# The Impact of Religiosity on Earnings Quality: International Evidence from the Banking Sector

Abdel-Salam, O., Chantziaras, A., Ibrahim, M. & Omoteso, K.

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

#### Original citation & hyperlink:

Abdel-Salam, O, Chantziaras, A, Ibrahim, M & Omoteso, K 2021, 'The Impact of Religiosity on Earnings Quality: International Evidence from the Banking Sector', The British Accounting Review, vol. 53, no. 6, 100957, pp. 100957. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2020.100957

DOI 10.1016/j.bar.2020.100957

ISSN 0890-8389

Publisher: Elsevier

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in The British Accounting Review. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in The British Accounting Review 53:6 (2021) DOI: 10.1016/j.bar.2020.100957

© 2020, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</a>

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

# The impact of religiosity on earnings quality: International evidence from the banking sector

#### **Abstract**

We examine the impact of religiosity on earnings quality, utilising a global sample of 1283 listed banks headquartered in 39 countries and covering the period 2002–2018. Using instrumental variables two-stage least squares regressions, we demonstrate that religiosity has a significant positive impact on banks' earnings quality. We further show that the impact of religiosity becomes more pronounced among banks headquartered in countries where religion is an important element of national identity and in countries with weak legal protection. We show that the effects of religiosity are more intense during the global financial crisis period. Overall, these findings support the notion that high religiosity tends to reduce unethical activities by managers and can function as an alternative control mechanism for minimising agency costs. Our empirical investigation is robust to alternative model and sample specifications.

**Keywords:** Religiosity, earnings quality, informal institutions, institutional environment, social norms theory

**JEL**: G21, M41, Z12

# 1 Introduction

In the last decades, the recurrent corporate collapses have given rise to a wave of criticism with regard to the role and effectiveness of formal institutions, such as conventional governance and regulatory structures (Tonoyan, Strohmeyer, Habib, & Perlitz, 2010). At the same time, academic interest has been directed toward exploring the roles of informal institutions, especially religiosity, in influencing management behaviour and the quality of financial reporting (see Callen, Morel, & Richardson, 2011; Kanagaretnam, Lobo, & Wang, 2015).<sup>1</sup>

Previous researchers have shown that high levels of religiosity affect managers and the organisations they control (Leventis, Dedoulis, & Abdelsalam, 2018; Longenecker, McKinney, & Moore, 2004; McCullough & Willoughby, 2009; McGuire, Omer & Sharp, 2012; Vitell, 2009; Abdelsalam, Duygun, Matallín-Sáez & Tortosa-Ausina, 2017) since religious norms convert emotions of guilt and shame into a sense of accountability among actors, directing them towards choosing ethical decision making. However, a few questions remain unexplored: (a) Does earnings quality differ between countries where religion is part of the national identity and therefore adherence is more pronounced? (b) Does the impact of religiosity on earnings quality differ between countries in accordance with the strength of formal institutions? (c) Does the impact of religiosity on earnings quality differ during a crisis period? Our paper aims to fill these gaps.

We argue that although the influence of these religious social norms may function in a similar manner across different countries (see Gallego-Alvarez, Rodríguez- Domínguez, & Martín Vallejo, 2020; Horak & Yang, 2018; Leventis et al., 2018), the magnitude of their influence in shaping economic decisions differs between countries. This is due to the varying levels of adherence to religious norms and the different qualities of institutional governance between nations (Halikiopoulou & Vasilopoulou, 2013; North, 1994). The classic sociological literature from the 1930s to early 1960s (e.g., Blake & Davis, 1964; LaPiere, 1954; Parsons, 1937) suggests that certain behaviour is normative when it is socially requested or is considered appropriate. We also show that the impact of religious norms varies depending on its perceived importance and its significance in groups' and nations' identity. When religion becomes an integral part of a community's or a nation's identity, it is institutionalised and generates influential collective values (Llobera, 1994).

In addition, North (1994) notes that informal institutions act as a complement to conventional formal institutions, especially when the latter become less effective. Empirical In addition, North (1994) notes that informal institutions act as a complement to conventional

formal institutions, especially when the latter become less effective. Empirical investigations are supportive of this notion and demonstrate that informal institutions play an important role in countries with weak formal institutions, such as legal protection and law enforcement (see for example, Ang, Cheng, & Wu, 2015; Guiso, Sapienza, & Zingales, 2004; Qian, Cao, & Cao, 2018). For instance, empirical evidence from Italy (Guiso et al., 2004) and China (Ang et al., 2015) indicates that religion impacts on decision-making frameworks, although the level of such an impact varies depending on the strength of the countries' formal institutions.

Surveys show that nearly 84 per cent of the global population is associated with faith or religious beliefs (Sherwood, 2018). It is also argued that a large number of people become more spiritual during crises (Orman, 2019) due to the fear of socio-economic consequences, such as job losses, poverty, depression, slow growth for firms and other associated uncertainties. Under such circumstances, religion plays a key role in strengthening social solidarity and deploying strategies to deal with adversities (Norenzayan & Hansen, 2006; Pargament, Tarakeshwar, Ellison, & Wulff, 2001). It also brings a sense of spiritual belonging and tranquillity (Bentzen & Gokmen, 2020). As religion promotes the importance of ethical behaviour and renounces manipulation, we argue that its role in reducing unethical practices (and subsequently increasing earnings quality) becomes more pronounced during crisis periods.

Our study extends previous studies, such as Callen et al. (2011) and Kanagaretnam et al. (2015) by examining the association between religious social norms and earnings quality in the context of the banking sector. We use a sample of 7619 bank-year observations of 1283 listed banks headquartered in 39 countries, covering the period 2002–2018, for our tests. We consider the size of our sample with a view to enhancing the generalisability of the religiosity effects on earnings quality. Although the previous literature has documented that religiosity affects firm behaviour, it does not show how the impact differs from one country to another. Indeed, crossnational surveys, such as the ones from the PEW Research Center and the International Social Survey Programme, reinforce this notion.

We utilize religiosity at the country of corporate headquarters, since headquarters constitute the place where business decisions and policies are made (Pirinsky & Wang, 2006; Rubin, 2008). Using instrumental variables two-stage least squares (IV-2SLS) regressions, we demonstrate that religiosity has a significant positive impact on banks' earnings quality. We further demonstrate that the impact of religiosity is more pronounced among banks headquartered in countries where religion is an important element of national identity. Furthermore, the impact is more pronounced for banks headquartered in countries with weak legal protection, as well as during the global financial crisis. Our findings are consistent with the earlier predictions about the rationality of religion as a control instrument for unethical corporate decisions as well as the interaction of religion with institutional settings to influence

corporate behaviours. We offer new insights into the influence of religiosity on earnings quality and how the magnitude of the relationship differs between countries according to their level of adherence to religious social norms. We document evidence on the varying degree of adherence to religious norms across countries on how religiosity serves as a monitoring mechanism in reducing the agency costs associated with the levels of banks' earnings quality. Our sensitivity analyses support the notion that increased religious norms can restrain unethical activities by the managers as agents of the shareholders, thereby minimising the risk of failure.

Our study responds to prior calls for further research on the ways social norms influence bank behaviour (Fungáčová, Nuutilainen, & Weill, 2016; Stulz & Williamson, 2003). It thereby contributes to the existing literature in several ways. First, we provide empirical evidence on the institutional role of social norms in shaping corporate decisions towards earnings quality within the banking sector across countries, thus extending knowledge on corporate behaviours (Chircop, Johan, & Tarsalewska, 2020; Chourou, He, & Zhong, 2020). Second, our study contributes to prior work by showing that the geographical location, the strength of formal institutions, and the importance of religion to national identity influence banks' earnings quality. We are, therefore, able to extend the current literature on the supplementary role of informal institutions (North, 1994; Pevzner, Xie, & Xin, 2015). This contribution is particularly important to policymakers when designing and implementing systems of regulatory measures for soundness and stability of the banks across countries (Adhikari & Agrawal, 2016). Third, we contribute to the important debate on the nexus between religiosity and corporate accountability, focusing particularly on earnings quality during a crisis period. This contribution is useful to both policymakers and shareholders in understanding areas of priorities concerning corporate behaviours during a crisis period.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: Section 2 reviews the prior literature, describes the theoretical underpinning, and develops our hypotheses. Section 3 discusses the data selection and methodology used. Section 4 presents the empirical findings; Section 5 presents the sensitivity testing and robustness of our results, and Section 6 concludes the paper.

# 2 Literature Review, Theory and Hypotheses Development

# 2.1 Social Norms and Banks' Earnings Quality

Social norms are rules or expectations of behaviour that encompass a group's consensus about ontological interpretation of appropriate behaviours. They are widely viewed by sociologists as a mechanism for explaining social order (Durkheim, 1965; Parsons, 1953) and certain social behaviour (Weber, 1930). The expectations can be descriptive about what

individuals or organisations are likely to do or normative in terms of what they ought to do, which collectively dictates actors' cognitions, behaviours, actions and emotions (Eriksson, 2015). Initially introduced by Perkins and Berkowitz (1986), social norms theory provides a useful framework for understanding patterns of behaviour based on the sanctioning and rewarding systems embedded in the norms for noncompliance as well as compliance with such norms respectively (see Leventis, et al., 2018; Weaver & Agle, 2002).

In a conceptualised form, religiosity is a prime example of social norms, and refers to the extent of adhering to prevailing religious beliefs, codes, values, practices and promulgations. Although ethical behaviour is not exclusively attributable to religious adherence, recent research evidence within social sciences suggests a strong positive association between the two (see Vitell, 2009). Religion provides a mechanism through which social norms, such as honesty and risk aversion, are promoted to influence behaviours (Dyreng, Mayew, & Williams, 2012). With the promulgation of a joint set of principles and beliefs by influential religions, this can be presumed to be a set of code of actions and virtues for good ethical behaviour (Melé & Fontrodona, 2017). As such, religious norms interact with individuals as well as corporate decision-making in promoting an anti-manipulative ethos that covers earnings management practices (Callen & Fang, 2015; Iannaccone, 1998; McGuire, et al., 2012). Prior research suggests that highly religious individuals are less likely to view accounting manipulation as an acceptable practice (Conroy & Emerson, 2004; Longenecker, et al., 2004). Therefore, it is widely argued that firms located in religious countries are more likely to be associated with unstable financial performance because of lower degrees of risk exposure (see Hilary & Hui, 2009) and less likely to have irregularities in their financial reporting owing to an aversion to litigation risk (McGuire, et al., 2012). Corporations within countries with high religiosity are influenced by the prevailing religious norms (Callen & Fang, 2015; Dyreng, et al., 2012) which subsequently affect corporate decisions (Adhikari & Agrawal, 2016; Chircop, et al., 2020). For example, U.S firms located in highly religious areas are associated with lower variances in equity returns and return on assets (Hilary & Hui, 2009) and stock price volatility (Blau, 2017).

Leventis, et al. (2018) provide a useful summary of mechanisms through which religious location can influence corporate behaviour around the role expectations. The first mechanism is associated with the intensity of religiosity. This mechanism proposes that the presence of high concentration of religious individuals within a given territory could translate

into a high proportion of religious individuals at different stages of an organisation, which in turn translates into general alignment of corporate attributes and decisions to reflect the prevailing social norms of the local community (Hilary & Hui, 2009). The second mechanism entails the role religious adherent staff can play in whistleblowing on irregular and unethical practices perpetrated by the firm. Firms are highly likely to refrain from such unwarranted and unethical practices for fear of being exposed by religious adherent individuals within the firm, because such exposure could be costly (Callen & Fang, 2015; Javers, 2011). The final mechanism relates to location effect, where a large proportion of religious adherent individuals are able to influence behaviours, actions and decisions of managers of an organisation that may not have any religious inclination (Dyreng, et al., 2012). The influence is achieved through social interactions that guide behaviours within the boundaries of the endorsed religious norms practised in the location in order to avoid societal sanctions and negative reactions (Callen & Fang, 2015; Dyreng, et al., 2012; McGuire, et al., 2012). Recent literature (such as Gallego-Alvarez, et al., 2020) supports the notion that the values advocated by major religions (such as Christian, Islamic, Jewish, and Hindu religions) are similar across a sample of 18 countries that indicate consistent pattern of higher levels of adherence to norms and are associated with implementation of better corporate ethical behaviours.

