The effect of meal frequency on biochemical cardiometabolic factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Abdollahi, S., Kazemi, A., de Souza, R. J., Clark, C. C. T. & Soltani, S.

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

Original citation & hyperlink:

Abdollahi, S, Kazemi, A, de Souza, RJ, Clark, CCT & Soltani, S 2021, 'The effect of meal frequency on biochemical cardiometabolic factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials', Clinical Nutrition, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 3170-3181. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2020.12.038

DOI 10.1016/j.clnu.2020.12.038 ISSN 0261-5614

Publisher: Elsevier

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Clinical Nutrition. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Clinical Nutrition, 40:5 (2021) DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2020.12.038

© 2021, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

The Effect of Meal Frequency on Biochemical Cardio-Metabolic Factors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials¹,²

Shima Abdollahi¹, Asma Kazemi², Cain C. T. Clark³, Sepideh Soltani^{4*}

¹Department of Nutrition and Public Health, School of Public Health, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Bojnurd, Iran.

²Nutrition research center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

³Centre for Intelligent Healthcare, Coventry University, Coventry, CV1 5FB, UK.

⁴Nutrition and food security Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences,

Yazd, Iran.

Corresponding author:

Sepideh Soltani, PhD in Nutritional Sciences Nutrition and food security Research Center, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran <u>Tel: +98</u> 5832240571 Campus/internal phone number: 146 Fax: + 98 58- 32247281 Email: <u>S.soltani1979@yahoo.com</u>

Running Title: meal frequency and cardio-metabolic profile

¹ The authors reported no funding received for this study.

² Author disclosures: Abdollahi Sh, Kazemi A, Clark C, and Soltani S, no conflicts of interest.

1 ABSTRACT

Background: Although several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have supported the
beneficial effects of higher meal frequency (MF) on cardio-metabolic parameters, the putative
effects of higher MF remains inconclusive.

Objective: This study examined the effect of higher MF on lipid profile, glucose homeostasis,
apolipoprotines, and adipokines, compared with lower MF, by conducting a systematic review
and meta-analysis of RCTs.

Methods: PubMed, Scopus, and ISI Web of Science were searched up to May 2019 to retrieve
relevant RCTs. A random effect model was used to calculate mean differences and 95 % CI for
each outcome. The quality of studies and evidence was assessed through standard methods.

Results: Twenty RCTs (658 participants) were eligible for this meta-analysis. Overall results 11 12 showed a significant improvement in total cholesterol [weighted mean difference (WMD) = -6.08 mg/dl; 95% CI: -10.68, -1.48; P = 0.01; $I^2 = 88$], low-density cholesterol (LDL-C) (WMD = -13 6.82 mg/dl; 95% CI: -10.97, -1.59; P= 0.009; I^2 = 85.7), and LDL-C to high-density cholesterol 14 ratio (LDL-C: HDL-C) (WMD= 0.22; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.36; P=0.003; $I^2=0.0$), in higher MF vs. 15 lower MF. However, no significant effects were found for glycemic indices. The main findings 16 from subgroup analyses suggested that, when the intervention was >12 weeks, higher MF 17 significantly reduced serum triglyceride (TG) and increased HDL-C, compared with lower MF. 18 Moreover, in healthy participants, higher MF led to a significant decrease in serum level of TC 19 20 and LDL-C,.

21	Conclusion: Our results revealed that increased MF may potentially be efficacious in lowering
22	TC, LDL-C, and LDL/HDL ratio. It seems that long-term energy-deficit diets may confer an
23	additional beneficial effect to higher MF in a healthy population. However, low or moderate
24	credibility of the currently available evidence highlights the need for more high-quality studies in
25	order to to reach a firm conclusion.
26	
27	Keywords: Meals; Meta-analysis; blood glucose; diet; eating; cholesterol
28	
20	
25	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
38	

39 INTRODUCTION

In the preceding decade, the prevalence of chronic diseases, such as diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, has increased in both developed and developing countries (1). Chronic diseases reportedly caused 33.7% of deaths in 1990, and progressed to 40.3% in 2016 (2). The upward trend of chronic diseases is largely driven by cardio-metabolic risk factors; including, among others, smoking, obesity, dyslipidemia, dysglycemia, and hypertension(3, 4). Dietary therapy is considered as a first line intervention for the management of this condition, and, in some cases, it may be utilized instead of pharmacological-based therapy (5, 6).

Although a large body of evidence from meta-analyses of epidemiologic studies purports the role
of food groups and dietary patterns as a modifiable factor in the etiology of chronic diseases (710), additional dietary aspects are poorly understood. One contentious area, which seems to
contribute to chronic disease risk factors, is eating habits, and, in particular, eating/meal
frequency (11, 12).

An increased meal frequency is regarded as a dietary strategy that may be utilized to regulate 52 cardio-metabolic profile. Such a hypothesis is driven from observational studies that suggest an 53 inverse relationship between metabolic profile and meal frequency (13-16). It has been 54 speculated that, when multiple small size meals eaten through the day, the incumbent lower 55 stomach extension and lower nutrient transience in the intestine can lead to a lower insulin 56 secretion response (17). Given that insulin plays an important role in lipogenesis and cholesterol 57 58 synthesis, lowering insulin levels can conceivably improve lipid profile, as well as glycemic indices (18). Indeed, a prospective cohort study found an increased risk for cardiovascular 59 60 diseases and metabolic syndrome in higher meal frequency compared with lower meal 61 frequency, even after adjustment for confounders (19, 20).

With regards to lower meal frequency, which prolongs the fasting period between meals, there is 62 evidence to suggest an improvement in cardio-metabolic factors, including lipid profile, glucose 63 homeostasis, and some types of inflammatory and oxidative stress markers (21-24). Furthermore, 64 when lower frequency meals are consumed, glycogen stores are depleted due to the extended 65 time in fasting; thus, to supply energy, the adipose tissue breaks down and the serum levels of 66 67 free fatty acids are increased (25). Increased levels of free fatty acids is considered an etiology of insulin resistance (26); indeed, some trials have reported beneficial effects of higher meal 68 69 frequency on lipid profile and/or glycemic indices versus lower meal frequency (22, 27, 28). 70 However, despite some beneficial effect reported in the literature, many studies have failed to detect any significant effect of manipulating meal frequency (29-31). 71

72 Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of meal frequency

73 manipulation, in a eucaloric condition, on biochemical cardio-metabolic parameters and

adipokines in adults, by conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs.

75 METHODS

The protocol of this systematic review has been registered on the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) website (www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO). The present study was developed and conducted based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement guidelines (32).

80 Search Strategy and Study Selection

PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science databases were searched up to 3-May-2019, using MeSH
and non-MeSH terms, with no restriction in language or time. Reference lists of all identified

83 studies were also scanned for additional relevant studies. Details of search strategies

84 implemented are presented in **supplementary Table 1**.

Two reviewers (AK and SS, separately) assessed the titles and abstracts of all primary articles,
according to the following criteria, to determine eligible studies.

Studies that met following criteria were included: (a) open-label randomized clinical trial with 87 concurrent control group (either parallel or cross-over design); (b) studies investigated the effect 88 89 of meal frequency on one of the biochemical cardio-metabolic markers including serum levels of 90 fasting blood glucose (FBS), insulin, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), glucagon, c-peptide, triglyceride 91 (TG), total cholesterol (TC), high-density cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density cholesterol (LDL-C), APO-A1, APO-B, free fatty acid (FFA), adipokines, homeostatic model assessment of 92 93 insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), and systolic and diastolic blood pressure (c) male and female participants $\geq 18y$; (d) the diets of both intervention and control groups were identical (in calorie 94 and macronutrient composition) except for the number of meals in the included studies; (e) 95 number of meals were clearly defined in both intervention and control groups as a part of the 96 study design. Meals were defined as any food consumption episodes (drink or solid meal) 97 providing 200 kcal or more of energy. 98

99 Studies were excluded for the following reasons: (a) studies that included children, adolescents, 100 pregnant or lactating women, patients with psychological or eating disorders, and critically ill 101 patients; (b) studies that reported differences in calorie intake, macronutrients components or any 102 other difference except the frequency of the meals between intervention and control groups; (c); 103 studies that applied short term interventions (less than one week); and, (d) when meal frequency 104 was not specified in the design of the study.

