Applying a non-invasive multi-spectral sensing technique to two-phase flow measurements for pipeline monitoring Zainal Abidin, D., Theminimulla, S., Waugh, D. G. & Griffin, J.

M., Jun

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

Original citation & hyperlink: Zainal Abidin, D, Theminimulla, S, Waugh, DG & Griffin, JM 2022, 'Applying a non-invasive multi-spectral sensing technique to two-phase flow measurements for pipeline monitoring', International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 587-605. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-021-00471-4</u>

DOI 10.1007/s40095-021-00471-4 ISSN 2251-6832 ESSN 2008-9163

Publisher: Springer

The final publication is available at Springer via <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40095-021-00471-4</u>

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

Applying a Non-Invasive Multi-Spectral Sensing Technique to 1 Two-phase Flow Measurements for Pipeline Monitoring 2 3 D. Zainal Abidin^{*}, S. Theminimulla, D.G. Waugh, J.M. Griffin 4 5 6 School of Mechanical, Aerospace and Automotive Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing, 7 Coventry University, Gulson Road, Coventry, CV1 2JH, United Kingdom 8 9 *Corresponding Author: 10 D. Zainal Abidin 11 School of Mechanical, Aerospace and Automotive Engineering, 12 Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing, 13 Coventry University, 14 Gulson Road, 15 Coventry, 16 CV1 2JH, UK 17 Email: zainalad@uni.coventry.ac.uk / dzariff.zabidin@gmail.com

18

19 Abstract

"Smart" sensors, with a fusion-based approach, pave the way for potentially changing how pipeline 20 network systems are controlled, maintained, and monitored. This is typically done through 21 structural modeling or spot monitoring using micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS), strain 22 23 gauges or through general conventional sensing. One of the challenges facing new developments in structural health monitoring (SHM) of a deployed asset is application to inaccessible locations. 24 This work presents the use of a non-intrusive integrity monitoring sensor system utilising a multi-25 spectral approach to give more trusted insights to structural integrity as well as flow characteristics. 26 The paper addresses the fundamental problems in identifying and characterising two-phase (air-27 28 water and oil-water) flow patterns and flow pattern transitions using numerous sensing techniques: strain gauges, optical Fibre Bragg Gratings (FBGs), thermocouples (K-type), accelerometers, 29 acoustic emission analysis and gyroscopes. By providing low noise, un-biased strain 30 measurements, the non-intrusive sensor system can verify and improve integrity monitoring, 31 32 providing a reliable alternative to conventional sensing. In addition, the non-intrusive sensor system enables pressure monitoring, providing flow assurance data and, provides good data 33 34 integrity, making it an ideal tool as an enhanced surveillance strategy in real time for SHM of pipeline networks. 35

Keywords: acoustic emission signal, Fibre Bragg Grating (FBG) sensor, structural monitoring,
sensor fusion, multiphase flow

38 Introduction

Many studies around the world rely on extensive networks of pipelines to supply water, oil, and 39 40 gas, extending over millions of miles, and with some pipeline networks running through harsh environment and terrains. Major pipeline failure incidents reported are caused mainly due to 41 42 corrosion and leakage, causing significant damage to property [1-4]. Leakage issues are not only from wasting commodities, but also can cut profits and bring liability issues, directly affecting 43 peoples' lives and the environment [5-9]. With this, it has become more noticeable in oil, water 44 and gas industries where leaks can have major consequences and can last for many years. For 45 46 example, the Trans Mountain pipeline leak in British Columbia gave rise to an estimated 195 barrels of crude oil being released in 2020 [10]. As a result of this and other incidents, the 47 development of a non-intrusive sensor communication system for pipe SHM is crucial for pipeline 48 networks [8]. Pipelines reaching the end of their lifespan, will result to failure by gradual leakage 49 50 from erosion, abrasion, corrosion, and cracks. The pipelines are vulnerable to natural disasters, 51 which may disrupt activity. Factors like this, risk a degradation for the pipeline performance. SHM sensing systems do exist for monitoring internal aspects of pipeline parameter which is to perceive 52 53 flow rate, temperature, pressure, density, and viscosity which are structured as invasive techniques [11-16]. 54

55

56 Multiple non-invasive SHM methods have been proposed, utilizing sensing devices that were 57 placed or mounted on the exterior side of the wall pipes [7,9,17-23]. To detect pipe leakages, the approach of Zahab [9] uses acoustic emission (AE) sensing. That is, when leakages occur, the fluid 58 59 would be released from the pipe wall producing acoustic activity. The acoustic information was obtained by placing acoustic emission sensors on the exterior of the pipe wall. From the collected 60 61 data, the Author has used acoustic mapping of the pipe. This meant that leakages can be detected 62 by analyzing the deviation from the baseline [17-19]. In [7], Santos showed that it is possible to 63 detect small leakages using acoustic sensors placed at short distances between one another. However, it should be noted that background noise can negatively affect the sensitivity for large, 64 65 turbulent flows. For another proposed technique, detecting leakages can be done through the