Within the context of social norms literature, normative beliefs and peer influences are instrumental in changing behaviours within corporate settings (Dyreng, et al., 2012; Leventis, et al., 2018; Weaver & Agle, 2002). However, despite the popular conception of positive impact of geographical religiosity on behaviours of individuals and corporations, another strand of the literature holds the view that religious norms shape peer behaviour and promote appropriate corporate ethical decisions and practices (see Callen, et al., 2011; Grullon, et al., 2010; Walker, et al., 2012; Weaver & Agle, 2002). Walker, et al. (2012) argue that religiosity has little or no impact on corporations' ethical decisions. Both (Hilary & Hui 2009 & Walker, et al. 2012) argue that it is the manager's religious orientation that will determine the flow and extent of the relationship between religiosity and corporations' ethical decisions. An individual proclaiming religiosity may possess an extrinsic motivation that is linked to 'positive self-perception' rather than the actual group's needs, which leads to moral hypocrisy (Batson

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Both Hilary and Hui (2009) and Walker, et al. (2012) further argue that religious managers with an intrinsic motivation orientation have a higher tendency to perceive their religiosity (beliefs and practices) as ends rather than as means to an end (Weaver & Agle, 2002; King & Crowther, 2004; Walker, Smither, & DeBode, 2012). On the other hand, managers with an extrinsic motivation orientation have a higher tendency to perceive their religiosity as means to an end (Allport & Ross, 1967; King & Crowther, 2004).

& Thompson, 2001; Batson, Thompson, Seuferling, Whitney, & Strongman, 1999; Graafland, 2017). This is usually a result of misperception of common norms caused by underestimating the consequences of deviation from the group's acceptable norms, thus, leading to a lack of engagement with the desired behaviour (Helmke & Levitsky, 2004).

Evidence of earnings management in banks has been well documented in the literature (Beatty & Liao, 2014; Bushman & Williams, 2012; Cornett, et al., 2009). In the context of banks, Kanagaretnam, et al. (2015) observe a lower probability of reporting asset deterioration in countries with high adherence to religious norms. Moreover, corporations in the countries have lower probability to backdate options, practice aggressive earnings management, and be involved in securities lawsuits (Grullon, et al., 2010). Both the theoretical and empirical perspectives indicate a positive relationship between religious social norms and a firm's earnings quality. Consequently, we propose our main hypothesis ( $H_{01}$ ) in null form as follows:

 $H_{01}$ : There is no association between religiosity and earnings quality.

#### 2.2 Religiosity, National Identity and Banks' Earnings Quality

It is widely argued that national identity is formed based on the collective narratives of the majority, as culture and politics continue to interact (Triandafyllidou & Wodak, 2003). There has long been a theoretical debate on how religion interacts with identity (Brubaker, 2012; Santiago, 2012). However, the nature and outcome of the interactions differ from one country/region to another, depending on the historical evolution of their identity. For example, until the fall of the Iron Curtain and collapse of the Soviet Union between 1989 and 1991, Central and Eastern Europe were dominated by atheist regimes. Today, however, many of the governments in the region have religion as an official state or an unofficial preferred faith (Fox & Sandler, 2005; Harry, 2014). Hence, the importance of religion to national identity can be viewed as varied across Europe, which is often attributed to the varied historical struggle and quest to create a distinct identity, potentially impacting on their policies (see McCleary & Barro, 2006).

Within the context of the nation-state, religion is established as an important determinant of economic beliefs and attitudes (see Guiso, et al., 2004). As such, a country where religion is important to national identity is highly likely to produce a set of economic beliefs and attitudes consistent with its dominant religious beliefs. Thus, a mimic isomorphism pattern will be followed by both individuals and firms by circumventing any form of behaviour not listed

within societal norms just to avoid societal punishment. Consistent with North's theory of institutional change, formal institutions are viewed as the crystallisation of informal ones (North, 1990) and both co-evolve through the functioning of different organisations. This provides a strong rationale for the notion that informal institutions (e.g. religion) can complement the formal institutions in dictating how individuals, firms, and governments behave in attaining their economic objectives. Employees with a membership of either religious or union groups with distinct values are found to adhere to the groups' norms and rules (Tajfel, 1982; Turner, Brown, & Tajfel, 1979) which makes them to make ethically sound decisions in accordance with religious norms for recognition and legitimacy. The importance of religion as part of national identity will influence the social norms, by upholding negative sanctions with a view to enforcing normative behaviour. Religion, as informal institution, becomes more influential when recognised as part of national identity, thus forming a strong connection and interaction between the state and organised religious institutions. This is because the latter dominates the political landscape (see Horak & Yang, 2018). Against this background, we extend our hypothesis as:

 $H_{2A}$ : The association between religiosity and banks' earnings quality will be more pronounced in countries where religion is an important element of national identity.

#### 2.3 Religiosity, Formal Institutions and Banks' Earnings Quality

Formal institutions involve documented and accepted sets of rules and regulations introduced to structure the economic and legal set-up of a given country to protect the rights of investors and prevent unethical behaviour. The strength of the governance infrastructure (e.g. legal framework) may be weak depending on the institutional settings, (North, 1990; Powell & DiMaggio, 1991), therefore the role of informal institutions in mitigating earnings manipulations becomes vital in understanding interaction with formal institutions.

Informal institutions are perceived as consensus around unconsciously designed societal traditions, norms, customs, cultures, ideologies, templates as well as undocumented codes of conduct (Denzau & North, 1994; North, 1990). Where the above elements are enshrined in the religious beliefs and accepted by societies as norms, personal and institutional behaviours are guided by consensus, which can be transmitted through generations by observation/imitation or teaching (Tonoyan, Strohmeyer, Habib, & Perlitz, 2010). Therefore, individual's decisions are influenced by institutions and eventually signal which of the choices is (un)/acceptable in addition to establishing socialisation of norms and behaviours into a given society (Bruton,

Fried, & Manigart, 2005; Peng & Heath, 1996). This form of boundary or the set of beliefs, that collectively shape behaviours for ethical judgement in the overall interest of an organisation, is voluntary and therefore informally institutionalised (Pearce, 2013).

Arguably, formal institutions can influence both individuals and organisations to behave in strict compliance with a pre-defined framework created and enforced by recognised authorities (Mallor, Barnes, Bowers, & Langvardt, 2013). It is expected that when formal institutions are strong, high compliance will be in force and firms will comply to avoid punishment. However, where the formal institution is weak, the success of firms in upholding ethical judgement can be determined by the informal institution. Therefore, investors have choices to entrench either or both ethical values and legal protection in the business context (Pearce & Doh, 2005; Smith, Wokutch, Harrington, & Dennis, 2016). The decision by a firm to embark on earnings management will be highly discouraged and perceived as unethical because of the religious social norms if the formal institutional framework is less effective in detecting such manipulations (Dyreng, et al., 2012). This notion supports the typology of informal institutions (Helmke & Levitsky, 2004) in that the relationship between formal and informal institutions depends on the effectiveness of, and compatibility with, the actors' goals in the institutions. In this regard, religiosity becomes more influential and complements the weak formal institutions (see Horak & Yang, 2018). In the light of potential cross-country variations in formal institutions' effectiveness, we extend our hypothesis and expect that:

 $H_{2B}$ : The association between religiosity and banks' earnings quality will be more pronounced in countries with weak formal institutions.

# 2.4 Religiosity, Crisis and Banks' Earnings Quality

The 2008 crisis placed financial institutions – most particularly banks – at the hit-hard centre, which resulted in stock crashes, job losses, huge liabilities, and failed and rescued banks, with states increasingly reluctant to intervene (Hawtrey & Johnson, 2009). Studies on the impact of religiosity on banks during financial crises are quite limited (Adhikari & Agrawal, 2016). Furthermore, there is mixed conclusion on impact of financial crisis on firms' earnings management behaviour across the world (Kumar & Vij, 2017). Evidence from Europe suggests that the overall level of earnings manipulation for 16 countries in the continent has significantly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> However, evidence suggests that Islamic banks (as compared to conventional banks) were generally insulated against the negativities of the crisis due to constraints imposed by their moral framework (Hasan & Dridi, 2010).

dropped during the crisis (Filip & Raffournier, 2014). Nonetheless, it is well documented in the literature that religiosity plays a role in shaping individuals' behaviour and resilience to cope with major life events/changes (e.g., Koenig, King, & Carson 2012; McDougle, Konrath, Walk, & Handy, 2015). The psychology of religion indicates that people are likely to be more religious as a way of maintaining their tranquillity during a financial crisis than in a non-crisis period (Díez-Esteban, Farinha, & García-Gómez, 2019). Crisis period is a time when individuals' adherence to religion increases as a result of rising uncertainties, such as a fear of losing jobs. Because a crisis period is associated with uncertainties and financial difficulty, people attain well-being, psychological and spiritual stability during a crisis by becoming more religious (Halikiopoulou & Vasilopoulou, 2013) and by benefiting from strong religious community support and social belonging because of the endorsed religious patterns (Orman, 2019). Therefore, the prominent, yet universal role religiosity plays in providing a moral framework and deterring unethical decisions, can equally apply in the functioning of both financial and non-financial institutions, most particularly during financial crises (Marshall, 2008). This is because a crisis period involves strengthening social capital to enable the members of religious groups or societies to cope with the crisis (Steenekamp, Du Toit, & Kotzé, 2015).

In contrast, another strand of literature holds a view that earnings manipulations are likely to increase during financial crisis periods primarily because of the underlying quest for managers to maintain their compensation and exploiting the flexibility in the accounting standards to manipulate earnings (Ahmad-Zaluki, Campbell, & Goodacre, 2011; Cimini, 2015; Gorgan, Gorgan, Florentin, & Pitulice, 2012). This view is consistent with agency theory which purports that the selfish interest of the managers coupled with information asymmetry generally result in the exploitation at the expense of the owners (Healy, 1985; Kothari, 2001; Schipper, 1989). Empirical evidence suggests high earnings manipulations, especially in the early stages of the financial crisis when earnings were on the rise (Türegün, 2020). Various reasons are identified in the literature as drivers of earnings management practices during financial crises. For example, management may react to different phases of business cycle (i.e. expansionary vs contractionary phases) in order to maintain consistent earnings including during the period of crisis (Johnson, 1999; Kumar & Vij, 2017). More particularly, for financial institutions such as banks, studies indicate that rating agencies play a crucial role in deterring earnings management practices by downgrading the credit scores of securities found to be evasive (Gode & Sunder, 1993). In view of the effect of the additional cost of capital/borrowing following downgrading, banks may be motivated to circumvent this by embarking on off-balance sheet adjustments in order reallocate risky assets to special purpose vehicles from their statement of financial position (Henderson, 2000). Despite the increasing relevance of this strand of literature, little evidence is documented about how religious individuals may behave when making decisions about firms during period of crisis.

We argue that religious norms help individuals within groups to build social capital prior to the crisis period, which eventually results in calmness, stability and resilience to cope with crisis through communitarian mechanisms (Woolcock & Narayan, 2000). The mechanisms enable community-oriented activities that religion helps with by bringing religious people together and providing sense of belonging. This develops into working at crosspurposes as community and placing the society's collective interests above individuals' in the hope of reward either from the supreme being or societal recognition of exemplary pattern of behaviours encouraged by religious social norms (Halikiopoulou & Vasilopoulou, 2013). This evidence is further strengthened in a survey that over 50 percent of American citizens sought help from God with prayers during Covid-19 instigated crisis (PEW Research Center, 2020). The prospect of religiosity in providing a positive pathway characterised by selfsacrifice and moral judgement could lead to improved earnings quality because individuals build more resilience with increased spirituality during crisis period (Orman, 2019). Thus, with bank managers acting as agents of socialisation, the effect of adherence to religious norms on earnings quality is more emphasised during a crisis than a non-crisis period. Thus, our hypothesis is extended as follows:

 $H_{2C}$ : The association between religiosity and earnings quality is more pronounced during crisis periods.