105 Data extraction

106 Three reviewers evaluated the full text of potentially relevant articles and extracted the following information (AK, SS, SA); 1) participant characteristics, including gender, age, and disease. 2) 107 Study characteristics, including last name of the first author, country of origin, study design, 108 publication year, duration of the study, and sample size in each group. 3) Intervention 109 characteristics including number of meals in each group, any other intervention beside meal 110 111 frequency, calorie distribution at meals (isocalorically vs. non-isocalorically distributed meals). 4) Means and standard deviations (SDs) at the baseline and end of the intervention as well as 112 mean changes and the corresponding SDs for all of the outcomes also were extracted. If data 113 114 were presented in graphical format only, it was extracted using Plot Digitizer software (http://plotdigitizer. sourceforge.net/). In cases where the required data was not provided in a 115 study, corresponding authors were contacted to request the data needed for the purpose of meta-116 analysis. Any discrepancy was resolved by discussion to reach consensus. 117

118 Statistical analysis

119 Statistical analysis of data was performed using Stata software version 13 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). The mean change for outcomes and their corresponding SDs 120 between intervention and control groups were extracted from each study and was used to 121 122 estimate the overall difference in mean change across all studies and was used as the effect size 123 for analysis. The group with higher meal frequency was considered as the intervention and lower 124 meal frequency group was considered as the control group. For the studies that did not report 125 mean change, we calculated the mean changes, and also estimated SD for mean change, using 126 correlation r using studies that reported baseline, after intervention and change values. The units 127 of all of outcomes were unified prior to inclusion in the meta-analysis. The weighted mean difference (WMD) and its corresponding SD was calculated for each outcome using the 128

129 DerSimonian and Laird method. Statistical heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the Cochran's Q test and I² test. We sought to find the sources of heterogeneity by meta-regression 130 and subgroup analysis for potential factors, including: age, gender, difference in meal frequency 131 between the intervention and control group, distribution of calorie at meals (isocalorically vs. 132 non-isocalorically distribution), any other intervention beside the meal frequency, health status 133 134 of participants, duration of intervention and quality of studies. The potential for publication bias was assessed by visual examination of funnel plots, whilst the Begg rank correlation method and 135 the Egger weighted regression method were also used for statistical assessment of publication 136 137 bias (33). Sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding studies from the meta-analysis one by one to explore the extent to which the summary estimates might depend on any singular study 138 (34). A p-value of 0.05 was considered to represent statistical significance. 139

140 *Quality assessment*

Quality assessments were performed using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool (35), based on the following parameters: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, incomplete outcome data and selective reporting. As blinding is almost impossible for dietary intervention trials, we did not consider the blinding of participants and investigators as a key domain to assess the overall risk of bias.

146 *Quality of evidence*

The GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations) approach was used to rate the certainty of the evidence for each outcome (36). Two reviewers (SA, SS) independently evaluated the certainty of evidence and any disagreements were resolved by discussion. RCTs start with high quality of evidence and may be downgraded based on risk of bias inconsistency (substantial unexplained heterogeneity, $I^2 > 40\%$; p < 0.05), indirectness,

imprecision (95% CI for effect size are wide or cross the minimally important difference), andevidence of small study effects.

154 **RESULTS**

As shown in Figure 1, the primary search yielded 13,135 studies. After excluding duplicate
studies and screening the articles by the title and abstract, 63 studies remained and were
evaluated based on reading full-texts. Excluded studies, as well as the reasons for exclusion, are
presented in Supplementary Table 2. Finally, 20 studies were entered into the analyses; (n= 658
participants) (22, 27, 31, 37-53).

160 Study characteristics

Most of the included studies were conducted in Europe (n=8) (31, 42, 43, 47-49, 52, 53), whilst 161 some other studies were from USA (n=7) (22, 27, 37, 38, 44, 46, 50), Asia (45, 51) and, Oceania 162 continent countries (39-41). Across all the studies, 13 studies (14 publications) (22, 27, 31, 37, 163 39-41, 43, 46, 48-50, 52, 53) had cross-over study design, whilst eight had a parallel study design 164 165 (38, 42, 44, 45, 47, 51). The duration of the intervention ranged from 2 to 48 weeks; most of the studies included both male and female participants (22, 27, 31, 38-42, 45, 48, 50-53), although 166 167 some studies focused on female (37, 44, 49) or male (43, 46, 47), exclusively. Eight studies were conducted under a weight reduction condition (31, 37, 38, 42, 45, 47, 51, 53), while the 168 remaining studies applied a weight maintenance diet (22, 27, 39-41, 43, 44, 46, 48, 49). Nine 169 170 studies applied iso-caloric meals (22, 27, 31, 44-46, 50-52), although others did not consider this factor (37-43, 47-49, 53). Included studies were conducted on participants with overweight and 171 obesity (37, 38, 42, 44, 45), diabetes mellitus (31, 40, 48, 51-53), polycystic ovary syndrome 172 (PCOS) (49), hypercholesterolemia (39), whilst seven studies also recruited healthy participants 173

174 (22, 27, 41, 43, 46, 47, 50). Table 1 details the characteristics of RCTs included in the meta175 analysis.

176 Risk of bias

177 Most methodological domains of included studies were not met according the Cochrane criteria

and rated as high risk of bias (22, 27, 37-46, 48-52). The randomization procedure was not

described by most of the studies and was considered as the most common bias (22, 27, 37, 39-42,

180 44, 46, 52). Thirteen studies did not demonstrate adequate concealment of allocation prior to

assignment (22, 27, 29, 37, 39-41, 43-46, 51, 52). Furthermore, a large number of studies did not

report pre-specified primary outcomes (22, 27, 37, 39, 41, 43, 44, 48, 49). Although risk of bias

due to incomplete outcome data was low in most of the studies (22, 27, 31, 37-49, 52, 53), the

two remaining studies were classified as fair quality (31, 47), and only one study met all the

185 Cochrane's standards for quality research designs (53) (Supplementary Table 3).

186 Quality of evidence

187 The GRADE assessment system showed that the quality of evidence was moderate for the effect

188 of meal frequency on LDL-C, and LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, low for FBS, insulin, HbA1c, HOMA-

189 IR, glucagon, c-peptide, TC, Apo A1, Apo B, FFA, HDL-C, and leptin, and very low for TG

190 (Supplementary Table 4).

