

**Coventry University Repository for the Virtual Environment
(CURVE)**

Author names: Bellotti, F. , Ott, M. , Arnab, S. , Berta, R. , de Freitas, S. , Kiili, K. and De Gloria, A.

Title: Serious games for education: from pedagogical principles to game mechanisms.

Article & version: Published version

Original citation & hyperlink:

Bellotti, F. , Ott, M. , Arnab, S. , Berta, R. , de Freitas, S. , Kiili, K. and De Gloria, A. (2011) Serious games for education: from pedagogical principles to game mechanisms. In D. Gouscos and M. Meimaris (Eds). *ECGBL 2011: 5th European Conference on Games Based Learning* (pp. 26-34). Reading: Academic Publishing Limited.

<http://www.academic-conferences.org/ecgbl/ecgbl2011/ecgbl11-home.htm>

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Available in the CURVE Research Collection: July 2012

<http://curve.coventry.ac.uk/open>

Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Games Based Learning

5th European Conference on Games
Based Learning
The National and Kapodistrian
University of Athens, Greece
20-21 October 2011

Edited by
Dr. Dimitris Gouscos and Professor Michalis Meimaris
Faculty of Communication and Media Studies
University of Athens

Copyright The Authors, 2011. All Rights Reserved.

No reproduction, copy or transmission may be made without written permission from the individual authors.

Papers have been double-blind peer reviewed before final submission to the conference. Initially, paper abstracts were read and selected by the conference panel for submission as possible papers for the conference.

Many thanks to the reviewers who helped ensure the quality of the full papers.

These Conference Proceedings have been submitted to the Thomson ISI for indexing.

Further copies of this book can be purchased from <http://www.academic-bookshop.com>

ISBN: 978-1-908272-18-8 Book

Published by Academic Publishing Limited
Reading
UK
44-118-972-4148
www.academic-publishing.org

Contents

| Paper Title | Author(s) | Page No. |
|--|---|-----------------|
| Preface | | vii |
| Biographies of Conference Chairs, Programme Chair, Keynote Speaker and Mini-track Chairs | | viii |
| Biographies of contributing authors | | xi |
| The use of Games-Based Learning Within the Curriculum for Excellence: The Teachers' Perspective | <i>Aishah Abdul Razak, Thomas Connolly and Thomas Hainey</i> | 1 |
| Tactical Incident Commander - an Online Training Game for Incident Commander Training | <i>Per Backlund, Anna-Sofia Alklind Taylor, Urban Carlén, Henrik Engström, Mikael Johannesson, Mikael Lebram and Marcus Toftedahl</i> | 9 |
| Multivariate Assessment of Motivation and Emotion in Digital Educational Games | <i>Michael Bedek, Paul Seitlinger, Simone Kopeinik and Dietrich Albert</i> | 18 |
| Designing Serious Games for Education: From Pedagogical Principles to Game Mechanisms | <i>Francesco Bellotti, Michela Ott Sylvester Arnab, Riccardo Berta, Sara de Freitas, Kristian Killi and Alessandro De Gloria</i> | 26 |
| World of Warcraft in the Classroom: A Research Study on Social Interaction Empowerment in Secondary Schools | <i>Andrea Benassi, Caterina Orlandi, Matteo Cantamesse, Carlo Galimberti and Gianandrea Giacoma</i> | 35 |
| Specifying Collaborative Tools in Game-Based Learning Environments: Clues From the Trenches | <i>Mathieu Bodin, Jean-Charles Marty, and Thibault Carron</i> | 46 |
| Putting Edutainment in Practice: From Courseware Authoring to Logic Games | <i>Boyan Bontchev, Dessislava Vassileva and Vania Traicheva</i> | 57 |
| What Does it Mean to be a Game Literate Teacher? Interviews With Teachers who Translate Games Into Educational Practice | <i>Jeroen Bourgonjon and Thorkild Hanghoi</i> | 67 |
| Close the gap - Obstacles and Solutions for the Missing Educational Games in Graduate Education | <i>Thomas Bröker, Heinrich Söbke and Oliver Kornadt</i> | 74 |
| Conceptual and Technical Frameworks for Serious Games | <i>Bruno Capdevila Ibáñez, Bertrand Marne and Jean-Marc Labat</i> | 81 |
| Using Profiling to Optimise a Collaborative Session in a Learning Game | <i>Thibault Carron and Jean-Charles Marty</i> | 88 |
| Learning Chemistry Through Inquiry With the Game Legends of Alkhimia: An Evaluation of Learning Outcomes | <i>Yam San Chee, Kim Chwee Daniel Tan, Ek Ming Tan and Mingfong Jan</i> | 98 |
| Digital Game Literacy: The Difference Between Parents and Their Children | <i>Tsung-Yen Chuang, Nian-Shing Chen, Ming-Puu Chen, Chun-Yi Shen and Chia-Min Tsai</i> | 106 |
| The Golden Rules and the Blue Ocean Strategy of the Next Generation Innovative MMOG and Computer Game Environment Design | <i>Basel Dayyani</i> | 114 |