# 3 Research Design

#### 3.1 Measuring Earnings Quality

To measure banks' earnings quality, we rely on data provided by the StarMine database. We choose StarMine earnings quality score (*EARNQUAL*) as our dependent variable for various reasons. First, recent studies highlight that the explanatory power of accrual-based measures has dramatically declined (*Bushman*, *Lerman*, & *Zhang*, 2016). Second, *EARNQUAL* represents a quantitative assessment, conducted by StarMine analysts' team, of the degree to which a firm's earnings are reliable and likely to persist. To evaluate a firm's earnings,

StarMine uses a multi-factor approach comprising four components: (a) the accruals component, capturing the changes in operating assets (both current and non-current) and liabilities during the last four quarters, (b) the cash flow component, measuring the contribution of net cash flow from operations and cash flow from investment to the firm's earnings, (c) the operating efficiency component, reflecting the effectiveness of the firm in controlling the cost of sales, the level of sales which can be generated from a given asset base, and the changes in asset turnover, and (d) the exclusions component, analysing the degree to which reported earnings reflect operating earnings. Third, StarMine produces an overall score reflecting a firm's earnings quality as compared to other securities trading in the same exchange and reporting to the same regulatory body. This property is particularly important as it enables us to objectively compare a firm's earnings quality relative to all other firms in the same region. StarMine's score ranges from 0 to 100, with 100 representing the highest rank. Fourth, the composition of the multi-factor earnings quality model is designed to provide higher ranks for stocks whose earnings are backed by cash flows and other sustainable sources, while it penalises firms that are driven by accruals and other less sustainable sources. In particular, low EARNOUAL values are indicative of potentially low earnings sustainability over the subsequent twelve months.

#### 3.2 Measuring Religiosity as Part of Social Norms

We follow Kanagaretnam, et al. (2015), McGuire, et al. (2012), and Parboteeah, Hoegl, and Cullen (2008) and define adherence to religious norms by capturing three distinct dimensions of religiosity, namely: (a) the cognitive, (b) the affective, and (c) the behavioural. We use data from the World Values Survey (WVS) and specifically responses to questions about religious importance, religious affiliation, and religious services attendance that collectively determine adherence to religious norms as part of social norms. In particular, we create a measure of religiosity (*RELIG*), definable as the principal component of the proportion of respondents who indicate that (a) religion is important to themselves (*REL\_IMP*), (b) they are affiliated with a religion (*REL\_MEMB*), and (c) they attend religious services (*REL\_SERV*). These three important components can define identity from the religious norms perspective.

#### 3.3 Empirical Model

We build our model specification by considering previous studies (e.g., Abdelsalam, Dimitropoulos, Elnahass, & Leventis, 2016; Kanagaretnam, et al., 2015) and state our model as follows:

```
EARNQUAL = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RELIG + \beta_2 INST_OWN + \beta_3 GOV_OWN + \beta_4 EBT + \beta_5 SIZE + (1)

\beta_6 LEVERAGE + \beta_7 GROWTH + \beta_8 BIG4 + \beta_9 CFO + \beta_{10} GDPGR + \beta_{11} CORRUP +

\beta_{12} POP + \beta_{13} MALE + \sum YEAR + \epsilon
```

EARNQUAL denotes the earnings quality metric (as presented in section 3.1). RELIG represents the principal components of the three religion variables REL\_IMP, REL\_MEMB, and REL\_SERV (see section 3.2 for a description). We include several firm-level variables to control for cross-sectional differences in bank characteristics that may influence the relationship between religiosity and earnings management. We include the percentage of stocks owned by institutional (INST\_OWN) and governmental investors (GOV\_OWN). We anticipate a negative coefficient with banks' earnings quality as institutional investors can encourage short-term managerial behaviour among firm managers and increase earnings management (e.g., Bhide, 1993), while state-owned firms are associated with higher earnings management (e.g., Megginson, Nash, & Van Randenborgh, 1994; Shleifer, 1998).

In Eq. (1), *EBT* denotes earnings before taxes deflated by lagged total assets (Abdelsalam, et al., 2016). It represents a measure of a bank's capacity to use its assets to generate earnings in advance of its contractual relations and loan loss provisions (Leventis, Dimitropoulos, & Anandarajan, 2011). A positive coefficient is expected. We measure bank size as the natural logarithm of total assets (*SIZE*). Considering that larger banks are more visible to the public (Leventis & Dimitropoulos, 2012) and, thus, are less likely to engage in aggressive earnings management (Cornett, et al., 2009), we anticipate a positive coefficient for *SIZE*. *LEVERAGE* represents the ratio of total debt to common equity and we expect a negative coefficient with earnings quality as levered banks are more likely to manage accounting earnings upward for capital adequacy requirements and regulatory scrutiny reasons (Cornett, et al., 2009; Leventis & Dimitropoulos, 2012). *GROWTH* captures the change in total assets and enters in our model as a measure of growth opportunities (Kanagaretnam, et al., 2015). On the one hand, firms with increased growth opportunities were found to be associated with less discretionary accruals (Lai, 2009), especially when they experience increased monitoring. On the other hand, Chen, Elder, and Hung (2010) demonstrate that high investment opportunities increase the likelihood

of earnings management as controls in high-growth firms are less likely to be effective (Anderson, Francis, & Stokes, 1993). Thus, we cannot infer any predictions about the sign of this coefficient.

*BIG4* is an indicator variable that equals one if the bank is audited by a Big Four audit firm (Deloitte, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Ernst & Young, and KPMG), zero otherwise. Banks audited by *BIG4* firms are expected to report financial statements of enhanced quality and, consequently, are less likely to practice earnings management (Gul, Tsui, & Dhaliwal, 2006). We also control for net cash flow from operating activities deflated by average total assets (*CFO*) as a proxy for bank financial performance. We expect that highly performing banks are less likely to manipulate their accounting numbers (Abdelsalam, et al., 2016).

In Eq. (1), we also control for demographic characteristics bounded with religiosity. Following prior studies, we augment our model for the natural logarithm of the country's population (POP) and the percentage of male residents (MALE) (e.g., Hilary & Hui, 2009), both retrieved on an annual basis through the World Bank. We conclude our model for countrylevel macro-economic conditions by including the annual growth in GDP (GDPGR) (Kanagaretnam, et al., 2015) and the level of control for corruption in the country (Abdelsalam, et al., 2016), derived through World Bank's World Governance Indicators, as Leuz, Nanda, and Wysocki (2003) document that corruption is a significant determinant of corporate accounting quality. CORRUP takes values between zero and 100, with the highest value indicating the highest level of perception of corruption, thus more corruption in terms of the government and officials. Throughout our analysis, we standardise CORRUP to be between zero and one. The standard errors, denoted with  $\varepsilon$ , of all the regression estimates are adjusted using heteroskedasticity corrected and clustered robust standard errors, clustered on banks. Finally, we control for year dummies and winsorise all continuous variables at the top and bottom 1 percent to mitigate the effect of outliers, while we present variable definitions in Appendix I.

# 3.4 Instrumental Variables Approach

The literature advocates the existence of an interrelationship between religiosity and the quality of institutions, indicating a bidirectional version of causality (Berggren & Bjørnskov,

2013).<sup>3</sup> Additionally, previous studies raise concerns about the potential endogeneity between religion and corporate behaviour (Callen & Fang, 2015; Hilary & Hui, 2009; Jiang, John, Li, & Qian, 2018) with respect to potential omitted unobservable factors affecting people's faith in religion and earnings quality. To control for potential endogeneity, we adopt an instrumental variable two-stage least squares (IV-2SLS) and use the Fox (2011) level of state regulation of religion (*SCX*) as an instrumental variable. We differentiate from previous studies (i.e., Barro & McCleary, 2003; McCleary & Barro, 2006) in the way we measure state regulation of religion, and instead of using a binary measure, we include a scale indicating the level to which each state is willing to restrict some or all religions. *SCX* takes values from zero to five and captures the exact level of official restrictions on religion. We expect a negative relation between *SCX* and *RELIG*, since the higher the restrictions imposed, the higher the decrease in the efficiency of religion providers and, therefore, the lower the rates of church attendance (Barro & McCleary, 2003; McCleary & Barro, 2006). Although state regulation of religion is likely to be related to religiosity, there is no obvious reason why it should affect a bank's earnings management behaviour.

#### 3.5 Data Collection Procedure

To test our predictions, we construct a global sample of all listed banks with common support across the Orbis Bank Focus and StarMine databases. We consider the period from 2002 to 2018. We omit 444 banks as the country of their corporate headquarters is not covered by the World Values Survey. Our data requirements on the control variables in Eq. (1) drop a further 329 banks due to missing financial information and 12 due to missing ownership structure data. Following Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, and Merrouche (2013), our sample selection criteria require at least two bank-year observations for each bank within one country and at least two banks in one country, and thus we eliminate 16 banks. Our final sample comprises 1,283 banks (translated into 7,619 bank-year observations) scattered across 39 countries (see Table 1). The right side of Table 1 shows the composite measure of religiosity (*RELIG*) and its constituents, as per country. The table shows that China, Japan, and Sweden are among the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For example, Berggren and Bjørnskov (2013, p. 179) evidence that religiosity can affect formal institutions through the political process (i.e., "religiosity influences voters, who may try to influence politicians either directly or through interest groups"), while these authors also claim that higher-quality institutions are associated with a widespread feeling of certainty and security that reduces the need for the comfort that religiosity might bring.

bottom three, while Ghana, Morocco, and the Philippines are among the top three in terms of the importance of religion, affiliation with religion, and attendance of religious services.

#### [Insert Table 1 about here]

# 4 Empirical Results

#### 4.1 Univariate Analysis

We provide the descriptive statistics of the variables included in the analysis in Table 2. The mean value of the dependent variable suggests that the average bank is ranked approximately 44<sup>th</sup> as compared to all other securities trading in the same region (*EARNQUAL* = 44.30). The mean level of earnings before taxes is 1.7 percent of total assets, similar to the values reported by Abdelsalam, et al. (2016). The average bank has a leverage ratio of 0.85 and exhibits a positive growth (7.6 percent) in its total assets, which is lower compared to the values reported in Kanagaretnam, et al. (2015). Finally, *BIG4* audit firms audit 46.5 percent of our sample banks.

#### [Insert Table 2 about here]

Table 3 presents the Pearson correlation coefficients among the sample variables. The largest correlation coefficients observed are those between *CFO* and *EBT* (0.58), and *CFO* and *LEVERAGE* (-0.53), and thus suggest no serious problem of multicollinearity. This is also verified by the low values of the mean-variance inflation factors (VIFs), which do not exceed 5.53 across all models and are even lower than the cut-off value of 10 (Studenmund, 2016). Finally, we observe that the main variable of interest, *RELIG*, exhibits a positive and statistically significant coefficient (at 1%) with *EARNQUAL*.

# [Insert Table 3 about here]

# 4.2 Multivariate Analysis

Column 1 of Table 4 presents the impact of religiosity on the earnings quality of the bank compared to all other securities trading in the same region (*EARNQUAL*) using an IV-2SLS approach. Hence, we suppress the first-stage results for the sake of brevity, while we report the coefficient of the instrument for religiosity, namely SCX. We observe that RELIG has a significant positive impact on earnings quality (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ) after controlling for numerous bank-level and country-level control variables, and thus we accept  $H_1$ . The Hausman statistic

is significant (p-value  $\leq$  0.01). This indicates that 2SLS is the preferred estimation relative to the OLS. The partial *R*-squares and the *F*-statistics indicate that the instrument is highly correlated with the endogenous variable. The high *F*-statistic of 64.17 is above the threshold of 10 (Staiger & Stock, 1997) and suggests a strong instrument.

