuperscript{69,70} We are now reacting to the pandemic rather than preparing, so the implementation and delivery of a telehealth system need to be supported by the clinician to provide an inclusive service to the patient.\textsuperscript{71} This is key now more than ever, especially with HF patients, to ensure that home-based delivery is easy and intuitive.\textsuperscript{71} Health care professionals should be prepared for future virus outbreaks by becoming efficient and utilizing remote and virtual technology with HF patients.\textsuperscript{59} The current use of PPE makes a person-centred approach between clinician and patient difficult, but reassurance and verbal encouragement from the clinician are vital.\textsuperscript{72} Resources and toolkits for health care professionals to guide palliative and end-of-life care options for HF patients are emerging.\textsuperscript{59,72}

Interestingly, in Italy, Salzano et al. described the successful implementation of a telemedicine service (using phone technology) for HF patients, which was provided throughout lockdown with 58% of patients accessing the service.\textsuperscript{73} Remote cardiac care can detect underlying disease progression and prevent decompensation during this pandemic as demonstrated by colleagues using V-LAP\textsuperscript{74} System in an NYHA class III patient.\textsuperscript{74} Successful adoption of a telemedicine-based strategy has been integrated into an HF clinic during COVID-19 with a transition to telemedicine services from in-person visits.\textsuperscript{49} However, the authors highlight the invaluable role of in-person services and assessments, which should be recommended to the patient if needed.\textsuperscript{49}

The European Society of Cardiology\textsuperscript{75} HF guidelines promote the use of telephone and remote monitoring in the management and follow-up of HF patients. Home monitoring of HF patients with non-invasive and/or implantable devices is being adopted and has the capacity to transmit haemodynamic data to the clinician, which allows for assessment and guides HF management, thereby reducing in-person visits.\textsuperscript{76} Remote titration strategies using telemonitoring have been effective in HF patients and resulted in reduced clinic visits, decreased time to dose optimization, and a greater number of patients achieving target doses (vs. standard titration).\textsuperscript{77} Standardizing HF telemedicine is essential, and there is potential to improve patient care and reduce costs to health care services.\textsuperscript{78}

### The need for evidence

Editors clearly have an obligation to support and disseminate the latest findings from COVID-19, but the need for randomized controlled clinical trials, larger cohort studies (>100 patients), case reports, and design papers have been highlighted in the HF population.\textsuperscript{79} The publication of protocols such as the BRACE CORONA Trial will be of interest.\textsuperscript{80} Clinical trials for HF are currently restricted during this pandemic, and telehealth methods have been introduced for follow-up to reduce the risk of missing data.\textsuperscript{81} Systematic literature reviews provide readers and cardiologists with the latest communication on COVID-19 and cardiovascular complications.\textsuperscript{82,83} Researchers have to adapt to different ways of working with reduced opportunities in cardiovascular research for data collection, networking, presenting, and funding with strategic opportunities for online options needed.\textsuperscript{84}

---

**Table 1** Strategies for improved heart failure management during and after the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic

| Patient education at the time of diagnosis | HF education needs to be addressed and the development of an online platform that is user-friendly for all. Information giving regarding prognosis should become a regular feature at the time of diagnosis and future assessments. |
| Prognosis assessment | High-risk and intermediate-risk patients require optimization of treatment and minimize disease progression. |
| Risk assessment | Optimizing and transitioning to telehealth. Reduce future hospital admission. |
| Redesigning cardiac rehabilitation services | |

**Abbreviation:** HF, heart failure.
Heart failure during and beyond COVID-19

Conclusions

The need to gain information about the effect of COVID-19 on HF presentation and management is ever more present. The reduction in hospitalizations for HF is a concern, and the potential ‘tidal wave’ in admissions may result in more severe or advanced cases of HF in the near future. There is still a need to shield the most vulnerable, and future outbreaks may occur. Lessons should have been learnt from the current pandemic to provide better support for HF patients and optimize clinical services. More research is needed to ensure telehealth is acceptable and sustainable in this population now and during the recovery from the pandemic.
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