| Paper Title | Author(s) | Page No. |
|---|--|-----------------|
| Comparing the Potential of Commercial Off-The-Shelf and Educational Video Games for Adult Foreign Language Education: An Experimental Study | <i>Frederik De Grove, Jan Van Looy and Peter Mechant</i> | 129 |
| Playing in School or at Home? An Exploration of the Effects of Context on Educational Game Experience | <i>Frederik De Grove, Jan Van Looy, Joyce Neys and Jeroen Jansz</i> | 137 |
| Utilising an Educational Framework for the Development of Edutainment Scenarios | <i>Ioannis Deliyannis, Andreas Giannakouloupoulos and Iraklis Varlamis</i> | 145 |
| The Place, Role and Importance of Motor Games in the Physical Education Lesson for Secondary School Pupils | <i>Mircea Dragu Corina Dobrota and Constantin Ploeşteanu</i> | 152 |
| Gaming for Sustainability: An Overview | <i>Carlo Fabricatore and Ximena López</i> | 159 |
| Assessing how Game-Based Learning is Perceived in Irish Education | <i>Patrick Felicia</i> | 168 |
| Accreditation! The Responsive Curriculum Game | <i>Rachel Forsyth, Nicola Whitton and Peter Whitton</i> | 176 |
| PCARD: Integrating Games Into Classrooms | <i>Aroutis Foster and Mamta Shah</i> | 183 |
| Advantages and Disadvantages of Storytelling in Teaching English at Academic Level: A Case Study in the University of Ploiesti, Romania | <i>Cristina Gafu and Mihaela Badea</i> | 195 |
| What Makes a Good Serious Game – Conceptual Approach Towards a Metadata Format for the Description and Evaluation of Serious Games | <i>Stefan Göbel and Michael Gutjahr</i> | 202 |
| Gender Differences in Motivations for Playing Computer Games: A Combined Analysis of Three Studies | <i>Thomas Hainey, Elizabeth Boyle, Thomas Connolly and Mark Stansfield</i> | 211 |
| Motivations for Playing Computer Games at Tertiary Education Level: A Comparison of Further Education and Higher Education Computing Students | <i>Thomas Hainey, Thomas Connolly, Liz Boyle and Mark Stansfield</i> | 220 |
| Do Students Trained Using Serious Games Become Better Sales Representatives? An Experiment to Study the Performance of Academic Serious Games | <i>Joseph Heili and H el ene Michel</i> | 230 |
| Influence of Learning Styles on the Acceptance of Game Based Learning in Higher Education: Experiences With a Role Playing Simulation Game | <i>Michael Herzog, and Elisabeth Katzlinger</i> | 241 |
| Outdoor Initiative Games Using Mobile Digital Devices – a Preliminary Game Design | <i>Hanno Hildmann and Jule Hildmann</i> | 251 |
| Towards Cultural Diversity Through Sports and Games | <i>Jule Hildmann and G une  Turan</i> | 261 |
| Student Behaviors and Evaluations of Collaborative Learning Game | <i>Jason Holdsworth and Siu Man Lui</i> | 268 |

| Paper Title | Author(s) | Page No. |
|--|---|-----------------|
| What can Breakdowns and Breakthroughs Tell us About Learning and Involvement Experienced During Game-Play? | <i>Ioanna Iacovides, James Aczel, Eileen Scanlon and Will Woods</i> | 275 |
| Playability Design Pattern in Educational Video Game | <i>Amer Ibrahim, Francisco Gutiérrez Vela, José Luís González Sánchez and Natalia Padilla Zea</i> | 282 |
| Camaraderie, Cognition, and Meta-Cognate: Unpacking Trajectories of Becoming in WoW | <i>Azilawati Jamaludin, Mi Song Kim and David Hung</i> | 290 |
| Can Games Based Learning Assist Teachers in Achieving the Aims of Curriculum to Bilingual Students of Different Ethnic Minorities? | <i>Konstantinos Kalemis</i> | 297 |
| User-Generated AI in Sports Education | <i>Harri Ketamo, Cimmo Nurmi and Kimmo Kallama</i> | 306 |
| Experiences With an Approach to an Unobtrusive Assessment of Motivational States in Immersive, Narrative Learning Environments | <i>Michael Kickmeier-Rust, Elke Mattheiss and Dietrich Albert</i> | 315 |
| Designing Educational Exertion Games for Young Children | <i>Antti Koivisto, Kristian Kiili and Arttu Perttula</i> | 322 |
| Towards an Analysis of Cooperative Learning-Behaviour in Social Dilemma Games | <i>Johannes Konert, Viktor Wendel, Stefan Göbel and Ralf Steinmetz</i> | 329 |
| Designing a Large Multi-Player Simulation Game to Encourage Reflection and Critical Debate | <i>Stefan Kreitmayer, Stephen Peake, Robin Laney and Yvonne Rogers</i> | 333 |
| The Importance of Humans in Simulation: Allowing the Lure of Technology to Drive Development | <i>Colin Lemmon, Siu Man Lui, Vincent Ho and John Hamilton</i> | 343 |
| Can Autobiographical Memories Create Better Learning? The Case of a Scary Game | <i>Andreas Lieberoth and Frank Allan Hansen</i> | 350 |
| Story Decorated Learning Activity Generation in a Context-Aware Mobile Role Playing Game | <i>Chris Lu, Maiga Chang, Kinshuk, Echo Huang, and Ching-Wen Chen</i> | 358 |
| Computer Role-Playing Games as an Educational Game Genre: Activities and Reflection | <i>Dennis Maciuszek and Alke Martens</i> | 368 |
| Supporting Learning Role-Play Games Design: A Methodology and Visual Formalism for Scenarios Description | <i>Christelle Mariais, Florence Michau, Jean-Philippe Pernin, and Nadine Mandran</i> | 378 |
| Peer Group Learning During the Board Game Sessions | <i>Päivi Marjanen, Ilkka Mönkkönen and Maija Vanhala</i> | 388 |
| Introducing Component-Based Templates Into a Game Authoring Tool | <i>Florian Mehm, Stefan Göbel and Ralf Steinmetz</i> | 395 |
| Pass go Quickly: Use of a Board Game to Provide Efficient and Effective Training in Course Design Concepts | <i>Alex Moseley</i> | 404 |