191 Meta-analysis

192 Effects of meal frequency intervention on glycemic indices

193 *FBS*. The pooled effect size based on 13 studies (27, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, 46-49, 51-53) showed no

194 significant effect of higher, compared with lower, meal frequency on FBS, with high

195 heterogeneity (n= 466 participants, WMD= -0.02 mmol/L; 95% CI: $-0.20, 0.16; P= 0.98; I^2=$

196 88.1; P-heterogeneity < 0.001) (Table 2)(Figure 2 A). Subgroup analysis based on gender and

197 health status of participants reduced the heterogeneity; however, the results remained non-

- 198 significant across subgroups (**Supplementary Table 5**).
- 199 Insulin. The pooled effect size based on 12 studies revealed no significant effect of higher,
- 200 compared with lower, meal frequency on insulin levels; with significant between-study
- heterogeneity (n= 443 participants, WMD= -0.65 micro unit/ml; 95% CI: -1.74, 0.43; P= 0.23;
- 202 $I^2 = 80.7$; P-heterogeneity < 0.001) (**Table 2**) (**Figure 2 B**)(27, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, 46-49, 51, 53).
- 203 Subgroup analyses based on the gender, study design, and energy density of the diet could

204 explain the heterogeneity, and showed that, in females, higher meal frequency resulted in a

decrease in insulin levels compared with lower meal frequency (2 studies, n=62 participants,

206 WMD= -2.73 micro unit/ml; 95% CI: -5.28, -0.18; P=0.03; $I^2=0.0$; P-heterogeneity= 0.45)

- 207 (Supplementary Table 6).
- 208 *HbA1c*. Five studies investigated the effect of meal frequency intervention on HbA1c (40, 48,
- 49, 51, 52). No significant effect was observed, with high heterogeneity (n=176 participants,

210 WMD= -0.12 %; 95% CI: -0.30, 0.06; P= 0.18; I^2 = 90.9; P-heterogeneity <0.001) (**Table 2**)

(Figure 2 C). However, the source of heterogeneity remained unclear due to the low number of
studies.

213 *HOMA-IR*. Four studies investigated the effect of meal frequency intervention on HOMA-IR.

No significant effect was observed, with high heterogeneity (27, 48, 49, 53). (n= 171

215 participants, WMD= -0.05 %; 95% CI: -0.55, 0.45; P=0.84; $I^2=92.2$; P-heterogeneity <0.001)

216 (Table 2) (Figure 2 D). However, the source of heterogeneity remained unclear due to the low

217 number of studies.

218 *C-Peptide*. Three studies investigated the effect of meal frequency intervention on C-peptide (39,

- 40, 46). One additional study was excluded because of inappropriate data (53). Our analysis
- showed no significant change in C-peptide levels, with no evidence of heterogeneity (3 studies,
- 221 n= 36 participants, g= -0.15; 95% CI: -0.45, 0.16; P= 0.34; $I^2 = 0.0$; P-heterogeneity= 0.72)
- 222 (Table 2) (Supplementary Figure 1).

223 Effects of meal frequency intervention on lipid profile

- *TG*. The pooled effect size based on 14 studies revealed no significant effect of higher, compared
- with lower, meal frequency on TG levels; with significant between-study heterogeneity (n= 504
- 226 participants, WMD= -2.24 mg/dl; 95% CI: -5.62, 1.14; P= 0.19; $I^2= 72.9$; P-heterogeneity
- 227 <0.001) (**Table 2**) (**Figure 3** A)(22, 37, 39-43, 45-47, 49, 51-53). Subgroup analysis also
- revealed that higher meal frequency significantly decreased serum levels of TG in long-term
- intervention (WMD= -11.25 mg/dl; 95% CI: -18.19, -4.31; P= 0.001; $I^2= 31.2$; P-heterogeneity=
- 0.21). In addition, higher meal frequency increased TG levels in men (WMD= 16.48 mg/dl; 95%
- 231 CI: 7.75, 25.21; P< 0.001; $I^2 = 0.0$; P-heterogeneity= 0.42), short-term intervention (WMD= 8.37)
- 232 mg/dl; 95% CI: 1.40, 15.34; P=0.01; $I^2=42.8$; P-heterogeneity= 0.07) and studies that applied
- meal frequency intervention without energy restriction (WMD= 9.33 mg/dl; 95% CI: 1.15,
- 17.51; P = 0.02; $I^2 = 48.7$; P-heterogeneity= 0.05), compared with lower meal frequency
- 235 (Supplementary Table 7). The gender of participants was identified as the source of
- 236 heterogeneity using subgroup analysis.
- 237 *TC*. Pooling data from 13 studies suggested beneficial effects of higher meal frequency on serum
- levels of total cholesterol; with evidence of high between-study heterogeneity (n = 462
- 239 participants, WMD= -6.08 mg/dl; 95% CI: -10.68, -1.48; P = 0.01; $I^2 = 88$; P-
- 240 heterogeneity<0.001) (**Table 2**) (**Figure 3 B**) (22, 37, 39-42, 44-46, 49, 51, 52, 54). In subgroup

241	analyses, we also found that higher meal frequency reduced TC in healthy individuals compared
242	with lower meal frequency (WMD= -19.98 mg/dl; 95% CI: -30.73, -9.22; P< 0.001; I^2 = 74.8; P-
243	heterogeneity= 0.01) and, in studies with cross-over design (WMD= -5.47 mg/dl; 95% CI: -
244	10.35, -0.59; P= 0.02; I ² = 89.6; P-heterogeneity< 0.001) (Supplementary Table 8), age and
245	health status of participants were able to partially justify the observed heterogeneity.
246	<i>LDL-C</i> . The pooled effect size based on 12 studies revealed higher meal frequency significantly
247	reduced serum levels of LDL-C compared with lower meal frequency (n= 454 participants,
248	WMD= -6.82 mg/dl; 95% CI: -10.97, -1.59; P= 0.009; I^2 = 85.7; P-heterogeneity< 0.001) (Table
249	2) (Figure 3 C)(22, 37, 39-42, 45, 46, 49, 51-53). Subgroup analysis also highlighted that higher
250	meal frequency was associated with lower LDL-C levels in participants aged 45 or less (WMD=
251	-12.63 mg/dl; 95% CI: -20.74, -4.51; P= 0.002; I^2 = 87.1; P-heterogeneity< 0.001), healthy
252	participants (WMD= -18.13 mg/dl; 95% CI: -29.38, -6.87; P= 0.002; I ² = 83.7; P-heterogeneity=
253	0.002), and when isocaloric meals were administered (WMD= -14.08 mg/dl; 95% CI: -24.71, -
254	3.45; P= 0.009; I^2 = 87.8; P-heterogeneity< 0.001). Furthermore, LDL-C levels decreased in
255	studies where the difference of meal frequency between intervention and control groups was
256	three or less (WMD= -10.60 mg/dl; 95% CI: -20.48, -0.72; P= 0.03; I ² = 87.2; P-heterogeneity<
257	0.001). It also seems that meal frequency interventions were more effective in parallel design
258	studies (WMD= -11.17 mg/dl; 95% CI: -19.50, -2.85; P= 0.008; I ² = 47.5; P-heterogeneity= 0.14)
259	compared with cross-over studies (WMD= -5.06 mg/dl; 95% CI: -10.05, -0.07; P= 0.04; I^2 =
260	85.9; P-heterogeneity<0.001). However, heterogeneity was high across studies, and subgroup
261	analysis identified gender, age, and health status of participants as sources of heterogeneity
262	(Supplementary Table 9).