#### [Insert Table 4 about here]

Referring to the control variables in Column 1 of Table 4, most of the coefficients have the predicted sign. The negative coefficients of *INST\_OWN* and *GOV\_OWN* corroborate the findings of previous studies (e.g., Bhide, 1993; Megginson, et al., 1994; Shleifer, 1998). *EBT* and *SIZE* have positive coefficients, supporting the notion that more profitable and larger banks, respectyively, have higher earnings quality (Cornett, et al., 2009). *LEVERAGE*, is positive and significant at 10 percent level. The positive sign contrasts the findings of previous studies (Cornett, et al., 2009; Leventis & Dimitropoulos, 2012). The negative and statistically significant coefficient for *GROWTH* is consistent with the findings of Chen, et al. (2010). *BIG4* has a positive and significant (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ) and indicates that BIG4 clients are less likely to practice earnings management (Gul, et al., 2006). Finally, the magnitude of *CORRUP* corroborates with Leuz, et al. (2003), as earnings quality increases with higher control for corruption.

Next, we test our sub-hypotheses regarding the variations in the effect of religiosity. In particular, we expect the effect of religiosity to vary due to cross-country differences. In order to assess the validity of our sub-hypotheses, we empirically test the effect of religiosity on bank earnings quality in the several forms: (a) across banks located in countries where religion is important to national identity ( $H_{2a}$  in subsection 4.2.1), (b) across banks located in countries with poor legal protection ( $H_{2b}$  in subsection 4.2.2), and (c) during the global financial crisis period ( $H_{2c}$  in subsection 4.2.3). We present these results in the sub-sections below.

# 4.2.1 Importance of Religion to National Identity, Religiosity and Earnings Quality

Prior studies (Halikiopoulou & Vasilopoulou, 2013) highlight the existence of cross-country variations in the perceptions of religion. For example, the PEW Research Center reports that only 17 percent and 25 percent of respondents from Sweden and the Netherlands, respectively, indicate that religion is very important or somewhat important to their national

identity.<sup>4</sup> On the contrary, 71 percent and 51 percent of respondents from Poland and the U.S., respectively, highlight the importance of religion to their national identity. These differences in the extent of religiosity across countries can cause variation in our results.

To test this prediction, we collect data for the importance of religion on national identity from two sources. First, we consider the cross-national survey of the PEW Research Center of 2016 across 13 countries. Second, we collect data from the International Social Survey Programme (ISSP), which conducted three cross-national surveys during 1995, 2003 and 2013 for 44 countries. Both organisations asked participants how important the "dominant denomination" is for being a truly "survey country nationality". Using data from both sources, we create an aggregate measure, defined as the sum of the percentage of respondents indicating that religion is very important or somewhat important to their national identity. To overcome the issue of missing data because of the discontinued participation of certain countries in the surveys, we used linear interpolation/extrapolation to fill any missing observations. 6

In Column 2 of Table 4, we test  $H_{2a}$  and incorporate the interaction term between RELIG and an indicator that equals one if more than 50 percent of respondents of the aforementioned sources indicated that religion is very important or somewhat important to their national  $(REL\_IMPORT\_NAT\_ID),$ identity and zero otherwise. The coefficient of  $RELIG \times REL\_IMPORT\_NAT\_ID$  is a positive and statistically significant (p-value  $\leq 0.05$ ). Comparing the coefficient of the interaction term with that of *RELIG* in our baseline model (Column 1), religiosity has a stronger effect on a bank's earnings quality when it is an important element of a nation's identity. Despite the observed negative coefficient of REL\_IMPORT\_NAT\_ID (p-value  $\leq 0.05$ ), the relative impact of the interaction term has a higher magnitude, suggesting that the effect of religiosity strengthens in countries where religion is an important element of national identity, and thus we accept  $H_{2a}$ .

# 4.2.2 Poor Legal Protection, Religiosity, and Earnings Quality

In this section, we assess whether the effect of religiosity strengthens with weak country formal institutions ( $H_{2b}$ ). We use the legal rights index from the *Doing Business Project* for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For more information on the "Global Attitudes and Trends" survey, conducted by the PEW Research Center, please visit <a href="http://www.pewglobal.org/dataset/spring-2016-survey-data/">http://www.pewglobal.org/dataset/spring-2016-survey-data/</a> (Accessed 12 June, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For more information on the ISSP's cross-national surveys, please visit https://www.gesis.org/issp/modules/issp-modules-by-topic/national-identity/ (Accessed 12 June, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Linear interpolation/extrapolation is a common practice in the prior literature (see for example Dyreng, et al., 2012; Kumar, Page, & Spalt, 2011).

189 economies, similar to Qian, et al. (2018), to capture the strength of a country's legal protection. Using the sample median of legal protection, we create an indicator variable ( $LOW\_LEGAL\_PROT$ ) that equals one if the country's legal protection index is lower than the sample median, and zero otherwise. Column 3 in Table 4 indicates that the coefficient of the interaction term  $RELIG \times LOW\_LEGAL\_PROT$  is positive and significant (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ), suggesting that the impact of religiosity on banks' earnings quality is more prominent in countries with lax legal protection. Therefore, our evidence confirms the notion that informal institutions have larger effects in regions where formal institutions are less effective (e.g., Guiso, et al., 2004; North, 1994; Qian, et al., 2018), and thus we accept  $H_{2b}$ .

#### 4.2.3 Global Financial Crisis, Religiosity, and Earnings Quality

We also examine whether the effect of religiosity on banks' earnings quality varies over time, and in particular during the global financial crisis period. We create an indicator (*CRISIS*) that equals one for the crisis period (i.e., 2007-2009), and zero otherwise. The coefficient of *RELIG*×*CRISIS* is positive and significant (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ , Column 4 of Table 4), while the *CRISIS* coefficient is statistically insignificant. Comparing the coefficient of the interaction term with that of *RELIG* alone, the impact of religiosity is more than doubled during the financial crisis. Such evidence is supportive of our last sub-hypothesis ( $H_{2c}$ ) and also consistent with the notion that the effect of religiosity is stronger during recessions and periods of turbulence in the market (Adhikari & Agrawal, 2016; Jiang, et al., 2018).

# 5 Sensitivity Analysis

# 5.1 Alternative Measures of Religiosity

Given that there are various ways to measure religiosity, we conduct additional tests to probe robustness of our inferences for a significant association between religiosity and bank earnings quality. In this regard, we use the components of our measure of religiosity (RELIG), namely  $REL\_IMP$ ,  $REL\_MEMB$ , and  $REL\_SERV$ , as alternative measures of religiosity. Panel A of Table 5 reports these additional tests, in which the coefficients of all three measures are positive and statistically significant (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ). For this and all subsequent test reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The index ranges from 0 to 12, and higher values indicate better legal protection. Details of the index can be found at http://www.doingbusiness.org/ (Accessed 12 June, 2020).

in Table 5, we supress the coefficient estimates for the remaining control variables, which can be found in the online appendix.

#### [Insert Table 5 about here]

# 5.2 Alternative Measures of Earnings Quality

In line with prior literature (i.e., Pevzner, et al., 2015), we examine whether our results are robust when using the logarithmic transformation (Ln(EARNQUAL)) of the earnings quality measure (this is to address the concern that the original measure has a skewed distribution). We also employ two alternative specifications of the EARNQUAL proxy. First, we use the quality of the accruals component ( $EQ\_ACCR$ ), which captures the changes in operating assets (both current and non-current) and liabilities during the last four quarters. Second, we follow Kanagaretnam, et al. (2015) and capture earnings management through discretionary loan loss provisions (LLP). We report results in Panel B of Table 5. The coefficient of RELIG remains positive and statistically significant (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ) when the dependent variable is Ln(EARNQUAL) or  $EQ\_ACCR$  (Columns 1 and 2 of Panel B, respectively). When the dependent variable is ALLP (Column 3 of Panel B), the coefficient of RELIG becomes negative and statistically significant (p-value  $\leq 0.05$ ), which affirms the findings of the previous literature regarding the negative relation between religiosity and earnings management (e.g., Kanagaretnam, et al. (2015).

#### 5.3 Alternative Sampling

In this sub-section, we probe robustness of our results using alternative sample constructs. First, we mitigate concerns related to the high representation of these countries in our sample through excluding banks headquartered in the US, in Japan, or in both countries. Second, we exclude banks with total assets less than \$500 million and or \$1 trillion to accommodate concerns related to the positive association between bank size and earnings management propensity (Beatty, Bin, & Petroni, 2002). Repeating our analyses using the aforementioned sample constructs (see Panel C of Table 5) does not alter our inferences, as the coefficient of *RELIG* remains positive and statistically significant (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> We calculate *ALLP* through a two-stage procedure. First, we regress *LLP* on total loans outstanding, change in total loans outstanding, net loan charge-offs, beginning non-performing loans, change in non-performing loans, and loan categories. In the second stage, we estimate discretionary *LPP* using the residuals from our first-stage results (*ALLP*).

#### 5.4 Alternative Model Specifications and Variable Omission

Beyond the aforementioned tests, we also examines robustness of our inferences when augmenting Eq. (1) with additional control variables. We begin with the incorporation of alternative specifications of the control variables used in Eq. (1), namely size, leverage and growth opportunities. Specifically, we control for (a) the natural logarithm of market capitalisation (LnMCAP), (b) the ratio of total debt to total assets (LEV), and (c) the market to book ratio (MB). Panel D of Table 5 (Columns 1 to 3) reveals that our inferences are not sensitive to alternative constructs of the control variables, as the coefficient of RELIG remains positive and significant (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ).

Next, we replace the ownership structure variables with the percentage of shares held by the ultimate shareholder ( $ULT\_OWN$ ). We intend to capture controlling shareholders' ability to control the firm by determining strategic corporate business decisions and how management is monitored and compensated (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Zou & Adams, 2008). Column 4 of Panel D informs that the coefficient of RELIG remains positive and significant (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ), while  $ULT\_OWN$  has a negative and significant coefficient (p-value  $\leq 0.01$ ). The relative impact of  $ULT\_OWN$  is stronger, as compared to RELIG, and supports the findings by Chen, et al. (2010) for controlling shareholders being associated with higher earnings management.

On top of these tests, we include a battery of country-level controls to mitigate the omitted variables concerns regarding the multinational nature of our study and to isolate the potential effects arising from country cultural and demographic factors. Hence, for the sake of brevity we do not tabulate the following tests, but present them in the online appendix. First, we account for Hofstede's (2001) country-level cultural variables. Second, we augment the model for country-level institutional factors, such as: (a) the World Bank's country governance indicators (Kaufmann & Kraay, 2017), (b) common law legal origin (La Porta, Lopez-de-Silanes, & Shleifer, 1999), (c) investor protection (Pevzner, et al., 2015), (d) the quality of the audit function and the degree of accounting enforcement in each country (Brown, Preiato, & Tarca, 2014), and (e) income inequalities. Finally, we control for demographic characteristics bounded with religiosity, using the natural logarithm of the per capita income and the percentage of female residents, since Iannaccone (1998) considers gender and income as influential determinants of religious participation at the individual level. Incorporating all the aforementioned variables does not alter our inferences, as the coefficient of *RELIG* remains positive and statistically significant at 5% or better.

# 6 Conclusion

Our study explores how the degree of religiosity in the country of corporate headquarters impacts the earnings quality of banks. The empirical analyses are consistent with the earlier predictions about the importance of religion as an informal control instrument for checking unethical corporate decisions. We demonstrate that religiosity has a significant positive impact on earnings quality after controlling for various bank-level and country-level variables. We also show that the effect of religiosity on banks' earnings quality becomes more pronounced among banks headquartered in countries where religion is an important element of national identity and in countries with weak legal protection. Additionally, we provide evidence that the effects of religiosity are more than doubled during the global financial crisis period. A range of sensitivity tests lends support to the notion that religiosity can restrain the unethical activities of managers acting as agents of their shareholders, thereby minimising the risk of bank failure.