| Paper Title | Author(s) | Page No. |
|--|---|-----------------|
| Massively Multiplayer Online Games as Activity Systems: The Relationship between Motivation, Performance and Community | <i>Sofia Mysirlaki and Fotini Paraskeva</i> | 412 |
| Edutainment in Virtual Environments: An Academy Supporting Collaborative Learning in Second Life | <i>Christina Oikonomou and Agis Papantoniou</i> | 422 |
| Assessing Game Experiences Caused by Educational Collaborative Game | <i>Kimmo Oksanen and Raija Hämäläinen</i> | 431 |
| Can we use Existing Pedagogical Specifications to Design Mixed Reality Learning Games? | <i>Charlotte Orliac, Sébastien George, Christine Michel and Patrick Prévôt</i> | 440 |
| Games' Usability and Learning – the Educational Videogame BeTheManager! | <i>Spyros Papaloukas, Kiriakos Patriarcheas and Michalis Xenos</i> | 449 |
| Best Practices in the use of Managerial Simulation Games-Based Learning | <i>Jindra Peterková</i> | 457 |
| User Centred Design and Development of an Educational Force-Feedback Haptic Game for Blind Students | <i>Maria Petridou, Peter Blanchfield, Reham Alabadi¹ and Tim Brailsford</i> | 465 |
| Educational Applications of Serious Games: The Case of the Game Food Force in Primary Education Students | <i>Provelengios Petros and Fesakis Georgios</i> | 476 |
| Serious Games in Formal Education: Discussing Some Critical Aspects | <i>Maria Popescu, Sylvester Arnab, Riccardo Berta, Jeffrey Earp, Sara de Freitas, Margarida Romero, Ioana Stanescu and Mireia Usart</i> | 486 |
| Teaching Information and Communication Technology With Digital Games | <i>Nikolaos Prassos, Stavros Sachtouris and Tsampika Karakiza</i> | 494 |
| Engaging Students in Developing a Stereoscopic 3D Educational History Game | <i>Vyzantinos Repantis and Sophia Delidaki</i> | 502 |
| Reflective Flow in Digital Games | <i>Lorenzo Romeo and Manuela Cantoia</i> | 510 |
| An Analysis of the Motivations for Playing Computer Games in a Secondary Education Context: A Comparison With Higher Education | <i>Eleni Rossiou and Thomas Hainey</i> | 518 |
| Teachers Roles in Serious Games: Incorporating Serious Games in the Classroom of Students With Intellectual Disabilities | <i>Maria Saridaki, Constantina Avlami and Constantinos Mourlas</i> | 528 |
| A Survey of Students' Improved Mastery of Game Playing Skills Through Informal Online Game-Based Learning | <i>Jim Scullion, Mark Stansfield and Thomas Connolly</i> | 535 |
| KanjiLearningLab: Memorizing Kanji in a Playful Way | <i>Tobias Sehlberg, Wolmet Barendregt and Neil Rubens</i> | 543 |
| The Effectiveness of Game-Based Learning on Students' Mnemonic Techniques and Perceptions | <i>Chun-Yi Shen, Ming-Puu Chen, Nian-Shing Chen, Tsung-Yen Chuang, and Ya-Ching Huang</i> | 553 |
| Game-Based Assessment and the Effect on Test Anxiety: A Case Study | <i>Jarka Smits and Nathalie Charlier</i> | 562 |

| Paper Title | Author(s) | Page No. |
|--|---|-----------------|
| Settings Goals in Psychology Serious Game for Preschool Children | <i>Agnieszka Szczesna, Tomasz Grudzinski and Jakub Grudzinski</i> | 567 |
| Designing a 3D Collaborative Game to Support Game Based Learning | <i>Theodouli Terzidou and Thrasyvoulos Tsiatsos</i> | 573 |
| The Synergy of Three: Incorporating Games, Multimedia and Programming in Order to Improve Algorithmic Skills | <i>Angeliki Theodosi and Vassilis Papadimitriou</i> | 582 |
| A Case Study in Educational Game Designing: Junior Chemists in Action! An Educational Live Action Role Playing Game (LARP) Analysis With a Computer-Based Learning Element | <i>Eleni Timplalex</i> | 595 |
| Digital Games Evaluation and Educational Assessment - a Review and Proposal for an Open Methodological Framework (OMEGA) | <i>Panagiotis Tragazikis, Sotiris Kirginas, Dimitris Gouscos, and Michalis Meimaris</i> | 604 |
| Alleviating the Entrance to Serious Games by Exploring the use of Commonly Available Tools | <i>Peter van Rosmalen, Roland Klemke and Wim Westera</i> | 613 |
| Using Video Games and Brain Training Software to Modulate Human Time Perception | <i>Dionysia Verriopoulou and Argiro Vatakis</i> | 620 |
| What Happens off the Field? Proposing a Rhetorical Approach of the Affinity Spaces Surrounding Games | <i>Joachim Vlieghe, Jeroen Bourgonjon, Kris Rutten and Ronald Soetaert</i> | 626 |
| Digital Games in an age of Austerity | <i>Nicola Whitton</i> | 632 |
| Evaluation of Introducing Programming to Younger School Children Using a Computer Game Making Tool | <i>Amanda Wilson, Thomas Connolly, Thomas Hainey, and David Moffat</i> | 639 |
| Game Based Learning in Entrepreneurship: The Academic Business Planner | <i>Charalambos Xinaris, Adonis Kourtellis, Alexandros Kakouris and P Georgiadis</i> | 650 |
| METAFORA Learning Approach Processes Contributing to Students' Meaning Generation in Science Learning | <i>Smyrnaïou Zacharoula, Moustaki Foteini and Kynigos Chronis</i> | 657 |
| PhD Papers | | 665 |
| The Optimal Level of Children's Participation in the Design of Games-Based Learning | <i>Matthew Bates, David Brown, Wayne Cranton and James Lewis</i> | 667 |
| Play to Become a Leader and a Citizen of the World: ARGs as Teaching Spaces for Personal and Social Change | <i>Natasha Boskic</i> | 675 |
| Peer Collaboration, Facilitator Intervention, and Learning Styles in Computer Game-Based Learning: Initial Findings From an Empirical Study | <i>Shiffon Chatterjee, Atasi Mohanty and Bani Bhattacharya</i> | 683 |
| Dynamic Virtual Learning Landscapes to Enhance Student Reflective Processes | <i>Barry Herbert, Darryl Charles, Michael McNeill, Adrian Moore and M Charles</i> | 691 |
| Merging Digital and Urban Play Spaces: Learning by Playing and Creating Location-Based Games in Secondary Education | <i>Jantina Huizenga, Wilfried Admiraal and Geert ten Dam</i> | 703 |