263 HDL-C. The pooled effect size based on 12 studies revealed no significant effect of meal frequency intervention on the serum levels of HDL-C, with considerable heterogeneity (n= 454 264 participants, WMD= -0.73 mg/dl; 95% CI: -2.24, 0.78; P=0.34; $I^2=78.3$; P-heterogeneity< 265 0.001) (Table 2) (Figure 3 D)(22, 37, 39-42, 45, 46, 49, 51-53). Subgroup analysis showed that 266 higher meal frequency significantly increased HDL-C levels when parallel design was applied, 267 with considerably reduced heterogeneity (WMD= 2.07 mg/dl; 95% CI: 1.31, 2.82; P< 0.001; 268 $I^2=0.0$; P-heterogeneity= 0.69), duration of intervention was long (WMD= 1.46 mg/dl; 95% CI: 269 $0.14, 2.78; P=0.03; I^2=61.8; P-heterogeneity=0.03)$, and it decreased when the meal frequency 270 intervention was not accompanied with energy restriction (WMD= -2.79 mg/dl; 95% CI: -4.04, -271 1.55; P< 0.001; $I^2 = 0.0$; P-heterogeneity= 0.52). Moreover, we found that higher meal frequency 272 decreased HDL-C levels in cross-over design (WMD= -1.98 mg/dl; 95% CI: -3.81, -0.15; P= 273 274 0.03; $I^2 = 69.1$; P-heterogeneity= 0.001), and short-term duration (WMD= -2.77 mg/dl; 95% CI: -3.99, -1.55; P < 0.001; $I^2 = 0.0$; P-heterogeneity= 0.57) subgroups (Supplementary Table 10). 275 LDL-C/HDL-C ratio. Three studies investigated the effect of meal frequency intervention on 276 277 LDL/HDL ratio (39, 41, 52). Pooling data showed a significant reduction in LDL-C/HDL-C ratio following higher, compared with lower, meal frequency intervention (n= 45 participants, WMD= 278 0.22; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.36; P = 0.003; $I^2 = 0.0$; P-heterogeneity= 0.38) (Table 2) (Supplementary 279 Figure 2). 280

FFA. Four studies investigated the effect of meal frequency on serum levels of FFA (43, 46, 47,
52). However, no significant change was observed (n= 72 participants, WMD= 0.01 mmol/L;
95% CI: -0.02, 0.04; P= 0.59; I²= 0.0; P-heterogeneity= 0.43) (Table 2) (Supplementary Figure 3).

- 285 *Apo lipoproteins*. We found that meal frequency interventions had no significant effect on either
- 286 Apo-A1 (39, 41, 46) (3 studies, n= 42 participants, WMD= -3.00 mg/dl; 95% CI: -15.70, 9.70;
- 287 P= 0.64; I^2 = 4.5; P-heterogeneity= 0.35) (**Figure 4 A**), or Apo-B (4 studies, n= 55 participants,
- 288 WMD= -1.63 mg/dl; 95% CI: -7.65, 4.39; P= 0.59; I^2 = 0.0; P-heterogeneity= 0.42) (**Table 2**)
- 289 (**Figure 4 B**) (39-41, 46).
- 290 Effects of meal frequency intervention on adipokines
- 291 *Leptin.* Pooling effect sizes from seven studies, we found no significant association between
- meal frequency and serum levels of leptin (n= 258 participants, WMD= -0.06 ng/dl; 95% CI: -
- 293 0.94, 0.82; P=0.89; $I^2=60.0$; P-heterogeneity= 0.01) (**Table 2**) (**Figure 5**) (27, 31, 38, 43, 45,
- 47, 50). The low number of studies precluded the conducting of subgroup analyses, despite the
- 295 presence of moderate heterogeneity.

296 Outcomes not included in the meta-analysis

Supplementary Table 11 shows the characteristics of studies which were not included in the
meta-analysis, because of inappropriate or inadequate data.

299 *Blood pressure*. We found four eligible studies evaluating the effect of meal frequency on blood

pressure, with contradictory results (22, 39, 50, 52). While Stote et al revealed that consuming

301 one meal/day can lead to raised blood pressure ,compared with three meals/day (22), two studies

- found no significant change in blood pressure following intervention (39, 52). In another study,
- 303 systolic blood pressure significantly dropped in the lower meal frequency condition, as compared
- to the higher meal frequency condition (50). However, these studies could not be included in the
- 305 quantitative analysis because the data were not appropriate for the statistical approach utilized.

Glucagon. We identified three studies investigating the effect of meal frequency intervention on
glucagon concentration (27, 47, 53). While two studies reported non-significant changes (27,
47), Kahleova reported fasting plasma glucagon decreased with the lower meal frequency
regimen, and increased with the higher meal frequency regimen (53).

310 *Other glycemic indicators.* Three studies were found that investigated the effect of meal

frequency on 2 hours post prandial blood glucose (2hPPBG) (39, 48, 51). However, just one of

them reported a significant reduction in 2hPPBG in higher meal frequency *vs* lower meal

frequency group (48). Three studies also reported results on insulin/glucose ratio without

reaching statistically significant changes (39, 41, 52). We found other studies investigating the

effect of meal frequency intervention on insulin sensitivity (27, 52) and glucose sensitivity (53),

316 which and no significant difference between groups was evident. However, compared with

317 higher meal frequency, the first phase of beta-cell function was significantly diminished when

lower meal frequency was administered in one study (27).

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor. One study investigated the effect of meal frequency
intervention on brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF); however, only non-significant
changes were observed (27).

322 *Lipid profile*. There were two studies that measured total cholesterol: HDL-C ratio (39, 41) and

323 one study measured VLDL concentration (41) in response to meal frequency intervention.

Accordingly, none of the studies reported any significant effect manifest following intervention.

325 *Heart rate*. Thomsen et al., examined the effect of meal frequency intervention on heart rate;

326 highlighting no significant findings (52).

327 Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

328 Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the robustness of the overall effect.

However, after excluding each trial from the study, effect estimates remained the same for all

outcomes. Visual inspection of the funnel plots showed no sign of asymmetry in the meta-

analyses of meal frequency intervention effects on TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, FBS, and insulin.

332 Indeed, Egger and Begg's tests, respectively, also confirmed these findings.

333 **DISCUSSION**

In the present systematic review and meta-analysis, we summarized the available data from twenty eligible RCTs focusing on the effect of meal frequency intervention on biochemical cardio-metabolic factors in adults. The main results of this study suggest that, compared with lower meal frequency, higher meal frequency significantly reduces TC, LDL-C and LDL-C/HDL-C ratio; without any significant effect on other lipid profile markers, glycemic indices, apolipoprotines, and leptin levels.

Subgroup analyses suggested that higher meal frequency, if not accompanied by calorie 340 restriction, increases TG and decreases HDL-C, respectively. Beneficial effects of higher meal 341 frequency were also observed when interventions lasted for 12 weeks or more. Furthermore, 342 higher meal frequency intervention reduced TC and LDL-C levels in healthy participants, when 343 compared with lower meal frequency. A greater reduction in LDL-C levels was also shown in 344 the studies with a parallel vs a crossover study design. Alterations in cholesterol levels and 345 346 glycemic indices are reportedly capable of impacting risk of cardio-vascular diseases (55-57); 347 indeed, so previous studies reported that for each 1 mg/dl reduction in TC, LDL-C, TG, and 1 mg/dl increase in HDL-C, 2 to 3% reduction, respectively, in the risk of CVD is evident (55, 58, 348 349 59). Therefore, it seems that the higher meal frequency, with an approximate decrease of 6 mg/dl in TG and LDL, may lead to an effective improvement in reducing cardiovascular disease risk. 350

351 Changes in eating habits have significant ramifications on the regulation of metabolic status. Indeed, the findings of some observational studies have suggested an inverse relationship 352 between meal frequency and cardio-metabolic risk factors (13-16). However, in clinical trials, 353 contradictory results have been reported. Some studies have suggested beneficial effects of 354 higher meal frequency on lipid profile (22, 45, 46), glycemic indices (48, 51) or appetite (22, 48, 355 356 60); however, there were no significant differences manifest between higher and lower meal 357 frequency in other studies (39, 40). Accordingly, our analyses have demonstrated that higher 358 meal frequency may improve plasma lipids. Moreover, our subgroup analyses showed that the 359 intervention can be even more effective in long-term interventions or when energy restriction also applied. 360

The mechanisms by which higher meal frequency may yield beneficial effects on plasma lipids are not well understood. However, such observations may be explained by some putative mechanisms. Cholesterol reduction may be related to lower insulin levels; indeed, insulin mediates the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway through hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA (HMGCoA) reductase activation (61). Moreover, enzymes that provide substrates for cholesterogenesis are insulin sensitive (62).; however, we observed no significant change in insulin levels, indicating that this mechanism may not suitably explain our results.