In light of the above findings, our paper contributes to prior studies in the earnings quality literature by highlighting the positive influence of religiosity on the earnings quality of banks. Furthermore, our study contributes to the understanding of the institutional effect of religious social norms (by focusing particularly on its informal characteristics) on the degree of earnings quality, particularly in jurisdictions with weak formal institutions. This contribution has a strong implication for the development of an effective regulatory framework by the policymakers, which could lead to a less costly but more efficient regulatory policy. The positive influence of religiosity on earnings quality is equally useful to investors, because it provides a comprehensive framework for considering investments, particularly in less developed countries that may have weak formal institutions but strong religiosity. Moreover, we provide distinctive evidence through the lens of social norms theory on how the level of religious social norms collectively influences banks' earnings quality for certain countries where religion is part of their national identity compared to other countries where religion is not part of their national identity. The implication of this contribution for political office holders is important. For example, politicians can benefit by building a considerable national image and reputation that can enhance investors' confidence and attract better foreign direct investment into their countries. Finally, this study contributes to the important debate on the nexus between religiosity and the earnings quality of banks during crisis periods. This contribution has implications for both regulators and societies. Although our study considers the 2008 financial crisis, its findings offer some lessons for banks regarding their response to the Covid-19 crisis, thereby potentially supporting the fact that people tend to be more spiritual and socially supportive during crises. This demonstrates the strength of religion in providing some sort of emotional succour and consistency in corporate decision making during a crisis.

The foregoing contributions, we note the following limitations in our research design that could potentially impact our results. First, the religiosity variable is taken as a country-level average measure, although it may be different across decision-makers within banks. Second, we assume that decision-making responsibility lies with the management and is influenced by the degree of religiosity of the large controlling shareholders. However, our data for the individual banks do not capture the religiosity of the shareholders; rather, we assume that they behave within the scope of the country average. These are potential avenues for future research.

# References

- Abdelsalam, O., Dimitropoulos, P., Elnahass, M., & Leventis, S. (2016). Earnings management behaviors under different monitoring mechanisms: The case of Islamic and conventional banks. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 132 (Supplement), 155-173. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2016.04.022.
- Adhikari, B. K., & Agrawal, A. (2016). Does local religiosity matter for bank risk-taking? Journal of Corporate Finance, 38, 272-293. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2016.01.009.
- Ahmad-Zaluki, N. A., Campbell, K., & Goodacre, A. (2011). Earnings management in Malaysian IPOs: The East Asian crisis, ownership control, and post-IPO performance. The International Journal of Accounting, 46 (2), 111-137. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2011.04.001.
- Allport, G. W., & Ross, J. M. (1967). Personal religious orientation and prejudice. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 5 (4), 432-443. http://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/h0021212.
- Anderson, D., Francis, J. R., & Stokes, D. J. (1993). Auditing, directorships and the demand for monitoring. Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 12 (4), 353-375. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0278-4254(93)90014-3.
- Ang, J. S., Cheng, Y., & Wu, C. (2015). Trust, investment, and business contracting. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 50 (3), 569-595. http://doi.org/10.1017/S002210901500006X.
- Barro, R. J., & McCleary, R. M. (2003). Religion and economic growth across countries. American Sociological Review, 68 (5), 760-781. http://doi.org/10.2307/1519761.
- Batson, C. D., & Flory, J. D. (1990). Goal-relevant cognitions associated with helping by individuals high on intrinsic, end religion. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 29 (3), 346-360. http://doi.org/10.2307/1386463.
- Batson, C. D., & Thompson, E. R. (2001). Why don't moral people act morally? Motivational considerations. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 10 (2), 54-57. http://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00114.
- Batson, C. D., Thompson, E. R., Seuferling, G., Whitney, H., & Strongman, J. A. (1999). Moral hypocrisy: Appearing moral to oneself without being so. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 77 (3), 525-537. http://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.77.3.525.
- Beatty, A., & Liao, S. (2014). Financial accounting in the banking industry: A review of the empirical literature. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 58 (2–3), 339-383. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2014.08.009.
- Beatty, A. L., Bin, K., & Petroni, K. R. (2002). Earnings management to avoid earnings declines across publicly and privately held banks. The Accounting Review, 77 (3), 547-570. http://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2002.77.3.547.
- Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Merrouche, O. (2013). Islamic vs. conventional banking: Business model, efficiency and stability. Journal of Banking & Finance, 37 (2), 433-447. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2012.09.016.

- Bentzen, J., & Gokmen, G. (2020). The power of religion. In. London, UK: Centre for Economic Policy Research, Discussion Paper DP14706. Available at: http://cepr.org/active/publications/discussion\_papers/dp.php?dpno=14706#. Accessed 12 June, 2020.
- Berggren, N., & Bjørnskov, C. (2013). Does religiosity promote property rights and the rule of law? Journal of Institutional Economics, 9 (2), 161-185. http://doi.org/10.1017/S1744137413000039.
- Berman, S. (2013). Ideational theorizing in the social sciences since "policy paradigms, social learning, and the state". Governance, 26 (2), 217-237. http://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12008.
- Bhide, A. (1993). The hidden costs of stock market liquidity. Journal of Financial Economics, 34 (1), 31-51. http://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(93)90039-E.
- Blake, J., & Davis, K. (1964). Norms, values, and sanctions. In R. E. Faris (Ed.), Handbook of modern sociology (pp. 456-484). Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.
- Blau, B. M. (2017). Religiosity and the volatility of stock prices: A cross-country analysis. Journal of Business Ethics, 144 (3), 609-621. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2842-7.
- Bliuc, A.-M., McGarty, C., Reynolds, K., & Muntele, D. (2007). Opinion-based group membership as a predictor of commitment to political action. European Journal of Social Psychology, 37 (1), 19-32. http://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.334.
- Brown, P., Preiato, J., & Tarca, A. (2014). Measuring country differences in enforcement of accounting standards: An audit and enforcement proxy. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 41 (1-2), 1-52. http://doi.org/10.1111/jbfa.12066.
- Brubaker, R. (2012). Religion and nationalism: Four approaches. Nations and Nationalism, 18 (1), 2-20. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8129.2011.00486.x.
- Bruton, G. D., Fried, V. H., & Manigart, S. (2005). Institutional influences on the worldwide expansion of venture capital. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 29 (6), 737-760. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00106.x.
- Bushman, R. M., Lerman, A., & Zhang, X. F. (2016). The changing landscape of accrual accounting. Journal of Accounting Research, 54 (1), 41-78. http://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679x.12100.
- Bushman, R. M., & Williams, C. D. (2012). Accounting discretion, loan loss provisioning, and discipline of Banks' risk-taking. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 54 (1), 1-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2012.04.002.
- Callen, J. L., & Fang, X. (2013). Institutional investor stability and crash risk: Monitoring versus short-termism? Journal of Banking & Finance, 37 (8), 3047-3063. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.02.018.
- Callen, J. L., & Fang, X. (2015). Religion and stock price crash risk. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 50 (1-2), 169-195. http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109015000046.

- Callen, J. L., Morel, M., & Richardson, G. (2011). Do culture and religion mitigate earnings management? Evidence from a cross-country analysis. International Journal of Disclosure and Governance, 8 (2), 103-121. http://doi.org/10.1057/jdg.2010.31.
- Chen, K. Y., Elder, R. J., & Hung, S. (2010). The investment opportunity set and earnings management: Evidence from the role of controlling shareholders. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 18 (3), 193-211. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2010.00793.x.
- Chircop, J., Johan, S., & Tarsalewska, M. (2020). Does religiosity influence venture capital investment decisions? Journal of Corporate Finance, 62, 101589. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101589.
- Chourou, L., He, L., & Zhong, L. (2020). Does religiosity enhance the quality of management earnings forecasts? Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 47 (7-8), 910-948. http://doi.org/10.1111/jbfa.12446.
- Cimini, R. (2015). How has the financial crisis affected earnings management? A European study. Applied Economics, 47 (3), 302-317. http://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2014.969828.
- Conroy, S. J., & Emerson, T. L. N. (2004). Business ethics and religion: Religiosity as a predictor of ethical awareness among students. Journal of Business Ethics, 50 (4), 383-396. http://doi.org/10.1023/b:busi.0000025040.41263.09.
- Cornett, M. M., McNutt, J. J., & Tehranian, H. (2009). Corporate governance and earnings management at large U.S. bank holding companies. Journal of Corporate Finance, 15 (4), 412-430. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2009.04.003.
- Denzau, A. T., & North, D. C. (1994). Shared mental models: Ideologies and institutions. Kyklos, 47 (1), 3-31. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6435.1994.tb02246.x.
- Díez-Esteban, J. M., Farinha, J. B., & García-Gómez, C. D. (2019). Are religion and culture relevant for corporate risk-taking? International evidence. BRQ Business Research Quarterly, 22 (1), 36-55. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.brq.2018.06.003.
- Durkheim, E. (1965). The elementary forms of the religious life. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Dyreng, S. D., Mayew, W. J., & Williams, C. D. (2012). Religious social norms and corporate financial reporting. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 39 (7&8), 845-875. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5957.2012.02295.x.
- Efendi, J., Srivastava, A., & Swanson, E. P. (2007). Why do corporate managers misstate financial statements? The role of option compensation and other factors. Journal of Financial Economics, 85 (3), 667-708. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.05.009.
- Eriksson, L. (2015). Social norms theory and development economics. In. Washington, DC: The World Bank. Available at: http://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-7450. Accessed 12 June 2020.
- Filip, A., & Raffournier, B. (2014). Financial crisis and earnings management: The European evidence. The International Journal of Accounting, 49 (4), 455-478. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2014.10.004.

- Fox, J. (2011). Religion and State dataset. In. Available at: http://www.religionandstate.org. Accessed 12 June, 2020.
- Fox, J., & Sandler, S. (2005). Separation of religion and state in the twenty-first century: Comparing the Middle East and Western democracies. Comparative Politics, 37 (3), 317-335. http://doi.org/10.2307/20072892.
- Fungáčová, Z., Nuutilainen, R., & Weill, L. (2016). Reserve requirements and the bank lending channel in China. Journal of Macroeconomics, 50, 37-50. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmacro.2016.08.007.
- Gallego-Alvarez, I., Rodríguez-Domínguez, L., & Martín Vallejo, J. (2020). An analysis of business ethics in the cultural contexts of different religions. Business Ethics: A European Review, 29 (3), 570-586. http://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12277.
- Gode, D. K., & Sunder, S. (1993). Allocative efficiency of markets with zero-intelligence traders: Market as a partial substitute. Journal of Political Economy, 101 (1), 119-137. http://doi.org/10.1086/261868.
- Gorgan, C., Gorgan, V., Florentin, V., & Pitulice, I. (2012). The evolution of the accounting practices during the recent economic crisis: Empirical survey regarding the earnings management. Amfiteatru Economic, 14 (32), 550-562. http://www.amfiteatrueconomic.ro/temp/Article\_1145.pdf.
- Graafland, J. (2017). Religiosity, attitude, and the demand for socially responsible products. Journal of Business Ethics, 144 (1), 121-138. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2796-9.
- Grazioli, V. S., Douglas, H., Dillworth, T., Fossos, N., Pace, T., Andersson, C., Berglund, M., & Larimer, M. E. (2013). Injunctive norms of alcohol-related consequences among high school students: Impact on alcohol outcomes. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 37, 156A. http://doi.org/10.1111/acer.12162.
- Grullon, G., Kanatas, G., & Weston, J. P. (2010). Religion and corporate (mis)behavior. In: Working Paper, Rice University. Available at: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=1472118. Accessed 12 June, 2020.
- Guiso, L., Sapienza, P., & Zingales, L. (2004). The role of social capital in financial development. The American Economic Review, 94 (3), 526-556. http://doi.org/10.1257/0002828041464498.
- Gul, F. A., Tsui, J., & Dhaliwal, D. S. (2006). Non-audit services, auditor quality and the value relevance of earnings. Accounting and Finance, 46 (5), 797-817. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-629X.2006.00189.x.
- Halikiopoulou, D., & Vasilopoulou, S. (2013). Political instability and the persistence of religion in Greece: The policy implications of the cultural defence paradigm. In: RECODE working paper series No.18. Available at: http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/35566/. Accessed 12 June, 2020.
- Harry, F. (2014). Discourses on religion and identity in norway: Right-wing radicalism and antiimmigration parties. In E. Toğuşlu, J. Leman & I. M. Sezgin (Eds.), New multicultural identities in Europe (pp. 161-170). Leuven, Belgium: Leuven University Press.