| Paper Title | Author(s) | Page No. |
|---|---|-----------------|
| I Play, Therefore I Create: Constructionist Video Games for Empowered Communities of Learners | <i>Vittorio Marone</i> | 710 |
| A Review of Scaffolding Approaches in Game-Based Learning Environments | <i>Javier Melero, Davinia Hernández-Leo and Josep Blat</i> | 717 |
| Leadership in a Networked World: The Case of Massive Multiplayer Online Environments | <i>Sofia Mysirlaki</i> | 725 |
| Work in Progress papers | | 733 |
| Model of Firearms Simulator Based on a Serious Game and Sensor Technology | <i>Dimitar Bogatinov, Slavko Angelevski and Vladimir Trajkovik</i> | 735 |
| Using Digital Games to Teach the Hero's Journey as a Model for Change and Innovation Management | <i>Carsten Busch, Florian Conrad and Martin Steinicke</i> | 740 |
| Non Verbal Behavior Analysis in Gaming and Game Based Learning | <i>George Caridakis and Kostas Karpouzis</i> | 745 |
| Exploring the Benefits of Digital Interactive Games on People's Health | <i>Patsi Charikleia, Panagiotis Antoniou, Sofia Batsiou, Evaggelos Bebetos and Antonis Lymnioudis</i> | 750 |
| Early Childhood Post-Educated Teachers' Views and Intentions About Using Digital Games in the Classroom | <i>Dionissios Manassis</i> | 753 |
| Concept of a Gaming Platform for Domain-Specific User-Created Content | <i>Heinrich Söbke, Christiane Hadlich, Thomas Bröker and Oliver Kornadt</i> | 759 |
| The Investigation of the Influence of Exergames on the Balance of Deaf Children | <i>Nikolaos Tzanetakos, Panagiotis Antoniou, Marina Papastergiou and Nikos Vernadakis</i> | 767 |
| Model of Social Believable NPCs for Teacher Training | <i>Harko Verhagen, Magnus Johansson and Mirjam Eladhari</i> | 771 |
| Learning by Playing | <i>Thomas Wernbacher, Michael Wagner, Doris Rusch and Joerg Hofstaetter</i> | 775 |
| A Pilot Survey Investigating Trainee Doctors Attitudes to the use of Serious Games in Musculoskeletal Disease Education | <i>Andrew Wilson, Andrew Filer, David Carruthers and Stephen Young</i> | 778 |
| Non Academic Papers | | 783 |
| Integrating Game Mechanics and Pedagogy: The Design and Production of Prospero, an Exploratory Speech Production Game | <i>Matthew Jewell</i> | 785 |
| A Letter - A Story: Interactive Games Digital Environment as Part of a Multimedia Learning Package | <i>Sophia Mandouvalou and Aristarchos Papadaniel</i> | 793 |

Preface

These proceedings represent the work of researchers participating in the 5th European Conference on Games-Based Learning, which is being hosted this year by the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece, with the co-operation of the Hellenic American Union.

The conference will be opened with a keynote from Professor Sara de Freitas, Serious Games Institute, University of Coventry, UK on the topic of "The Gamification of Life: Building social communities through games". The keynote address on the second day is delivered by Professor Nikolaos Avouris, IITLab Human-Computer Interaction Group, University of Patras, Greece.

The ECGBL Conference constitutes a valuable platform for individuals to present their research findings, display their work in progress and discuss conceptual advances in many different branches of games-based learning. At the same time, it provides an important opportunity for members of the GBL community to come together with peers, share knowledge and exchange ideas.

ECGBL has evolved and developed over the past five years, and the range of papers accepted in this year's conference ensures an interesting two-day event.

Following an initial submission of 165 abstracts that have undergone a double blind peer review process, 78 research papers, 8 PhD research papers, 10 work-in-progress papers and 2 non-academic papers are published in the ECGBL 2011 Conference Proceedings, representing research results from Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Bulgaria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, FYROM, Germany, Greece, India, Ireland, Italy, Oman, Poland, Romania, Russia, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, The Netherlands, UK and the USA.

We hope that you have an enjoyable conference.

Assistant Prof. Dimitris Gouscos
ECGBL 2011 Programme Chair
October 2011

Professor Michalis Meimaris
ECGBL 2011 Conference Chair

Designing Serious Games for Education: From Pedagogical Principles to Game Mechanisms

Francesco Bellotti¹, Michela Ott², Sylvester Arnab³, Riccardo Berta¹, Sara de Freitas⁴, Kristian Kiili⁵ and Alessandro De Gloria¹

¹ DIBE-UNIGE, Genova, Italy

²ITD-CNR, Genova, Italy

³Sgi, University of Coventry, Malaysia

⁴University of Coventry, UK

⁵Tampere University of Technology, Pori, Finland

franz@elios.unige.it

ott@itd.cnr.it

SArnab@cad.coventry.ac.uk

berta@elios.unige.it

SFreitas@cad.coventry.ac.uk

kristian.kiili@tut.fi

alessandro.degloria@unige.it

Abstract: Serious Games represent an important opportunity for improving education thanks to their ability to compel players and to present realistic simulations of real-life situations. The scientific community is aware that we are just at the beginning of a proper use of gaming technologies for education and training and, in particular, there is a need for scientific and engineering methods for building games not only as more realistic simulations of the physical world, but as means that provide effective learning experiences. This requires an ever closer cooperation among the various actors involved in the overall SG life-chain, putting pedagogy in a central role, given the educational target of the SGs. This paper addresses the till-now inadequate integration of educational and game design principles and proposes techniques, methods and mechanisms that allow designers with different background to dialogue among each other and to define games that are able to integrate – by design – entertainment and educational features. In particular, the paper follows a design path that starts from the definition of reference frameworks and then analyses the typical categories of design patterns, before focusing on the user-interaction modalities – seen from a pedagogical point of view – given their relevance for the end-users. In the end, we discuss the sandbox serious game model, that looks suited to implement joint pedagogical and entertainment features. We believe that the indications provided in this paper can be useful for researchers and stakeholders to understand the typical issues in SG design and to get inspiration about possible solutions that take into account the need to implement tools that are effective both as an entertainment medium and as an education tool.

Keywords: Serious games, pedagogical strategies, game design, game-based learning, technology enhanced learning, virtual worlds, user profiling

1. Introduction

Digital games are widely regarded as high potential educational tools able to provide students with new augmented learning opportunities. According to Kirriemuir and McFarlane (2004) digital games employed in education can be broadly subdivided in two categories: 1) mainstream games, i.e., games that are created solely for fun and 2) learning games, i.e., games that are expressly designed with explicit educational purposes. Games in this last category are also referred to as Educational Games and, with a slightly different “nuance”, Serious Games (SGs) (Breuer and Bente, 2010).

Also mainstream games can be used for education. In this case, the educational value and effectiveness is directly related to the pedagogical choices made by those, typically teachers, who are in charge of carrying out the educational intervention (Bottino et al, 2008).

The focus of this paper, instead, is on games - in particular SGs - ad-hoc designed for educational purposes. The potential of SGs is relevant, because a large and growing population is familiar with playing games, that can present users with realistic and compelling challenges, highly stimulating their information processing capabilities and capturing their concentration span for long duration. “In games, the learning is not only relevant but applied and practiced within that context (Situating cognition)” (Van Eck, 2006). Menn, (1993) claims that engagement in the job, even if only as a simulation, significantly increases students’ knowledge retention.