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, all of the included studies administered 30% or less of daily energy needs from fat. It is conceivable that many of the participants followed a high-fat diet before the intervention, and the modification in dietary fat intake has yielded improvement in lipid profile. However, most of these studies did not consider dietary intake before intervention, which precludes any consensus being made. Furthermore, this highlights the importance of considering dietary habits of participants.

We found that TG and HDL-C levels changed detrimentally when the intervention was not accompanied by energy restriction. This finding gives indicates that energy restriction may be a more effective factor in improving these biomarkers than the meal frequency. However, the reason for this is not apparent, and necessitates further investigation

We did not observe any significant change in glycemic indices. Indeed, one probable explanation for these null findings may be related to the varying meal composition and quantity of meals across studies, which axiomatically affects glycemic responses. Moreover, energy-deficit diets may lead to increase FFA levels, which are related to insulin resistance (63), however positive effects of energy-deficit diets would likely appear via weight loss, if the dietary intervention continues. As demonstrated in Pierre's study, meal frequency has no effect on either fasting glucose or insulin in the absence of weight loss (64).

Meal timing is another factor that may confound results, where, in the present study, ost of the 385 included work did not control the time each meal was consumed. Meal timing can affect the 386 circadian clock and glucose and lipids metabolism (65). Indeed, Paoli and collogues 387 demonstrated that a regular eating pattern has potential positive effects on health outcomes, 388 regardless of meal frequency, and the authors asserted that reduced meal frequency, following 389 regular meal timing, may yield additional beneficial effects. (11). Further considerations include 390 that wash-out periods in cross-over design studies may not be sufficient to remove the effect of 391 392 the first period intervention. In this regard, we found a significant elevation in HDL-C levels in parallel studies; while the opposite result was observed in cross-over designs. 393

for a further explanation for our results is that the difference in number of meals between the

intervention and control groups varies across studies. Indeed, Jenkin reports that large

differences are likely needed to find significant associations (46). In some included studies, the

control group received one or two meals a day, which is comparable to a fasting diet. There is
some evidence to suggest fasting may yield beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors (66).
Whilst we found that LDL-C was significantly decreased when the difference in number of
meals between groups was three or less, it is not clear how many meals per day is optimal for
producing clinically beneficial effects.

402 Several meta-analyses have reported on the association between meal frequency and body weight 403 (67-69), however, biochemical cardio-metabolic factors were not assessed in these analyses. To 404 our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis investigating the effect of meal frequency on 405 biochemical cardio-metabolic factors. A further strength of our study is that, as we included RCTs for analysis, causal conclusions were able to be drawn, whilst a wide search strategy 406 407 ensured we identified all relevant publications. Moreover, we evaluated the methodological quality, as well as quality of evidence, for included studies using standard approaches. Since 408 409 total energy intake, in addition to diet composition, plays an important role in metabolism 410 regulation, we only included studies where energy and macronutrient intakes were similar between groups. 411

412 Despite the aforementioned strengths some limitations also exist in our study that need to be 413 considered. Implementing a dietary intervention trial faces multiple challenges; for instance, maintaining high compliance of participants, blinding the intervention, and controlling 414 415 confounders (70). Indeed, such confounder are out of the operational control of any study, and as such, the results of our study have also been affected by these challenges. As emphasized 416 417 previously, we considered some confounding factors in the sub-analyses we conducted, however, 418 some other important variables, such as initial calorie intake and dietary habit, physical activity, medications, time of blood sampling, body weight changes, baseline values of biomarkers, 419

420 smoking, and meal times were not taken into account in most of the studies. Moreover, most of the included studies were performed over a relatively short period of time, and their sample sizes 421 were relatively small, thus, it is conceivable that studies may have lacked sufficient statistical 422 423 power to detect significant differences. Therefore, the quality of studies was graded as poor. Some risk factors for cardiovascular diseases were not measured in included studies, including 424 425 C-reactive protein, and other inflammatory markers, so no inference can be made regarding the effect of meal frequency on said parameters. Finally, small number of studies also unable us to 426 conduct subgroup analysis for some of our interested outcomes, and the source of heterogeneity 427 428 remained unclear for these outcomes.

429 Conclusion

430 The findings of our study suggest that, in an isocaloric condition, high meal frequency may yield beneficial effects on total cholesterol, LDL-C and LDL-C: HDL-C ratio, as compared with lower 431 meal frequency. Although increased meal frequency had no significant effect on glucose 432 hemostasis markers, the subgroup analyses revealed that it may be more advantageous if higher 433 meal frequency is accompanied with energy restriction, or if the intervention lasts for 12 weeks 434 or more. However, the low quality of meta-evidence reduces the reliability of the results; thus, 435 high-quality, larger-scale, and longer-term trials, controlled for confounders, are necessitated 436 437 before any firm conclusions can be drawn.

438 Acknowledgements

The authors would also thank the research council of Nutrition and Food Security Research Center, ShahidSadoughi University of Medical Sciences for providing access to their digital library.

441 Author's contribution:

442	The authors' responsibilities were as follows-SS, AS conceived the study. SS, AS, Sh A carried out the
443	literature search and data extraction. Sh A and SS conducted the quality of included studies, Data analysis
444	and interpretation. All authors contributed to the study conception, design and drafting of the manuscript.
445	SS, AS, Sh A: analysis and interpretation of data, Sh A, AS, CC: writing of the manuscript, critical
446	revision of manuscript drafts for important intellectual content.
447	Conflict of interest
448	The authors have no potential financial or other conflicts of interest to disclose.
449	
450	
451	

References

1. Min J, Zhao Y, Slivka L, Wang Y. Double burden of diseases worldwide: coexistence of undernutrition and overnutrition-related non-communicable chronic diseases. Obesity reviews. 2018;19(1):49-61.

2. Patel S, Ram F, Patel SK, Kumar K. Association of behavioral risk factors with self-reported and symptom or measured chronic diseases among adult population (18–69 years) in India: evidence from SAGE study. BMC public health. 2019;19(1):560.

3. Danaei G, Lu Y, Singh GM, Carnahan E, Stevens GA, Cowan MJ, et al. Cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and diabetes mortality burden of cardiometabolic risk factors from 1980 to 2010: a comparative risk assessment. Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2014.

4. Forrester T. Epidemiologic transitions: migration and development of obesity and cardiometabolic disease in the developing world. Recent Advances in Growth Research: Nutritional, Molecular and Endocrine Perspectives. 71: Karger Publishers; 2013. p. 147-56.

5. Khandelwal S. Obesity in midlife: lifestyle and dietary strategies. Climacteric. 2020;23(2):140-7.

6. Roberts CK, Barnard RJ. Effects of exercise and diet on chronic disease. Journal of applied physiology. 2005;98(1):3-30.

7. Schlesinger S, Neuenschwander M, Schwedhelm C, Hoffmann G, Bechthold A, Boeing H, et al. Food groups and risk of overweight, obesity, and weight gain: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. Advances in Nutrition. 2019;10(2):205-18.

8. Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G, Iqbal K, Schwedhelm C, Boeing H. Food groups and intermediate disease markers: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized trials. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2018;108(3):576-86.

9. Schwingshackl L, Schwedhelm C, Hoffmann G, Knüppel S, Iqbal K, Andriolo V, et al. Food groups and risk of hypertension: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. Advances in Nutrition. 2017;8(6):793-803.

10. Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G, Lampousi A-M, Knüppel S, Iqbal K, Schwedhelm C, et al. Food groups and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Springer; 2017.

11. Paoli A, Tinsley G, Bianco A, Moro T. The influence of meal frequency and timing on health in humans: The role of fasting. Nutrients. 2019;11(4):719.