- Hasan, M., & Dridi, J. (2010). The effects of the global crisis on Islamic and conventional banks: A comparative study. In. Washington, D.C.: Working paper WP/10/201, International Monetary Fund. Available at: https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2010/wp10201.pdf. Accessed 12 June, 2020.
- Hawtrey, K., & Johnson, R. (2009). On the atrophy of moral reasoning in the global financial crisis. Journal of Religion & Business Ethics, 1 (2), 1-24.
- Healy, P. M. (1985). The effect of bonus schemes on accounting decisions. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 7 (1–3), 85-107. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101(85)90029-1.
- Helmke, G., & Levitsky, S. (2004). Informal institutions and comparative politics: A research agenda. Perspectives on Politics, 2 (04), 725-740. http://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592704040472.
- Henderson, J. (2000). Off-balance-sheet financing and trusts: A competitive advantage. Ivey Business Journal, 64 (4), 12-16.
- Herzog, L. (2019). Professional ethics in banking and the logic of "integrated situations": Aligning responsibilities, recognition, and incentives. Journal of Business Ethics, 156 (2), 531-543. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3562-y.
- Hilary, G., & Hui, K. W. (2009). Does religion matter in corporate decision making in America? Journal of Financial Economics, 93 (3), 455-473. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2008.10.001.
- Hofstede, G. H. (2001). Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Hood, R. W., Hill, P. C., & Spilka, B. (2009). The psychology of religion: An empirical approach (4th ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Publications.
- Horak, S., & Yang, I. (2018). A complementary perspective on business ethics in South Korea: Civil religion, common misconceptions, and overlooked social structures. Business Ethics: A European Review, 27 (1), 1-14. http://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12153.
- Iannaccone, L. R. (1998). Introduction to the economics of religion. Journal of Economic Literature, 36 (3), 1465-1496.
- Javers, E. (2011). Religion, not money, often motivates corporate whistleblowers. In: CNBC.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3 (4), 305-360. http://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405x(76)90026-x.
- Jiang, F., John, K., Li, W. C., & Qian, Y. (2018). Earthly reward to the religious: Religiosity and the cost of public and private debt. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 53 (5), 2131-2160. http://doi.org/10.1017/S002210901800039X.
- Johnson, M. F. (1999). Business cycles and the relation between security returns and earnings. Review of Accounting Studies, 4 (2), 93-117. http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009649018325.

- Kanagaretnam, K., Lobo, G. J., & Wang, C. (2015). Religiosity and earnings management: International evidence from the banking industry. Journal of Business Ethics, 132 (2), 277-296. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2310-9.
- Kaufmann, D., & Kraay, A. (2017). The worldwide governance indicators (WGI) project. In: The World Bank. Available at: http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/#home. Accessed 26 July, 2020.
- Kennedy, E. J., & Lawton, L. (1998). Religiousness and business ethics. Journal of Business Ethics, 17 (2), 163-175. http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005747511116.
- King, J. E., & Crowther, M. R. (2004). The measurement of religiosity and spirituality. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 17 (1), 83-101. http://doi.org/10.1108/09534810410511314.
- Koenig, H. G., King, D., & Carson, V. B. (2012). Handbook of religion and health (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Kothari, S. P. (2001). Capital markets research in accounting. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31 (1-3), 105-231. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-4101(01)00030-1.
- Kumar, A., Page, J. K., & Spalt, O. G. (2011). Religious beliefs, gambling attitudes, and financial market outcomes. Journal of Financial Economics, 102 (3), 671-708. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2011.07.001.
- Kumar, M., & Vij, M. (2017). Earnings management and financial crisis: Evidence from India. Journal of International Business and Economy, 18 (2), 48-101.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (1999). Corporate ownership around the world. The Journal of Finance, 54 (2), 471-517. http://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00115.
- Lai, K.-W. (2009). Does audit quality matter more for firms with high investment opportunities? Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 28 (1), 33-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2008.11.002.
- LaPiere, R. (1954). A theory of social control. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Leuz, C., Nanda, D., & Wysocki, P. D. (2003). Earnings management and investor protection: An international comparison. Journal of Financial Economics, 69 (3), 505-527. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(03)00121-1.
- Leventis, S., Dedoulis, E., & Abdelsalam, O. H. (2018). The impact of religiosity on audit pricing. Journal of Business Ethics, 148 (1), 53-78. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-3001-x.
- Leventis, S., & Dimitropoulos, P. (2012). The role of corporate governance in earnings management: Experience from US banks. Journal of Applied Accounting Research, 13 (2), 161-177. http://doi.org/10.1108/09675421211254858.
- Leventis, S., Dimitropoulos, P. E., & Anandarajan, A. A. (2011). Loan loss provisions, earnings management and capital management under IFRS: The case of EU commercial banks. Journal of Financial Services Research, 40 (1-2), 103-122. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10693-010-0096-1.

- Levine, R. (2004). The corporate governance of banks: A concise discussion of concepts and evidence. In. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3404, World Bank. Available at: http://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/abs/10.1596/1813-9450-3404. Accessed 12 June, 2020.
- Llobera, J. R. (1994). The God of modernity: The development of nationalism in Western Europe. Oxford, UK: Berg Publishers.
- Longenecker, J. G., McKinney, J. A., & Moore, C. W. (2004). Religious intensity, evangelical christianity, and business ethics: An empirical study. Journal of Business Ethics, 55 (4), 371-384. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-004-0990-2.
- Macey, J. R., & O'Hara, M. (2003). The corporate governance of banks. Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review, 91-107.
- Mallor, J. P., Barnes, A. J., Bowers, T., & Langvardt, A. W. (2013). Business law: The ethical, global, and e-commerce environment (15th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Marshall, K. (2008). Religion and global development: Intersecting paths. In T. Banchoff (Ed.), Religious pluralism, globalization, and world politics (pp. 195-228). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- McCleary, R. M., & Barro, R. J. (2006). Religion and economy. The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 20 (2), 49-72. http://doi.org/10.1257/jep.20.2.49.
- McCullough, M. E., & Willoughby, B. L. (2009). Religion, self-regulation, and self-control: Associations, explanations, and implications. Psychological Bulletin, 135 (1), 69-93. http://doi.org/10.1037/a0014213.
- McDougle, L., Konrath, S., Walk, M., & Handy, F. (2015). Religious and secular coping strategies and mortality risk among older adults. Social Indicators Research, 125 (2), 677-694. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-014-0852-y.
- McGuire, S. T., Omer, T. C., & Sharp, N. Y. (2012). The impact of religion on financial reporting irregularities. The Accounting Review, 87 (2), 645-673. http://doi.org/10.2308/accr-10206.
- Megginson, W. L., Nash, R. C., & Van Randenborgh, M. (1994). The financial and operating performance of newly privatized firms: An international empirical analysis. The Journal of Finance, 49 (2), 403-452. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1994.tb05147.x.
- Melé, D., & Fontrodona, J. (2017). Christian ethics and spirituality in leading business organizations: Editorial introduction. Journal of Business Ethics, 145 (4), 671-679. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3323-3.
- Meyer, J. P., Becker, T. E., & van Dick, R. (2006). Social identities and commitments at work: Toward an integrative model. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 27 (5), 665-683. http://doi.org/10.1002/job.383.
- Norenzayan, A., & Hansen, I. G. (2006). Belief in supernatural agents in the face of death. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 32 (2), 174-187. http://doi.org/10.1177/0146167205280251.

- North, D. C. (1990). Institution, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- North, D. C. (1994). Economic performance through time. The American Economic Review, 84 (3), 359-368.
- Orman, W. H. (2019). Religiosity and financial crises in the United States. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 58 (1), 20-46. http://doi.org/10.1111/jssr.12566.
- Parboteeah, K. P., Hoegl, M., & Cullen, J. B. (2008). Ethics and religion: An empirical test of a multidimensional model. Journal of Business Ethics, 80 (2), 387-398. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-007-9439-8.
- Pargament, K. I., Tarakeshwar, N., Ellison, C. G., & Wulff, K. M. (2001). Religious coping among the religious: The relationships between religious coping and well-being in a national sample of presbyterian clergy, elders, and members. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 40 (3), 497-513. http://doi.org/10.1111/0021-8294.00073.
- Parsons, T. (1937). The structure of social action. New York, NY: The Free Press.
- Parsons, T. (1953). A revised analytical approach to the theory of social stratification. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Pearce, J. A. (2013). Using social identity theory to predict managers' emphases on ethical and legal values in judging business issues. Journal of Business Ethics, 112 (3), 497-514. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1274-x.
- Pearce, J. A., & Doh, J. (2005). The high impact of collaborative social initiatives. MIT Sloan Management Review, 46 (3), 30-39.
- Peng, M. W., & Heath, P. S. (1996). The growth of the firm in planned economies in transition: Institutions, organizations, and strategic choice. Academy of Management Review, 21 (2), 492-528. http://doi.org/10.2307/258670.
- Perkins, H. W., & Berkowitz, A. D. (1986). Perceiving the community norms of alcohol use among students: some research implications for campus alcohol education programming. International Journal of the Addictions, 21 (9-10), 961-976. http://doi.org/10.3109/10826088609077249.
- Pevzner, M., Xie, F., & Xin, X. (2015). When firms talk, do investors listen? The role of trust in stock market reactions to corporate earnings announcements. Journal of Financial Economics, 117 (1), 190-223. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2013.08.004.
- PEW Research Center. (2020). Most Americans say coronavirus outbreak has impacted their lives. In. Washington, D.C.: PEW Research Center. Available at: http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2020/03/30/most-americans-say-coronavirus-outbreak-has-impacted-their-lives/?utm\_source=AdaptiveMailer&utm\_medium=email&utm\_campaign=20-3-30%20Personal%20Impact%20of%20Covid19%20General%20Release&org=982&Ivl=100
- Pirinsky, C., & Wang, Q. (2006). Does corporate headquarters location matter for stock returns? The Journal of Finance, 61 (4), 1991-2015. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2006.00895.x.

&ite=5816&lea=1298222&ctr=0&par=1&trk=#fn-28159-5. Accessed 12 June, 2020.

- Powell, W. W., & DiMaggio, P. J. (1991). The new institutionalism in organizational analysis. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Qian, X., Cao, T., & Cao, C. (2018). Institutional environment and bank loans: Evidence from 25 developing countries. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 26 (2), 84-96. http://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12197.
- Rubin, A. (2008). Political views and corporate decision making: The case of corporate social responsibility. The Financial Review, 43 (3), 337-360. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6288.2008.00197.x.
- Santiago, J. (2012). Secularisation and nationalism: A critical review. Social Compass, 59 (1), 3-20. http://doi.org/10.1177/0037768611432125.
- Schipper, K. (1989). Commentary on earnings management. Accounting Horizons, 3, 91-102.
- Sherwood, H. (2018, 28 August 2018). Religion: Why faith is becoming more and more popular. The Guardian. Available at: http://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/aug/27/religion-why-is-faith-growing-and-what-happens-next. Accessed 12 June 2020.
- Shleifer, A. (1998). State versus private ownership. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 12 (4), 133-150. http://doi.org/10.1257/jep.12.4.133.
- Smith, W. J., Wokutch, R. E., Harrington, K. V., & Dennis, B. S. (2016). An examination of the influence of diversity and stakeholder role on corporate social orientation. Business & Society, 40 (3), 266-294. http://doi.org/10.1177/000765030104000303.
- Staiger, D., & Stock, J. H. (1997). Instrumental variables regression with weak instruments. Econometrica, 65 (3), 557-586. http://doi.org/10.2307/2171753.
- Steenekamp, C., Du Toit, P., & Kotzé, H. (2015). Social norms in the wake of the global financial crisis. Taiwan Journal of Democracy, 11, 111-127. http://www.airitilibrary.com/Publication/alDetailedMesh?docid=18157238-201507-201511020001-201511020001-111-127.
- Studenmund, A. H. (2016). Using econometrics: A practical guide (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Stulz, R. M., & Williamson, R. (2003). Culture, openness, and finance. Journal of Financial Economics, 70 (3), 313-349. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(03)00173-9.
- Tajfel, H. (1982). Social psychology of intergroup relations. Annual Review of Psychology, 33 (1), 1-39. http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.33.020182.000245.
- Tonoyan, V., Strohmeyer, R., Habib, M., & Perlitz, M. (2010). Corruption and entrepreneurship: How formal and informal institutions shape small firm behavior in transition and mature market economies. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 34 (5), 803-831. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2010.00394.x.
- Triandafyllidou, A., & Wodak, R. (2003). Conceptual and methodological questions in the study of collective identities. Journal of Language and Politics, 2 (2), 205-223. http://doi.org/10.1075/jlp.2.2.02tri.