The SGs' educational potential and actual effectiveness may vary appreciably as a consequence of the pedagogical choices made *a priori* by the game designer (Squire, 2005). Thus, a proper design is key to meet the end-user and stakeholder requirements, that are twofold, on the entertainment and education sides.

Some authors argue that several games have "*per se*" the capacity to elicit and trigger some kind of learning, for instance SGs in the field of reasoning and problem solving skills (Garris et al, 2002). However, it is undeniable that a fine-tuned pedagogy plays a major role in sustaining learning effectiveness.

One of the biggest problems of educational games to date is the inadequate integration of educational and game design principles (e.g. Kiili, 2005; 2007) and this is also due to the fact that digital game designers and educational experts do not usually share a common vocabulary.

This paper stems from the authors' collaboration in the GaLA NoE (Game and Learning Alliance Network of Excellence¹), in particular in the Technical Committee devoted to Pedagogy. The aim is to analyze and critically discuss the various steps of a pedagogy-driven game design that is rooted in pedagogical principles and is able to go down up to the game mechanisms.

The following sections of the paper explore: "reference frameworks" underpinning and informing SG design (section 2); "game design patterns", intended as means to facilitate the design and development of high quality educational games by providing a shared vocabulary for both instructional and game designers (section 3); user interaction aspects of games which can benefit from the joint effort of pedagogy and game design experts (section 4). As an application case study, section 5 focuses on a particular class of SGs, namely the Sand-Box Serious Games, that, from our experience, looks particularly interesting for implementing effective SGs. Section 6 draws the conclusions and proposes indications for future research.

2. Reference frameworks for SGs design

Given the instructional goal, SG research should be strongly grounded in proper educational foundations. "To be effective, serious games must incorporate sound cognitive, learning, and pedagogical principles into their design and structure" (Greitzer et al., 2007). In this regard, it is important to stress that, while serious games are frequently seen as "de facto" instructional, the combination of entertainment and knowledge acquisition is far from being immediate. Games are easily "*per se*" motivating. However, the next step towards instructional effectiveness is more difficult to accomplish.

Most new generation SGs and Virtual Environments (VEs) adopt a discovery and inquiry-based learning strategy: they are open environments where students should learn basically by exploring contents and solving problems.

This approach, however, is problematic. Although unguided or minimally guided instructional approaches are popular and intuitively appealing, there is evidence from empirical studies that minimally guided instruction is less effective and efficient than instructional approaches that rely on a strong guidance of the student. The advantage of guidance begins to recede only when learners have sufficient knowledge to provide "internal" guidance (Kirschner et al., 2006). The cognitive load theory (CLT) (Sweller, 2008) stresses "instruction [is] based on the facts, laws, principles, and theories that make up a discipline's content" (Kirschner et al., 2006).

Learning is a complex activity that needs several gradual steps, that have to be supported by various tools (e.g., paper and digital, reading and writing, etc.) and generally have to be guided, possibly by an adult person, in order to be useful for the learner and not cause a waste of time/energies or even acquisition of misconceptions or of incomplete/disorganized knowledge. The presence of a reliable adult teacher/educator can help the student to get the meaning of what he is doing, in particular in the first steps. What computer systems (including games) can do is to support teachers - for instance by building an information rich and stimulating environment, providing a wealth of data obtained by tracing the user and making comparisons, favoring personalization and feedback, supporting peer

¹ EU Network funded Under FP7

cooperation and dialogue with non-peers. Games can add by themselves a plot and mechanisms that keep the flow (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990) and continuously spur the player to improve.

Awareness of these considerations is limited in the scientific community. And we believe that the proposed perspective provides a major direction to improve current design and consequently favor a real and useful uptake of SGs as an educational tool, not as a technology pull or a simple pedagogical fashion.

One of the keywords of current SGs and VEs is “experience”, according to Kolb’s (1984) definition of learning as “the process whereby knowledge is created through the transformation of experience”.

In Kolb’s experiential model of learning, individuals are encouraged to reflect on the actions and consequences, so to foster understanding and reapplying this understanding to future actions. Kolb defines four possible learning styles: (i) Divergent (feel and watch), (ii) Assimilative (watch and think), (iii) Convergent (do and think) and (iv) Accommodative (do and feel). These Kolb’s styles are possibly interrelated depending on individual preferences, and may result in four different outcomes: Concrete Experience (feel), Reflective Observation (watch), Abstract Conceptualization (think) and Active Experimentation (do).

A similar cataloguing of learning styles has been proposed by (Fleming and Mills, 1992), that developed a theory – VARK - that categorizes learners: Visual learners (with a preference for tools such as pictures, concept maps), Aural learners (listening and discussion), Reading/Writing-preference learners(textual stimulus), and Kinesthetic or Tactile learners (movement and hands-on practice).

Kolb’s experiential learning model has been recently revisited in order to include new generation VEs and SGs. To conceptually support issues of game design using pedagogically driven approaches, the Four Dimensional Framework (4DF) (de Freitas & Oliver, 2006) and the SG Exploratory Learning Model (ELM) (de Freitas & Neumann, 2009) have been proposed. These models open the capability for learning through the experience of exploring SG/VE spaces.

4DF suggests to inform game design by referring to four dimensions, such as: learner profiling (e.g. ICT skills, gaming experience), selection of pedagogies used (e.g. associative, cognitive or situative), used representation (e.g. level of fidelity, interactivity and immersion) and context within which learning takes place (e.g. disciplinary context, place of learning). By following the four dimensions model, game developers should be able to design SGs by taking into account the learning characteristics of learners and the different pedagogical and contextual constraints so to enact effective absorption, promote reflection on knowledge and transfer these learning variables into real-world scenarios.

Similarly, the ELM model extends from Kolb’s experiential learning model to include the typical and popular characteristics of VEs and SGs, such as the 3D world settings and the social interactive learning aspects.

In ELM, learning sequences and experiences are so to say “choreographed”, to support peer interactions and exchanges. This is made possible also thanks to the strong support of the user’s kinesthetic experience. For instance, Arnab et al (2011) explored the use of tactile interactions in a game-based learning environment implemented atop of a multimodal browser-based platform aimed at promoting “hands-on” engagement with a topic - the cultural heritage, in the implemented case.