12. Gallant A, Lundgren J, Drapeau V. Nutritional aspects of late eating and night eating. Current obesity reports. 2014;3(1):101-7.

13. Smith KJ, Blizzard L, McNaughton SA, Gall SL, Dwyer T, Venn AJ. Daily eating frequency and cardiometabolic risk factors in young Australian adults: cross-sectional analyses. British journal of nutrition. 2012;108(6):1086-94.

14. Pot GK, Almoosawi S, Stephen AM. Meal irregularity and cardiometabolic consequences: results from observational and intervention studies. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. 2016;75(4):475-86.

15. Sierra-Johnson J, Undén AL, Linestrand M, Rosell M, Sjogren P, Kolak M, et al. Eating meals irregularly: a novel environmental risk factor for the metabolic syndrome. Obesity. 2008;16(6):1302-7.

16. Shin A, Lim S-Y, Sung J, Shin H-R, Kim J. Dietary intake, eating habits, and metabolic syndrome in Korean men. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2009;109(4):633-40.

17. Leidy HJ, Armstrong CL, Tang M, Mattes RD, Campbell WW. The influence of higher protein intake and greater eating frequency on appetite control in overweight and obese men. Obesity. 2010;18(9):1725-32.

18. Suzuki R, Lee K, Jing E, Biddinger SB, McDonald JG, Montine TJ, et al. Diabetes and insulin in regulation of brain cholesterol metabolism. Cell metabolism. 2010;12(6):567-79.

19. Sallis JF, Floyd MF, Rodríguez DA, Saelens BE. Role of built environments in physical activity, obesity, and cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 2012;125(5):729-37.

20. Pimenta AM, Bes-Rastrollo M, Gea A, Sayón-Orea C, Zazpe I, Lopez-Iracheta R, et al. Snacking between main meals is associated with a higher risk of metabolic syndrome in a Mediterranean cohort: the SUN Project (Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra). Public health nutrition. 2016;19(4):658-66.

21. Munsters MJ, Saris WH. Effects of meal frequency on metabolic profiles and substrate partitioning in lean healthy males. PloS one. 2012;7(6).

22. Stote KS, Baer DJ, Spears K, Paul DR, Harris GK, Rumpler WV, et al. A controlled trial of reduced meal frequency without caloric restriction in healthy, normal-weight, middle-aged adults. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2007;85(4):981-8.

23. Kroeger CM, Klempel MC, Bhutani S, Trepanowski JF, Tangney CC, Varady KA. Improvement in coronary heart disease risk factors during an intermittent fasting/calorie restriction regimen: Relationship to adipokine modulations. Nutrition & metabolism. 2012;9(1):98.

24. Dixit VD, Yang H, Sayeed KS, Stote KS, Rumpler WV, Baer DJ, et al. Controlled meal frequency without caloric restriction alters peripheral blood mononuclear cell cytokine production. Journal of Inflammation. 2011;8(1):6.

25. Izumida Y, Yahagi N, Takeuchi Y, Nishi M, Shikama A, Takarada A, et al. Glycogen shortage during fasting triggers liver–brain–adipose neurocircuitry to facilitate fat utilization. Nature communications. 2013;4:2316.

26. Petersen KF, Shulman GI. Etiology of insulin resistance. The American journal of medicine. 2006;119(5):S10-S6.

27. Carlson O, Martin B, Stote KS, Golden E, Maudsley S, Najjar SS, et al. Impact of reduced meal frequency without caloric restriction on glucose regulation in healthy, normal-weight middle-aged men and women. Metabolism. 2007;56(12):1729-34.

28. Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Vuksan V, Brighenti F, Cunnane SC, Rao AV, et al. Nibbling versus gorging: metabolic advantages of increased meal frequency. New England Journal of Medicine. 1989;321(14):929-34.

29. Kahleova H, Belinova L, Malinska H, Oliyarnyk O, Trnovska J, Skop V, et al. Eating two larger meals a day (breakfast and lunch) is more effective than six smaller meals in a reduced-energy regimen for patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised crossover study. Diabetologia. 2014;57(8):1552-60.

30. Kahleova H, Malinska H, Kazdova L, Belinova L, Tura A, Hill M, et al. The effect of meal frequency on the fatty acid composition of serum phospholipids in patients with type 2 diabetes. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2016;35(4):317-25.

31. Belinova L, Kahleova H, Malinska H, Topolcan O, Windrichova J, Oliyarnyk O, et al. The effect of meal frequency in a reduced-energy regimen on the gastrointestinal and appetite hormones in patients with type 2 diabetes: A randomised crossover study. PLoS One. 2017;12(4).

32. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. Annals of internal medicine. 2009;151(4):W-65-W-94.

33. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. Bmj. 1997;315(7109):629-34.

34. Egger M, Davey-Smith G, Altman D. Systematic reviews in health care: meta-analysis in context. 2008.

35. Higgins J, Altman D, Gøtzsche P, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman A, et al. Cochrane bias methods group; cochrane statistical methods group. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials BMJ. 2011;343(7829):d5928.

36. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. Bmj. 2008;336(7650):924-6.

37. Alencar M, Beam J, McCormick J, White A, Salgado R, Kravitz L, et al. Increased meal frequency attenuates fat-free mass losses and some markers of health status with a portion-controlled weight loss diet. Nutrition research (new york, NY). 2015;35(5):375-83.

38. Arciero PJ, Ormsbee MJ, Gentile CL, Nindl BC, Brestoff JR, Ruby M. Increased protein intake and meal frequency reduces abdominal fat during energy balance and energy deficit. Obesity (Silver Spring, Md). 2013;21(7):1357-66.

39. Arnold L, Ball M, Mann J. Metabolic effects of alterations in meal frequency in hypercholesterolaemic individuals. Atherosclerosis. 1994;108(2):167-74.

40. Arnold L, Mann JI, Ball MJ. Metabolic effects of alterations in meal frequency in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes care. 1997;20(11):1651-4.

41. Arnold LM, Ball MJ, Duncan AW, Mann J. Effect of isoenergetic intake of three or nine meals on plasma lipoproteins and glucose metabolism. Am J Clin Nutr. 1993;57(3):446-51.

42. Bertéus Forslund H, Klingström S, Hagberg H, Löndahl M, Torgerson JS, Lindroos AK. Should snacks be recommended in obesity treatment? A 1-year randomized clinical trial. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2008;62(11):1308-17.

43. Chapelot D, Marmonier C, Aubert R, Allegre C, Gausseres N, Fantino M, et al. Consequence of omitting or adding a meal in man on body composition, food intake, and metabolism. Obesity. 2006;14(2):215-27.

44. Finkelstein B, Fryer B. Meal frequency and weight reduction of young women. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 1971;24(4):465-8.

45. Hatami Zargaran Z, Salehi M, Heydari ST, Babajafari S. The Effects of 6 Isocaloric Meals on Body Weight, Lipid Profiles, Leptin, and Adiponectin in Overweight Subjects (BMI > 25). International cardiovascular research journal. 2014;8(2):52-6.

46. Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Vuksan V, Brighenti F, Cunnane SC, Rao AV, et al. Nibbling versus gorging: metabolic advantages of increased meal frequency. The New England journal of medicine. 1989;321(14):929-34.

47. Koopman K, Caan M, Nederveen A, Pels A, Ackermans M, Fliers E, et al. Hypercaloric diets with increased meal frequency, but not meal size, increase intrahepatic triglycerides: a randomized controlled trial. Hepatology (baltimore, md). 2014;60(2):545-53.