- Türegün, N. (2020). Does financial crisis impact earnings management? Evidence from Turkey. Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance, 31 (1), 64-71. http://doi.org/10.1002/jcaf.22418.
- Turner, J. C., Brown, R. J., & Tajfel, H. (1979). Social comparison and group interest in ingroup favouritism. European Journal of Social Psychology, 9 (2), 187-204. http://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2420090207.
- Vitell, S. (2009). The role of religiosity in business and consumer ethics: A review of the literature. Journal of Business Ethics, 90, 155-167. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0382-8.
- Walker, A., Smither, J., & DeBode, J. (2012). The effects of religiosity on ethical judgments. Journal of Business Ethics, 106 (4), 437-452. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-1009-4.
- Weaver, G. R., & Agle, B. R. (2002). Religiosity and ethical behavior in organizations: A symbolic interactionist perspective. Academy of Management Review, 27 (1), 77-97. http://doi.org/10.2307/4134370.
- Weber, M. (1930). The Protestant ethic and the spirit of capitalism. London, UK: Unwin Hyman.
- Williams, K. R., & Hawkins, R. (1986). Perceptual research on general deterrence: A Critical review. Law & Society Review, 20 (4), 545-572. http://doi.org/10.2307/3053466.
- Woolcock, M., & Narayan, D. (2000). Social capital: Implications for development theory, research, and policy. The World Bank Research Observer, 15 (2), 225-249. http://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/15.2.225.
- Zou, H., & Adams, M. B. (2008). Corporate ownership, equity risk and returns in the People's Republic of China. Journal of International Business Studies, 39 (7), 1149-1168. http://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400394.

# **Appendix I – Variable Definitions**

Variable	Definition
Dependent variable:	
EARNQUAL	Earnings quality of the firm compared to all other securities trading in the same region, with higher values indicating higher rated firms. <i>Data source</i> : StarMine.
Religiosity variables:	
RELIG	The first principal component of: (a) the percentage of respondents that indicates religion is important to them ( <i>REL_IMP</i> ), (b) the percentage of respondents say that they are a religious person ( <i>REL_MEMB</i> ), and (c) the percentage of respondents say that they attend religious services ( <i>REL_SERV</i> ). <i>Data source</i> : WVS
REL_IMP	The percentage of respondents that indicates religion is important to them. <i>Data source</i> : WVS
REL_MEMB	The percentage of respondents says that they are a religious person. <i>Data source</i> : WVS
REL_SERV	The percentage of respondents says that they attend religious services. <i>Data source</i> : WVS
<b>Control variables:</b>	
INST_OWN	The percentage of stocks held by institutional investors. Data source: BankScope.
GOV_OWN	The percentage of stocks held by government or government bodies. <i>Data source</i> : BankScope.
EBT	Earnings before taxes deflated by lagged total assets. Data source: BankScope.

SIZE The natural logarithm of year-end total assets. *Data source*: BankScope. LEVERAGE The ratio of total debt to total common equity. *Data source*: BankScope.

GROWTH The annual growth rate of total assets. *Data source*: BankScope.

BIG4 One if auditor is a Big Four, zero otherwise. *Data source*: BankScope.

CFO Cash flow from operating activities deflated by average total assets. *Data source*:

BankScope.

Macroeconomic variables:

GDPGR The annual growth rate of the country's GDP. *Data source*: World Bank.

CORRUP Control of corruption captures perceptions of the extent to which public power is

exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as "capture" of the state by elites and private interests. Percentile rank indicates the country's rank among all countries covered by the aggregate indicator, with 0 corresponding to the lowest rank, and 100 to the highest rank. We standardise the index to be between zero and one. *Data source*: World Bank.

POP Natural logarithm of the country's population. *Data source*: World Bank.

MALE The percentage of male residents in the country. *Data source*: World Bank.

Variables in interactions:

REL\_IMPORT\_NAT\_ID One if more than 50 percent of respondents of the surveys conducted by the PEW

Research Center or the International Social Survey Programme (ISSP) indicate that religion is very important or somewhat important to their national identity,

zero otherwise. Data source: PEW Research Center and ISSP.

LOW\_LEGAL\_PROT One if the country's legal protection index is lower than the sample median, zero

otherwise. Data source: Doing Business Project.

CRISIS One for the years 2007-2009, zero otherwise.

Instrument: SCX

Indicates the official restrictions on religion, and takes the values of: (a) 0 if no (other) religions are illegal and there are no significant restrictions on minority religions; (b) 1. if no religions are illegal and no limitations are places on them but some religions have benefits not given to others due to some form of official recognition or status not given to all religions; (c) 2 if no religions are illegal but some or all (other) religions have practical limitations placed upon them; (d) 3 if no religions are illegal but some or all (other) religions have legal limitations placed upon them; (e) 4 if some (other) religions or atheism are illegal; and (f) 5 if all (other) religions are illegal. *Data source*: The Religion and State Project (Fox,

2011). (continued on next page)

Appendix continued

Variable Definition

Variables in sensitivity tests:

ACCRQUAL Quality of the accruals component of StarMine's earnings quality model, where a

firm is compared to all other securities trading in the same region, with higher values indicating higher rated firms. The accruals component captures changes in operating assets (both current and non-current) and liabilities during the last four

quarters. Data source: StarMine.

ALLP Is the error term from a regression, in which we regress LLP on total loans outstanding,

change in total loans outstanding, net loan charge-offs, beginning non-performing loans, change in non-performing loans, and loan categories. *Data source*: BankScope and own

calculations.

LnMCAP Natural logarithm of market capitalisation. *Data source*: BankScope

LEV The ratio of total debt to total assets. *Data source*: BankScope

MB Market to book ratio. *Data source*: BankScope

ULT OWN The percentage of stocks held by the ultimate shareholder. *Data source*:

BankScope

Table 1 Country distribution of observations and mean values of religiosity measures.

	c 1 Country distribution					Mean va		
No	Country	Banks	Obs	Percent	REL_IMP	REL_MEMB	REL_SERV	RELIG
1	Argentina	8	49	0.59	0.562	0.678	0.359	0.227
2	Australia	24	118	1.42	0.311	0.413	0.169	0.122
3	Bahrain	14	100	1.20	0.869	0.760	0.859	1.084
4	Brazil	23	137	1.65	0.894	0.797	0.650	0.050
5	Chile	10	58	0.70	0.589	0.503	0.366	0.083
6	China	60	247	2.97	0.106	0.125	0.029	0.273
7	Colombia	10	59	0.71	0.854	0.825	0.639	0.064
8	Cyprus	4	19	0.23	0.799	0.783	0.352	0.420
9	Egypt	9	16	0.19	0.995	0.923	0.594	0.250
10	Germany	34	175	2.11	0.380	0.495	0.193	0.146
11	Ghana	6	24	0.29	0.985	0.970	0.838	0.243
12	India	67	393	4.73	0.913	0.888	0.592	0.070
13	Japan	135	1,181	14.22	0.186	0.210	0.106	0.314
14	Jordan	24	138	1.66	0.995	0.804	0.572	0.123
15	Kazakhstan	7	25	0.30	0.550	0.617	0.196	0.324
16	Lebanon	6	29	0.35	0.770	0.636	0.616	0.384
17	Malaysia	16	95	1.14	0.968	0.537	0.643	1.391
18	Mexico	16	26	0.31	0.838	0.742	0.622	0.099
19	Morocco	6	12	0.14	0.984	0.897	0.915	0.292
20	Netherlands	7	36	0.43	0.252	0.438	0.164	0.332
21	New Zealand	2	9	0.11	0.361	0.427	0.188	0.064
22	Nigeria	21	73	0.88	0.975	0.959	0.906	0.530
23	Pakistan	38	163	1.96	0.975	0.997	0.496	0.763
24	Peru	26	79	0.95	0.802	0.815	0.590	0.088
25	Philippines	19	85	1.02	0.981	0.807	0.854	0.637
26	Poland	13	88	1.06	0.796	0.862	0.672	0.296
27	Romania	3	12	0.14	0.838	0.814	0.431	0.249
28	Russia	26	125	1.51	0.418	0.531	0.133	0.265
29	Rwanda	2	7	0.08	0.723	0.959	0.782	1.284
30	Singapore	10	52	0.63	0.767	0.531	0.448	0.324
31	South Africa	13	72	0.87	0.839	0.800	0.690	0.206
32	South Korea	36	167	2.01	0.542	0.325	0.357	0.708
33	Spain	10	62	0.75	0.320	0.400	0.192	0.123
34	Sweden	9	42	0.51	0.262	0.312	0.091	0.039
35	Thailand	34	153	1.84	0.877	0.320	0.402	2.274
36	Tunisia	19	114	1.37	0.981	0.651	0.456	0.621
37	Turkey	51	223	2.69	0.927	0.835	0.372	0.716
38	Ukraine	10	44	0.53	0.608	0.683	0.240	0.418
39	United States Of America	467	3,798	45.73	0.682	0.687	0.441	0.012
	Total	1,295	8,305	100	-	-	-	-

Note: This table present the bank distribution and the mean values of our religiosity measure and its constituents as per country. REL\_IMP is the percentage of respondents that indicates religion is important to them (based on the WVS). REL\_MEMB is the percentage of respondents says that they are a religious person (based on the WVS). REL\_SERV is the percentage of respondents says that they attend religious services (based on the WVS). RELIG is the first principal component of REL\_IMP, REL\_MEMB, and REL\_SERV.

**Table 2 Descriptive statistics** 

Variable	N	Min	25th	Mean	Median	75th	Max	StDev
EARNQUAL	8,305	1.00	24.00	43.30	42.00	62.00	100.00	24.31
RELIG	8,305	0.00	0.02	0.23	0.07	0.27	2.27	0.39
INST_OWN	8,305	0.00	0.22	0.50	0.50	0.78	1.00	0.32
GOV_OWN	8,305	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.02	1.00	0.12
EBT	8,305	-0.06	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.17	0.03
SIZE	8,305	9.71	14.28	15.74	15.64	17.20	21.35	2.19
LEVERAGE	8,305	0.10	0.86	0.85	0.89	0.92	0.97	0.15
GROWTH	8,305	-0.31	-0.01	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.77	0.16
CFO	8,305	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.58	0.08
GDPGR	8,305	-0.10	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.02
CORRUP	8,305	0.08	0.57	0.75	0.89	0.90	1.00	0.23
POP	8,305	0.89	4.43	5.10	5.68	5.77	7.24	1.23
MALE	8,305	0.46	0.49	0.50	0.49	0.50	0.63	0.02

Note: This table presents descriptive statistics of the variables used in our analysis. The continuous variables are winsorized at the 1st and 99th percentiles. EARNQUAL is the rank of earnings quality of the firm in the country of corporate headquarters, derived through StarMine database, with higher values indicating higher rated firms. RELIG is the first principal component of: (a) the percentage of respondents that indicates religion is important to them (REL IMP), (b) the percentage of respondents say that they are a religious person (REL\_MEMB), and (c) the percentage of respondents say that they attend religious services (*REL\_SERV*). *INST\_OWN* is the percentage of stocks owned by institutional investors. GOV\_OWN is the percentage of stocks owned by the government or governmental agencies. EBT is earnings before taxes deflated by lagged total assets. SIZE is the natural logarithm of year-end total assets. LEVERAGE is the ratio of total debt to total common equity. GROWTH is the annual growth rate of total assets. BIG4 is a dummy encoded one if auditor is a Big Four, zero otherwise. CFO is cash flow from operating activities deflated by average total assets. GDPGR is the annual growth rate of GDP. CORRUP is the control of corruption, which captures perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as "capture" of the state by elites and private interests. Percentile rank indicates the country's rank among all countries covered by the aggregate indicator, with 0 corresponding to the lowest rank, and 100 to the highest rank. We standardise CORRUP to be between zero and one. POP is the natural logarithm of the country's population. MALE is the percentage of male residents in the country of corporate headquarters. All variables are defined in Appendix I.