The models put an emphasis on sequencing learning experiences, meta-reflection, peer assessment and group work, that are interesting and innovative aspects of SGs. However, it is important to stress that this must not hinder the importance of student guidance and of the curriculum. This does not intend to neglect the fun aspect, but to stress the educational effectiveness of a game for its users.

In this sense, it is important to highlight that SGs, rather than an “all comprehensive” teaching tool, look particularly suited as an instrument for motivating beginners to new topics and as a practicing tool to apply and test knowledge acquisition (Bellotti et al., 2010). This consideration should help designers to optimize the efforts and the expected results.

3. Game design patterns as a shared vocabulary and common viewpoint for SG designers

After adoption of a reference framework for design, a subsequent step is given by the necessity for designers to share a vocabulary for design analysis, documentation and communication.

This need is stringent in SG design, because of the apparent difficulties in mastering a complex relational web including education, psychology, technology, art, business, and creativity. Practical design tools are necessary in order to effectively implement educational aspects in the designs. A major conceptual tool, in this direction, is the definition of pedagogically informed game design patterns (Alexander, 1977). It is important to note that the term design pattern is concerned with content, in our case, rather than with programming (Gamma et al., 1994).

Porting the design pattern concept into the educational games field, Kiili (2010) has identified a number of patterns, for which he proposed six categories addressing crucial educational aspects that game designers should take into account when designing educational games.

- *Integration patterns* describe solutions that harmoniously integrate game elements and learning objectives in pedagogically meaningful ways. The integration of learning objectives and gameplay creates the foundation of a game and usually arouses constraints that affect the whole design.
- *Cognition patterns* describe solutions that trigger reflective and metacognitive processes in players and stimulate players to process relevant content experienced through gameplay. Ketamo and Kiili (2010) emphasize the meaning of cognitive feedback in educational games. The aim of cognitive feedback is to grasp player's attention, focus it on essential learning content and stimulate player to reflect on his or her experiences and tested solutions in order to further develop his mental models, validation of hypothesis and formation of new playing strategies. The results have indicated that the sooner the player notices the cognitive feedback and grasps it meaning, the more effectively can he play the game.
- *Presentation patterns* aim to ensure that the player's processing of the content is effective. Learners are challenged to extract relevant information from a game world, select corresponding parts of information and integrate all such elements in a coherent representation. This is demanding, because the game world may change while playing, important information may be presented only a while, and thus it needs to be kept active in working memory in order to allow integration of information. This may impose an excessively high cognitive load and hinder learning. Thus, game designers should consider the cognitive price of every element and that visual effects should be used to highlight the crucial elements. It is not enough that players can cope with challenges, but they need to process game content so to learn. Test results have indicated that players' perception patterns tend to vary a lot and players miss relevant information during playing.
- *Social interaction and teaching patterns* are interwoven into cognition patterns. They describe solutions that facilitate learning or teaching (trigger reflective and metacognitive processes) through social activities and socially constructed game elements. This pattern category is not restricted to direct game activities, but can also include patterns that guide debriefing sessions, for example. In particular, teaching patterns describe solutions that facilitate teacher's work by providing observation, assessment and participation possibilities. Games could be armed with effective tools that provide diagnostics and summarizations of learners' gaming behavior. Such tools could help teachers to concentrate on learners' most crucial problems and master relatively large learner groups with numerous variances in skills. Pedagogical support to teachers may be one of the key points for spreading SGs.
- Finally, *engagement patterns* provide a means of wrapping the whole gaming experience into a meaningful and motivating package. They describe solutions that motivate players to perform better in a game, facilitate learning and increase playing time.

In general, SGs design patterns draw from the well established knowledge of game design patterns (Bjork and Holopainen, 2005), and add a second foundation beside entertainment: pedagogy. In our experience, pedagogically-informed game patterns represent a key practical tool to support the necessary collaboration among experts - mainly computer programming, pedagogy and the specific target domain – in all the choices to be done when designing a SG.

In the next section we focus in particular on presentation patterns, given their importance from the point of view of the end-user.

4. Focus on presentation and user interaction

As seen in the previous sections, there is a wide consensus that pedagogical choices made *a priori* and embedded in the pedagogical design of games may contribute to enhance learning effectiveness (Kiili, 2007). Such pedagogical choices may affect, to a different extent, different aspects and parts of a game.

The first basic choice concerns the definition of the target users and the elicitation of their needs. Consequently, the content to insert in the game is to be decided. Besides guaranteeing the correctness and suitability for the target age and for the intended learning purposes, a number of other pedagogical aspects are to be considered, in particular the flow/harmonization of the content presentation, also considering the need to graduate the levels of difficulty.

In this section we shortly focus on design items and choices related to the content presentation, given their relevance for the final product.

- **Interface** - Interface appeal is key because games, by definition, have to be engaging to play, and also because a nice graphic aspect can attract demographics that are traditionally averse to instructional activities. This is often neglected when designing SGs, for which designers tend to think of their users as a captive audience. But this assumption strongly limits the potential of the final SG. In interface design, however, beside the pure graphical attractiveness, there are also other aspects that should be designed considering also the pedagogical point of view. These include the identification of relevant/irrelevant functionalities/modules - to be appropriately displayed in place and time according to their salience - and the appropriate use of audio to substantiate and foster comprehension and learning. Fig. 1 shows two different interfaces chosen for the same simple casual game (Hanoi Towers), from which it appears the difference in overall clearness and potential ability to help focus on relevant elements of the game.




Figure 1: Different interfaces for the same game (Hanoi Towers)

- **Method/level of interaction** – The interaction modalities for a game have to be decided coherently with the application’s educational strategy (e.g., a question-answering or an inquiry/exploratory strategy), which in turn is to be determined by the game’s inspiring pedagogical principles. As a consequence, also the level of interaction should be different. For instance, “Question & Answer” environments typically require a paced and homogeneous user-computer interaction, while open environments, like simulations or adventure games, where inquiry or discovery learning strategies are adopted, are per se fully interactive and, at a further level, additional interaction (e.g. request of specific hints/explanations) should happen mainly “on demand”, when the need emerges.
- **Feedback and reward** - The type of feedback provided by the system as a consequence of user actions (from simple yes/no answers to full re-explanation and adapted, customized feedback to the user’s responses) is a key point for all types of digital educational products (Timmers and Veldkamp, 2011). Fig. 2 shows the contextualized feedback of a classic battleship puzzle game, Hexip, where instead of showing the solution or simply highlighting the user mistakes, the system shows the right way to follow, suggesting reflections and specific considerations on the actual game situation. The reward provided to the user in case of positive achievements is an important

design element, since it could offer a strong performance motivation. It has to be perceived as a reward for the work done, but should also be effective for stimulating further gaming and learning. In general, feedback should be strictly linked with the needs of the target end-users and coherent with the overall learning objectives and adopted educational strategy.