48. Papakonstantinou E, Kontogianni MD, Mitrou P, Magriplis E, Vassiliadi D, Nomikos T, et al. Effects of 6 vs 3 eucaloric meal patterns on glycaemic control and satiety in people with impaired glucose tolerance or overt type 2 diabetes: A randomized trial. Diabetes & metabolism. 2018;44(3):226-34.

49. Papakonstantinou EKIMPTEVDGEZAKMDG. Effect of meal frequency on glucose and insulin levels in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomised trial. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2016;70(5):588-94.

50. Perrigue M, Drewnowski A, Wang C, Song X, Kratz M, Neuhouser M. Randomized Trial Testing the Effects of Eating Frequency on Two Hormonal Biomarkers of Metabolism and Energy Balance. Nutrition and cancer. 2017;69(1):56-63.

51. Salehi M, Kazemi A, Zadeh J. The effects of 6 isocaloric meals pattern on blood lipid profile, glucose, hemoglobin A1c, insulin and malondialdehyde in type 2 diabetic patients: a randomized clinical trial. Iranian journal of medical sciences. 2014;39(5):433-9.

52. Thomsen C, Christiansen C, Rasmussen O, Hermansen K. Comparison of the effects of two weeks' intervention with different meal frequencies on glucose metabolism, insulin sensitivity and lipid levels in non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients. Annals of nutrition & metabolism. 1997;41(3):173-80.

53. Kahleova H, Belinova L, Malinska H, Oliyarnyk O, Trnovska J, Skop V, et al. Eating two larger meals a day (breakfast and lunch) is more effective than six smaller meals in a reduced-energy regimen

for patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised crossover study (vol 57, pg 1552, 2014). Diabetologia. 2015;58(1):205-.

54. Kahleova H, Belinova L, Malinska H, Kazdova L, Dezortova M, Hajek M, et al. Meal frequency and type 2 diabetes. Obesity facts. 2015;8:12.

55. Silverman MG, Ference BA, Im K, Wiviott SD, Giugliano RP, Grundy SM, et al. Association between lowering LDL-C and cardiovascular risk reduction among different therapeutic interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Jama. 2016;316(12):1289-97.

56. Giugliano RP, Im K, Silverman MG, O'Donoghue ML, Wiviott SD, Sabatine MS. Association Between Triglyceride Lowering and Reduction of Cardiovascular Risk Across Multiple Lipid-Lowering Therapeutic Classes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Regression Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. 2019.

57. Schwarz PE, Timpel P, Harst L, Greaves CJ, Ali MK, Lambert J, et al. Blood sugar regulation as a key focus for cardiovascular health promotion and prevention: an umbrella review. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2018;72(15):1829.

58. Qiu C, Zhao X, Zhou Q, Zhang Z. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol efflux capacity is inversely associated with cardiovascular risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lipids in health and disease. 2017;16(1):212.

59. Liu J, Zeng F-F, Liu Z-M, Zhang C-X, Ling W-h, Chen Y-M. Effects of blood triglycerides on cardiovascular and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 61 prospective studies. Lipids in health and disease. 2013;12(1):159.

60. Bachman J, Raynor H. Effects of manipulating eating frequency during a behavioral weight loss intervention: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Obesity (silver spring, md). 2012;20(5):985-92.

61. Chan DC, Watts GF, Barrett PHR, Beilin LJ, Redgrave TG, Mori TA. Regulatory effects of HMG CoA reductase inhibitor and fish oils on apolipoprotein B-100 kinetics in insulin-resistant obese male subjects with dyslipidemia. Diabetes. 2002;51(8):2377-86.

62. Kwon HS, Harris R. Mechanisms responsible for regulation of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 gene expression. Advances in enzyme regulation. 2004;44(1):109-21.

63. Ye J. Role of insulin in the pathogenesis of free fatty acid-induced insulin resistance in skeletal muscle. Endocrine, Metabolic & Immune Disorders-Drug Targets (Formerly Current Drug Targets-Immune, Endocrine & Metabolic Disorders). 2007;7(1):65-74.

64. St-Onge M-P, Ard J, Baskin ML, Chiuve SE, Johnson HM, Kris-Etherton P, et al. Meal timing and frequency: implications for cardiovascular disease prevention: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017;135(9):e96-e121.

65. Sasaki S, Nakae S, Ebine N, Aoi W, Higashi A, Ishii K. The effect of the timing of meal intake on energy metabolism during moderate exercise. Journal of nutritional science and vitaminology. 2014;60(1):28-34.

66. Akaberi A, Golshan A, Moojdekanloo M, Hashemian M. Does fasting in Ramadan ameliorate Lipid profile? A prospective observational study. Pakistan journal of medical sciences. 2014;30(4):708.

67. Wang Y-Q, Zhang Y-Q, Zhang F, Zhang Y-W, Li R, Chen G-X. Increased Eating Frequency Is Associated with Lower Obesity Risk, But Higher Energy Intake in Adults: A Meta-Analysis. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2016;13(6):603.

68. Raynor HA, Goff MR, Poole SA, Chen G. Eating frequency, food intake, and weight: a systematic review of human and animal experimental studies. Frontiers in nutrition. 2015;2:38.

69. Jon Schoenfeld B, Albert Aragon A, Krieger JW. Effects of meal frequency on weight loss and body composition: a meta-analysis. Nutrition reviews. 2015;73(2):69-82.

70. Weaver CM, Miller JW. Challenges in conducting clinical nutrition research. Nutrition reviews. 2017;75(7):491-9.

Table 1	. Characteristics of	of randomized	controlled trials	s that investigate	ed the effect	of the meal fre	auencv	intervention on	cardio-metabol	ic profile i	n adults and	were elig	gible for incl	lusion in	the meta-ana	lvsis

Author, year	Gender, participant ¹	Mean age (year)	Country	Health status of subjects	Study design	Outcome	Daily meal frequency (int/cont)	Energy restriction	Iso- caloric meals	Duration, weeks	Results
Alencar, 2015 (37)	F, 22	52	New Mexico	Obesity	Cross- over	HDL, TG, LDL, TC, insulin, FBS	6/2	Yes	No	2	Non-significant change: glucose, insulin, total-cholesterol, or LDL-C, TG Significant change: HDL improved in control group with no significant difference between groups
Arcerio, 2013 (38)	Both, 20	46	USA	Obesity	Parallel	Leptin	6/3	Yes	NM	4	Non-significant change: Significant change: leptin increased in intervention group
Arnold, 1993 (41)	Both, 19	32.1	New Zealand	Healthy	Cross- over	HDL, Apo-B, Apo- A1, LDL/HDL, TG, LDL, TC	9/3	No	No	4	Non-significant change: TG, Apo-A1, Apo B, LDL/HDL Significant change: TC, LDL, HDL improved in intervention group
Arnold, 1994 (39)	Both, 16	49.9	New Zealand	Hyperchol	Cross- over	HDL, Apo-B, Apo- A1, LDL/HDL, TG, LDL, TC, C- peptide, insulin, FBS	9/3	No	No	4	Non-significant change: TC, LDL, HDL, TG, Apo A1, Apo B, LDL/HDL, TG, insulin, C-peptide Significant change:
Arnold, 1997 (40)	Both, 13	46-70	New Zealand	T2DM	Cross- over	HDL, Apo-B, TG, LDL, TC, C- peptide, insulin, HbA1c, FBS	9/3	No	No	8	Non-significant change: HDL, Apo-B, TG, LDL, TC, C-peptide, Insulin, HbA1c, FBS Significant change:
Belinova, 2017 (31)	Both, 54	59.4	Czech Republic	T2DM	Cross- over	Leptin	6/2	Yes	Yes	12	Non-significant change: Significant change: leptin decreased in both groups.
Bertéus Forslund, 2008 (42)	Both, 93	41.8	Sweden	Obesity	Parallel	HDL, TG, LDL, TC, insulin, FBS	6/3	Yes	No	48	Non-significant change: TG, LDL, TC, Insulin, FBS Significant change: HDL improved in control group
Carlson, 2007 (27)	Both, 30	45	USA	Healthy	Cross- over	FBS, insulin, leptin, HOMA IR,	3/1	No	Yes	8	Non-significant change: insulin, glucagon, leptin, HOMA IR