Table 3 Pearson correlation matrix (N = 8,305)

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1. EARNQUAL	1.00													
2. RELIG	0.05***	1.00												
3. INST_OWN	-0.03**	0.11***	1.00											
4. GOV_OWN	-0.05***	0.14***	-0.14***	1.00										
5. EBT	0.17***	0.10***	0.08***	-0.03***	1.00									
6. SIZE	-0.02*	-0.30***	0.19***	0.15***	-0.16***	1.00								
7. LEVERAGE	-0.03***	-0.09***	-0.04***	0.06***	-0.48***	0.45***	1.00							
8. GROWTH	-0.17***	0.05***	0.04***	-0.03**	0.26***	-0.02*	0.02*	1.00						
9. BIG4	0.00	-0.13***	0.32***	0.08***	0.09***	0.29***	-0.02	-0.11***	1.00					
10. CFO	0.08***	0.06***	0.09***	-0.03**	0.58***	-0.32***	-0.53***	0.06***	0.05***	1.00				
11. GDPGR	-0.09***	0.24***	0.09***	0.16***	0.16***	0.05***	-0.09***	0.13***	0.03***	0.04***	1.00			
12. CORRUP	0.07***	-0.32***	-0.12***	-0.24***	-0.13***	0.04***	0.13***	0.02*	-0.22***	-0.10***	-0.38***	1.00		
13. POP	0.01	0.00	-0.10***	0.01	-0.03***	0.06***	0.12***	0.13***	-0.32***	-0.07***	0.16***	0.11***	1.00	
14. MALE	-0.05***	0.28***	0.00	0.19***	0.04***	0.01	-0.08***	0.06***	0.05***	-0.01	0.37***	-0.22***	-0.17***	1.00

*Note*: This table correlation coefficients of the variables used in our main analysis. All variables are winsorized at the 1st and 99th percentiles. Values with asterisks \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* indicate significance at the 10, 5, and 1 % levels, respectively (2-tailed). All variables are defined in Appendix I.

 $Table\ 4\ Religiosity, importance\ of\ religion\ to\ national\ identity,\ weak\ legal\ protection,\ financial\ crisis\ and\ banks'\ earnings\ quality,\ using\ IV-2SLS$ 

	Exp. Sign.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Dependent Variable:	Exp. Sign.	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL
RELIG	+	4.395***	22.518**	-0.988	4.086***
RELIG×REL_IMPORT_NAT_ID	?	(3.51)	(2.56) 40.331**	(-0.35)	(3.21)
RELIG-REL_IMI ORT_NAT_ID	•		(2.11)		
RELIG×LOW_LEGAL_PROT	?		` ,	8.446***	
DELIG CDIGIG	9			(2.94)	0.202444
RELIG×CRISIS	?				9.383*** (3.78)
REL_IMPORT_NAT_ID	?		-19.251**		(3.70)
	_		(-2.27)		
LOW_LEGAL_PROT	?			2.850 (1.43)	
CRISIS	?			(1.43)	3.970
	-				(1.18)
INST_OWN	-	-3.586*	-0.627	-1.138	-5.378**
GOV OWN		(-1.71)	(-0.25) -0.509	(-0.42) 8 861*	(-2.57) -11.681***
GOV_OWN	-	-10.494***		-8.861* (-1.83)	
EBT	.1	(-2.59) 260.777***	(-0.07) 273.643***	(-1.83) 266.565***	(-2.93) 257.420***
ED I	+	(11.10)	(7.43)	(10.89)	(10.67)
SIZE	+	0.858**	-0.071	0.455	1.245***
SIZE	+	(1.99)	(-0.15)	(0.88)	(2.86)
LEVERAGE		7.920*	11.396	11.018**	8.004*
LEVERAGE	-	(1.94)	(1.64)	(2.48)	(1.89)
GROWTH	?	-36.213***	-41.648***	-33.748***	-34.934***
GROWIN	•				
BIG4		(-15.67) 3.103***	(-10.40) -0.576	(-13.50) 5.258***	(-14.95) 1.961*
DIU4	+				
CEO		(3.00)	(-0.36)	(4.19)	(1.79)
CFO	+	-1.826	-1.197	-3.557	0.674
CDDCD	9	(-0.26)	(-1.63)	(-0.49)	(0.09)
GDPGR	?-	-132.249***	-172.507***	-95.586***	-177.088***
CORRUP		(-4.86)	(-2.72)	(-2.61)	(-6.04)
CORRUP	?	11.113***	17.233***	12.844***	9.274***
DOD		(3.49)	(4.35)	(2.68)	(2.86)
POP	?	1.116***	4.057***	2.634***	0.843**
DOT MALE		(3.07)	(3.05)	(4.27)	(2.28)
PCT_MALE	?	-43.336	-42.599***	-40.184	-34.904
_		(-1.11)	(-3.16)	(-0.88)	(-0.89)
Intercept		27.715	27.955***	22.745	22.068
		(1.56)	(3.17)	(1.23)	3.970
Year dummies		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hausman test		13.377***	52.098***	31.386***	22.665***
Mean VIF		1.489	5.534	1.733	1.533
Observations		8,305	6,658	8,305	8,305
First stage	Exp. Sign.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
SCX	-	-0.325***	-0.227***	-0.225***	-0.319***
		(-8.01)	(-7.71)	(-6.24)	(-8.05)
F-statistic		64.17	31.38	35.05	34.35
Partial $R^2$		0.0617	0.1123	0.0486	0.0634
SCX×REL_IMPORT_NAT_ID	?		-0.354***		
			(-11.95)		
SCX×LOW_LEGAL_PROT	?		/	-0.185***	
	-			(-4.65)	
SCX×CRISIS	?			( /	-0.274***
	•				(-4.47)

(continued on next page)

**Table 4 continued** 

	Evn Sian	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Dependent Variable:	Exp. Sign.	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	
F-statistic			17.93	70.37	62.41	

Partial R <sup>2</sup>		0.0251	0.0741	0.0727
Firm-controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Macro-controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note: The dependent variable across all models is EARNQUAL, and represents the rank of earnings quality of the firm in the country of corporate headquarters, derived through StarMine database, with higher values indicating higher rated firms. Column 1 indicates the effect of religiosity on earnings quality of global banks. The next three columns present the joint effect of religiosity and: (a) an indicator interaction variable signalling that is located in a country where religion is important to national identity (Column 2); (b) an indicator interaction variable signalling that is located in a country with weak legal protection (Column 3); and (c) an indicator for the global financial crisis (years 2007 and 2008). The *z-statistics* in parentheses are based on heteroskedasticity corrected and clustered robust standard errors, clustered on banks. The continuous variables are winsorized at the 1st and 99th percentiles. For the sake of brevity, we suppress all other control variables included in the first-stage and indicate only the coefficient of the instrument (SCX). We further present the F-statistic and Partial  $R^2$  for the instrumental variables used for RELIG and its interaction separately (i.e., the first statistics correspond to SCX, while the F-statistic and Partial  $R^2$  at the bottom of the table refer to the interacted variables). All variables are defined in Appendix I.

\* p < 0.10, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01

**Table 5 Robustness tests** 

Panel A: Alternative n	(1)		(2)		(3)
Dependent variable:	EARNQUAL		EARNQUAL		EARNQUAI
REL_IMP	34.607***				2.22.100711
	(3.31)				
REL_MEMB	( )		52.715***		
_			(2.84)		
REL_SERV					20.653***
					(3.79)
Control variables	Yes		Yes		Yes
Year dummies	Yes		Yes		Yes
Hausman test	17.017***		20.192***		5.632**
Mean VIF	1.498		1.482		1.489
Observations	8,305		8,305		8,305
First stage	(1)		(2)		(3)
SCX	-0.041***		-0.027***		-0.069***
	(-5.94)		(-4.29)		(-14.49)
F-statistic	35.33		18.37		210.02
Partial $R^2$	0.0359		0.0188		0.1762
Control variables	Yes		Yes		Yes
Year dummies	Yes		Yes		Yes
Panel B: Alternative n	neasures of the depe	ndent variable			
	(1)		(2)		(3)
Dependent variable:	Ln(EARNQUAL)		ACCRQUAL		ALLP
RELIG	0.133***		2.831***		-0.001**
	(3.20)		(2.82)		(-2.10)
Control variables	Yes		Yes		Yes
Year dummies	Yes		Yes		Yes
Hausman test	11.499***		9.881***		29.482***
Mean VIF	1.493		1.494		1.579
Observations	8,305		8,283		4,574
First stage	(1)		(2)		(3)
SCX	-0.325***		-0.326***		-0.546***
5011	(-8.01)		(-8.03)		(-9.88)
F-statistic	64.17		64.45		97.70
Partial R <sup>2</sup>	0.0617		0.062		0.2633
Control variables	Yes		Yes		Yes
Year dummies	Yes		Yes		Yes
Panel C: Alternative s	ample constructs				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
•		•		Excluding small	Excluding sma
Empirical test:	Excluding US &	Excluding	Excluding	banks (Total	banks (Total
•	Japan	US	Japan	Assets < 500 mil)	Assets < 1 tri
Dependent variable:	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAI
RELIG	2.284***	3.491***	3.938***	2.850***	2.728***
	(3.42	(3.51)	(3.92)	(3.26)	(3.90)
Control variables	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hausman test	13.362***	15.909***	24.565***	12.465***	16.973***
Mean VIF	1.604	1.705	1.463	1.501	1.498
Observations	3,326	4,507	7,124	7,569	6,846
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
First stage				-0.329***	-0.352***
	-0.276***	-0.165***	-0.403***		
		-0.165*** (-4.65)	-0.403*** (-10.52)	(-7.22)	
SCX	-0.276***			(-7.22) 52.17	(-7.26) 52.64
SCX F-statistic	-0.276*** (-6.82)	(-4.65)	(-10.52)		(-7.26)
First stage SCX  F-statistic Partial R <sup>2</sup> Control variables	-0.276*** (-6.82) 46.48	(-4.65) 21.58	(-10.52) 110.58	52.17	(-7.26) 52.64

**Table 5 continued** 

Panel D: Alternative control variable constructs and ultimate ownership

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Dependent variable:	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	EARNQUAL	
RELIG	4.876***	4.322***	4.358***	3.953***	
	(3.80)	(3.38)	(3.30)	(3.48)	
LnMCAP	1.423***				
	(3.82)				
LEV		-9.883**			
		(-2.07)			
MB			2.875***		
			(4.78)		
ULT_OWN				-9.909***	
				(-5.33)	
Control variables	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Year dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Hausman test	13.911***	12.880***	9.704***	9.948***	
Mean VIF	1.460	1.510	1.495	1.507	
Observations	8,305	8,305	8,305	8,305	
First stage	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
SCX	-0.331***	-0.318***	-0.310***	-0.348***	
	(-7.59)	(-7.89)	(-7.31)	(-8.20)	
F-statistic	57.66	62.26	53.42	67.2917	
Partial $R^2$	0.0582	0.0597	0.0555	0.0642	
Control variables	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Year dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Note: This table presents the robustness tests of our results. Panel A presents results for alternative measures of religiosity. In Panel B we consider alternative measures of the dependent variable. Panel C presents robustness using alternative sample constructs. Panel D provides the analyses when considering for alternative constructs of the control variables and for ultimate ownership. The z-statistics in parentheses are based on heteroskedasticity corrected and clustered robust standard errors, clustered on banks. The continuous variables are winsorized at the 1st and 99th percentiles. For the sake of brevity, we suppress all other control variables and maintain only the variables of interest. We further present the F-statistic and Partial R2 for the instrumental variables used for RELIG. All variables are defined in Appendix I.

\* p < 0.10, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01