Figure 2: Screenshot showing contextualized feedback

- *Type of evaluation of performance and level of metacognitive support provided* - The capacity of the digital tool to provide users with a proper evaluation of their performance is a key aspect to support and enhance the SG effectiveness and impact. The need for precise pedagogical assessment is necessary in both the typical evaluation methods: summative (final assessment of the overall performance) and formative (*in itinere*, aimed at supporting reflection on and adjustment of learning behavior). This is expected to guarantee that users are fully aware of their successes/failures, so that they are in a better position to improve their performance. Embedding formative and/or summative evaluation elements in the game has different pedagogical implications closely related to the level of performance awareness which, in turn, has clear implications on metacognition and metacognitive aspects of learning behavior (Abbott et al., 2009; Roll et al., 2007). Also, inserting assessment in games should not obstacle the overall flow and should be smoothly embedded into the actual game mechanisms. This is not easy, and the literature presents some good practice cases, not a coherent theory or model (e.g., Swarz et al., 2010 and Zielke et al., 2009).

5. From theory to practice: The SandBox SG model

The virtual world (VW) in which a game is set can provide itself an important value for instruction. Actually, several effective SandBox Serious Games (SBSG) – they also have a counterpart in successful entertainment games, such as Grand Theft Auto and Oblivion (Squire, 2008) - have an organization that tends to support players in building a suited knowledge structure for the addressed topic(s) (Qin et al., 2010). In rough terms, the model consists of: (1) a spatial organization - the VW - where knowledge is distributed (e.g., Sliney and Murphy, 2008) and that induces spatial information processing and provides landmarks to support orientation (Slater et al., 2009); (2) contextualized tasks, that are spread and contextualized in the VW. Tasks embody units of knowledge that can be discovered by the player. The Task Based Learning (TBL) theory (Willis, 1996) stresses the importance of concrete, focused activities to construct knowledge and develop skills.

A player explores the environment accomplishing missions involving a sequence of small tasks, each of which has a specific, limited instructional target. Pedagogical usefulness of this approach has been argued in (Frazer, 2007), presenting the MyLA (My Learning Assistant) experience, highlighting the importance of stimulating learners through questions and timely, accurate, context-sensitive, answers. The missions' complexity, given by the difficulty of the tasks and of the environment to be explored, can grow up gradually towards ever more complex objectives,.

Tasks may be instances of templates (e.g. various sorts of minigames with different contents), with the advantage that interaction modalities can be re-employed by the player in several contexts, keeping the cognitive load low, which is good for the Cognitive Load Theory (CLT). Moreover, development of tasks can be efficiently supported by an authoring toolkit, allowing teachers, and even students, to develop/customize content (Bellotti et al., 2010).

Availability of a large database of semantically annotated tasks leads to the possibility of a dynamic scheduling of tasks. Bellotti et al., (2009a) present an Experience Engine (EE), that exploits computational intelligence to schedule tasks matching the needs estimated by profiling the user performance and with the aim of keeping the learners in the proper Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) (Vygotsky, 1976) and enforcing a teacher-defined pedagogical strategy. This system, again, is able to provide a level of guidance that should help the learner to make the most of his exploration without getting lost in a potentially wide knowledge space.

In the proposed approach, a game does not need a detailed story specification. There is a high-level challenge (e.g., a treasure hunt), that spurs players to competition/cooperation through explorations of a VW. This simple narrative structure would cause a minor cognitive load to the player, leaving more space for knowledge acquisition.

Tasks may be of different type, also supporting different learning styles (e.g., the Kolb's and VARK styles, in Bellotti et al., 2009a), that could be stressed in the gameplay either to improve the learner's skills or to make the learning easier by exploiting his most favorite skills - depending on the teacher strategy. In fact, the methodology allows the teacher to specify the requirements for a runtime scheduling policy that maximizes learning objectives. The policy is learnt by the EE, which is the responsible for the final scheduling of the task. The policy allows the teacher to define several educational targets, among which the expected difficulty curve and tasks' overall learning style distribution (Bellotti et al., 2009b). The SBSG-EE model has been implemented in SGs for the cultural heritage and maritime education domains, but it is clearly domain-independent (Bellotti et al., 2009a).

6. Conclusions and future work

SGs represent an important opportunity for improving education, also in a life-long learning perspective, thanks to their ability to compel players and present realistic simulations.

Beside this, there is the awareness that we are just at the beginning of a proper use of gaming technologies for education and training (Johnson, 2005) and there is a need for scientific methods for building games as means that provide effective learning experiences (Greitzer et al., 2007).

This requires a closer cooperation among the various actors involved in the overall SG life-chain (students, teachers, educators, developers, companies, etc.).

This paper addresses the till-now inadequate integration of educational and game design principles and proposes methods and mechanisms that allow designers with different background to dialogue among each other and to define games that are able to integrate – by design – entertainment and educational features.

We believe that the indications provided in this paper can be useful for researchers and stakeholders to understand the typical issues in SG design and get inspiration about SGs that are effective both as entertainment media and as education tools.

The next steps of our research work concerns an improvement of the presented models/techniques (i.e., reference frameworks, design patterns, interaction modalities and sandbox serious game model). In the same time, these or similar techniques may be assessed and enhanced also by other experts

and researchers, in order to achieve the long-term aim of defining proper rules and models for an effective design of SGs.