											Significant change: higher FBS in control group compared with intervention
Chapelot, 2006 (43)	M, 24	19-25	France	Healthy	Cross- over	FFA, TG, FBS, insulin, leptin	4/3	No	No	4	Non-significant change: FFA, TG, FBS, insulin Significant change: leptin increased in control group compared with baseline. Glucose and insulin increased in intervention compared with control group.
Finkelstein, 1971 (44)	F, 8	20-22	USA	Overweight	Parallel	FBS, TC	6/3	No	Yes	8	Non-significant change: FBS, TC Significant change:
Hatami Zargaran, 2014 (45)	Both, 84	36.99	Iran	Obesity	Parallel	HDL, TG, LDL, TC, leptin	6/5	Yes	Yes	12	Non-significant change: Significant change: TC, LDL, TG, leptin decreased and HDL increased in intervention compared with control group
Jenkins, 1989 (46)	M, 7	39.6	Canada	Healthy	Cross- over	HDL, FFA, Apo-B, Apo-A1, TG, LDL, TC, C-peptide, insulin, FBS	17/3	No	Yes	4	Non-significant change: FBS, FFA, TG, Apo-A Significant change: TC, LDL, Apo-B, decreased in intervention compared with control group. Insulin and C- peptide decreased in intervention group compared with baseline.
Kahleova, 2015 (53)	Both, 54	59.4	Czech Republic	T2DM	Cross- over	HDL, TG, LDL, TC, HOMA-IR, insulin, FBS, C- peptide	6/2	Yes	No	12	Non-significant change: TC, HDL Significant change: FBS and C-peptide levels decreased in both groups. Glucagon decreased in the control and increased in the intervention group.
Koopman, 2014 (43)	M, 31	22	Netherland	Healthy	Parallel	FFA, TG, insulin, FBS, leptin	6/3	Yes	No	6	Non-significant change: FFA, glucagon, FBS Significant change: Plasma leptin concentrations increased in all diet intervention groups. TG increased in 6 meals/day group.
Papakonstantinou, 2016 (49)	F, 40	27.6	Greece	PCOS	Cross- over	HDL, TG, LDL, TC, HOMA-IR,	6/3	No	No	12	Non-significant change: FBS, HOMA- IR, TC. HDL, LDL, TG

						insulin, HbA1c, FBS					Significant change: insulin level decreased and HbA1c marginally increased after 6 meals/day intervention.
Papakonstantinou, 2018 (48)	Both, 47	49.3	Greece	IGT and T2DM	Cross- over	HOMA-IR, insulin, HbA1c, FBS	6/3	No	No	12	Non-significant change: HOMA-IR Significant change: HbA1c decreased in 6 vs 3 meals/d group.
Perrigue, 2017 (50)	Both, 15	28.5	USA	Healthy	Cross- over	Leptin	8/3	No	Yes	3	Non-significant change: leptin Significant change:
Salehi, 2014 (51)	Both, 66	51.8	Iran	T2DM	Parallel	HDL, TG, LDL, TC, insulin, HbA1c, FBS	6/5	Yes	Yes	12	Non-significant change: FBS, insulin, TC, LDL, HDL and TG Significant change: HbA1c decreased in 6 meals/day compared with 5 meals/day.
Stote, 2007 (22)	Both, 30	45	USA	Healthy	Cross- over	HDL, TG, LDL, TC	3/1	No	Yes	8	Non-significant change: TG Significant change: HDL, LDL and TC increased after 1 meal/day intervention
Thomsen, 1997 (66)	Both, 10	60	Iceland	T2DM	Cross- over	HDL, FFA, LDL/HDL, TG, LDL, TC, HbA1c, FBS	8/3	No	Yes	2	Non-significant change: FBS, FFA, HbA1c, TC, LDL, LDL/HDL, TG Significant change: HDL increased in 3 meals/day compared with 8 meals/day.

¹number of participants who completed the study

Cont, Control; FBS, fasting blood sugar; F, Female; FFA, Free fatty acid; HbA1c, Hemoglobin A1C; HDL, High-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, Homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance; IGT, Impaired glucose tolerance; Int, Intervention; LDL, Low-density lipoprotein; M, Male; NM, Not mentioned; PCOS, Polycystic ovary syndrome; TC, Total cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride; T2DM, Type 2 diabetes mellitus

		Meta-analysis		Heterogeneity					
Outcome	Number of Studies	WMD ¹ (95%CI)	P effect	Q statistic	P within group	I ² (%)			
Glucose Homeostasis									
FBS (mmol/L)	13	-0.02 (-0.16, 0.20)	0.976	124.47	< 0.001	88.1			
Insulin (micro unit/ml)	12	-0.65 (-1.74, 0.43)	0.236	72.37	< 0.001	80.7			
HbA1c (%)	5	-0.12 (-0.30, 0.06)	0.184	65.76	< 0.001	90.9			
HOMA-IR (%)	4	-0.05 (-0.55, 0.45)	0.840	63.79	< 0.001	92.2			
C-Peptide ²	3	-0.15 (-0.45, 0.16)	0.340	0.65	0.724	0.00			
Lipid Profile									
TG (mg/dl)	14	-2.24 (-5.62, 1.14)	0.194	51.68	< 0.001	72.9			
Total Cholesterol (mg/dl)	13	-6.08 (-10.68, -1.48)	0.010	100.05	< 0.001	88			
LDL (mg/dl)	12	-6.82 (-10.97, -1.59)	0.009	77.19	< 0.001	85.7			
HDL (mg/dl)	12	-0.73 (-2.24, 0.78)	0.344	50.59	< 0.001	78.3			
LDL/HDL ratio	3	0.22 (0.07, 0.37)	0.003	1.89	0.389	0.00			
Apo A1 (mg/dl)	3	-3.00 (-15.70, 9.70)	0.644	2.09	0.351	4.5			
Apo B (mg/dl)	4	-1.63 (-7.65, 4.39)	0.594	2.78	0.426	0.00			
FFA (mmol/L)	4	0.01 (-0.02, 0.04)	0.598	3.79	0.435	0.00			
Adipokines									
Leptin (ng/dl)	7	-0.06 (-0.94, 0.82)	0.899	17.50	0.014	60			

Table 2. Meta-analysis showing the overall effect of meal frequency intervention on cardio-metabolic factors

¹WMD, Weighted mean difference

²Effect size presented as Hedges' g

Figure 1- Flow diagram for study selection process

Figure 2 - Forest plot of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) illustrating weighted mean differences in (A) serum glucose, (B) serum insulin, (C) HbA1c, and (D) HOMA-IR between higher meal frequency and lower meal frequency for all eligible studies. Analysis was conducted using a random effects model.

Figure 3 - Forest plot of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) illustrating weighted mean differences in (A) serum triglyceride, (B) serum Total Cholesterol, (C) serum LDL, and (D) serum HDL between higher meal frequency and lower meal frequency for all eligible studies. Analysis was conducted using a random effects model.

Figure 4 - Forest plot of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) illustrating weighted mean differences in (A) serum Apo-A1, and (B) serum Apo-B between higher meal frequency and lower meal frequency for all eligible studies. Analysis was conducted using a random effects model.

Figure 5 - Forest plot of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) illustrating weighted mean differences in serum leptin between higher meal frequency and lower meal frequency for all eligible studies. Analysis was conducted using a random effects model.