References

- Abbott, I., Townsend, A. Johnston-Wilder S., Reynolds L., (2009) Literature Review: Deep learning with technology in 14- to 19-year-old learners, [online] Becta http://www.e-learningcentre.co.uk/Resource/CMS/Assets/5c10130e-6a9f-102c-a0be-003005bbceb4/form_uploads/14_19_deep_learning_literature_review.pdf
- Alexander C., (1977), *A Pattern Language: Towns, Buildings, Construction*, Oxford University Press, USA
- Arnab, S., Petridis, P., Dunwell, I., de Freitas, S. (2011) *Tactile Interaction in an Ancient World on a Web Browser*. International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications (IJCISIM), 2011
- Bellotti F., Berta R., De Gloria A., Primavera L., (2009a), "Adaptive Experience Engine for Serious Games", IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games, Vol 1, No. 4.
- Bellotti F., Berta R., De Gloria A., Primavera L., (2009b), "Player Experience evaluation: an approach based on the Personal Construct Theory", The 8th International Conference on Entertainment Computing (ICEC 2009), Paris, France
- Bellotti F., Berta R. and De Gloria A., (2010), "Designing Effective Serious Games: Opportunities and Challenges for Research", Special Issue: Creative Learning with Serious Games, Int.I Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET), Special Issue: Creative Learning with Serious Games Vol. 5.
- Bjork, S. and Holopainen J., (2005), *Patterns in Game Design*, Hingham: Charles River Media.
- Bottino R.M., Ott M. and Tavella M., (2008) The impact of mind game playing on children's reasoning abilities: reflections from an experience, In: Conolly T. and Stansfield M (eds), Proc 2nd Eur. Conf. on Game-Based learning, Academic Publishing Ltd, Reading, England
- Breuer J., Bente G. (2010) *Why so serious? On the relation of serious games and learning*. Eludamos, Journal of Computer game culture, 4 (1)
- Csikszentmihalyi M., (1990), *Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience*, New York: Harper & Row.
- de Freitas, S., Neumann, T., (2009) *The use of 'exploratory learning' for supporting immersive learning in virtual environments*, Computers and Education, 52(2)
- de Freitas, S., Oliver, (2006), How can exploratory learning with games and simulations within the curriculum be most effectively evaluated?, *Computers and Education*, 46
- Fleming, ND and Mills, C (1992) Not Another Inventory, Rather a Catalyst for Reflection, *To Improve the Academy*, Vol 11.
- Frazer A., Argles D., and Wills G., (2007), "Is Less Actually More? The Usefulness of Educational Mini-game", in Proc IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies
- Gamma E., Helm R., Johnson R., and Vlissides J., (1994), *Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software*, Addison Wesley Longman.
- Garris, R., Ahlers, R. and Driskell, J. E. (2002) *Games, motivation, and learning: a research and practice model*. Simulation & Gaming, Vol. 33, No. 4
- Greitzer F.L., Kuchar O.A., and Huston K., (2007), "Cognitive Science Implications for Enhancing Training Effectiveness in a Serious Gaming Context", ACM J. Educational Resources in Computing, vol. 7, no. 3
- Johnson W. L., (2005), "Lessons learned from games for education", in *Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH 2005 Educators program*
- Ketamo, H. & Kiili, K. (2010). Conceptual change takes time: Game based learning cannot be only supplementary amusement. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, vol. 19(1)
- Kiili, K. (2007) Foundation for Problem-Based Gaming. *British Journal of Educational Technology – Special issue on Game-Based Learning*, 38
- Kiili, K., (2010). Call for learning-game design patterns. *Educational Games: Design, Learning, and Applications*. Nova Publishers
- Kirriemuir J., McFarlane A. (2004) Literature Review in Games and Learning Report 8: Futurelab Series. ISBN: 0-9544695-6-9 [online], http://archive.futurelab.org.uk/resources/documents/lit_reviews/Games_Review.pdf
- Kirschner, P.A., Sweller, J., Clark, R.E., (2006), "Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: an analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching". *Educational Psychologist* 41 (2).
- Kolb, D. (1984) *Experiential Learning*, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Menn, D., *Multimedia in education*. PC World, 1993
- Qin J., Chui Y., Pang W., Choi K., Heng P. , (2010), "Learning Blood Management in Orthopedic Surgery through Gameplay," *Computer Graphics and Applications*, IEEE , vol.30, no.2,
- Roll I., Alevin, V., McLaren, B. M. and Koedinger K. R. (2007) Designing for metacognition—applying cognitive tutor principles to the tutoring of help seeking *Metacognition and learning*, 2 (2-3)
- Slater, M., Khanna, P., Mortensen, J., Yu, I., (2009), *Visual Realism Enhances Realistic Response in an Immersive Virtual Environment*. IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications, vol. 29, no. 3.
- Sliney A., Murphy D., (2008), "JDoc: A Serious Game for Medical Learning," in Proc. International Conference on Advances in Computer-Human Interaction

Francesco Bellotti et al.

- Squire K., (2005) Game-Based Learning: Present and Future State of the Field «An x-Learn Perspective Paper»
http://www.newmediaforlearning.com/research/Game-Based_Learning.pdf
- Squire, K. (2008), Open-ended video games: A model for developing learning for the interactive age. In *The Ecology of Games: Connecting Youth, Games, and Learning*, K. Salen (ed.), MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- Swarz, J., Ousley, A., Magro, A., Rienzo, M., Burns, D., Lindsey, A.M., Wilburn, B., Bolcar, S., (2010), "CancerSpace: A Simulation-Based Game for Improving Cancer-Screening Rates," *Computer Graphics and Applications*, IEEE , vol.30, no.1
- Sweller, J., (2008), "Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning". *Cognitive Science* 12 (2).
- Timmers, C., Veldkamp, B., (2011) Attention paid to feedback provided by a computer-based assessment for learning on information literacy. *Computers & Education* 56 (3)
- Van Eck R., *Digital Game-Based Learning: It's Not Just the Digital Natives Who Are Restless*, EDUCAUSE Review, vol. 41, no. 2, 2006.
- Vygotsky L., (1976), "Play and its role in the mental development of the child". In J. Bruner, A. Jolly, & K. Sylva, (Eds.) *Play- Its Role in Development and Evolution*, pp. 537-554. New York: Basic Books.
- Willis, J., (1996), *A framework for task-based learning*. Harlow, U.K., Longman Addison- Wesley.
- Zielke M. A., Evans M. J., Dufour F., Christopher T. V., Donahue J. K., Johnson P., Jennings E. B., Friedman B. S., Ounekeo P. L., Flores R. (2009), "Serious Games for Immersive Cultural Training: Creating a Living World," *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, vol. 29, no. 2