installation of a long cable along the entire length of the pipe. With this, when the fluid is spilled, 66 the liquid comes into contact with the installed cable causing a short circuit. This can only be 67 applied for contact with conductive liquids such as water and hydrocarbon fluids [24,25]. Another 68 variation, using a similar technique, is proposed by using optical fibres [13,20]. When there was a 69 presence of a hydrocarbon leakage, the optical fiber measured the change in the refractive index. 70 Another, a liquid sensing application method [21] is also based on using sensor cables. The 71 72 principle involved is sending energy pulses along the cable, continuously and collecting the returned data samples. In the case of a leak, there would be a change in the impedance from the 73 cable therefore obtaining a variation of echoes. Another type of sensing method is the application 74 of vapor sensing, which is mostly used in tanks, less so in pipelines. Leakage detection is based 75 on the use of analysis of concentration of the fluid vapor. The downfall to this application is the 76 installation costs are very high and requires the deployment of many high value devices [20,22,23]. 77

78

79 In the work done by Sharma [20], the Author has proposed a leakage detection system which is 80 based on mass conservation. From fluid loss, a leakage would cause an inconsistency towards the 81 flow i.e., upstream, and downstream from within the pipe. The method proposed measured changes in volume and mass from the pipeline entry and exit points. From a known pipeline diameter, the 82 83 volume of fluid is said to be proportional to the velocity introduced pipe [21,26]. An ultrasonic method was applied for measuring the fluid velocity. By using this application, one of the 84 85 advantages is that the technique is applicable non-intrusively. Transducers of this type can be mounted on the exterior of the pipe wall [27]. This characteristic is important as it would allow the 86 87 system to be applied to an existing pipe system and enable flexibility towards the deployment.

88

89 Most, if not all, non-intrusive SHM sensor technologies utilize one technique. In order to increase 90 resolution and accuracy, the work detailed within this manuscript shows how a multi-spectral approach can be taken. That is, strain gauges, optical fiber sensors Fibre Bragg Gratings (FBGs), 91 thermocouples, accelerometers, and gyroscopes, in addition to acoustic emission have been 92 employed to study the flow characteristics of a two-phase vertical pipe system, highlighting the 93 94 advantages of using such a non-invasive multi-spectral approach. The objectives of the paper are therefore, to assess the correlation and physical link of flow through structural health of a pipe for 95 96 two-phase flow measurement. Forming the construction and demonstration of a novel non97 intrusive technique using sensor synergy and a multi-spectral approach. The significance of the
98 technology could be readily applied to such industries as the oil and gas industry for pipeline health

- 99 monitoring where difficult and remote access are essential for safety critical applications.
- 100

101 Set-up and Experimental Considerations

102

The pipe material was manufactured out of extruded Plexiglas, clear acrylic / Polymethyl-103 104 Methacrylate (PMMA) with an outer diameter of 90 mm, an inside diameter of 80 mm and a wall thickness of 5 mm. Standard telecommunication optical fibre Bragg gratings (FBGs) with central 105 glass fibre core internal diameters of 125 µm, 250 µm external, including buffer acrylate coating 106 and optical input interrogator, were used. The experimental set-up of the pipe, with the sensors, is 107 108 shown in Figure 1 where the fibres are loaded circumferentially onto the pipe between two points at 1200 mm localised distance, overall good transfer of strain from enough tension through 109 110 adhesive were applied to the fibre sensor. This distance is evaluated through series of experiments 111 to measure the accuracy of gathered data fusion. The sensors were positioned circumferentially to 112 prove that the internal pressure growth mimicked the discrete change of flow value; thus, there 113 were differences between the signals recorded by reducing or increasing the flow. The values obtained can then be correlated to a Positive Displacement (PD) flow meter which measures the 114 volumetric flow rate of fluids. 115

Electronic sensors (i.e., strain gauges, accelerometer, and gyroscope) were positioned near the 116 FBG unit to compare respective configurations for resolution, overall sensitivity and compared 117 against phenomena, crucial to be placed near the measurement point for all the signals to be 118 119 synchronised. Due to the Bragg gratings act so that it measures both strain and temperature, one 120 of the fibres was placed freely, not in contact with the pipe to measure the surrounding temperature. This will be the act of compensation; the thermocouple measured the temperature of the system. 121 122 The visibility of the differences can be seen clearly to identify the strain, temperature, vibration, 123 and acceleration from the flow. Three sets of tests were conducted to identify repeatability and to 124 obtain mean averages of results.

125 The fibre interrogation tool communicated through WinACC software (Version 1.0.2.4). The data 126 recorded was by unit measurement of absolute wavelength as a function of time and, in this case, 127 related to strain and pressure from loading and freed fibre for temperature compensation. LabVIEW 2018 (Version 18, National Instruments) tool files were used for data for the 128 combination of strain gauges (BF350+/-0.1\Omega), Optical FBGs, thermocouple (K-type) OMEGA 129 SA2F-KI-3M, accelerometer and gyroscope (3 Axis GY-521 MPU-6050). Input raw acquisition 130 data within LabVIEW software was used to calculate strain and temperature from the FBGs, 131 respectively. A MOOG Interrogator, NI-DAQ and Arduino microcontroller were implemented and 132 synchronised into a single acquisition system. Flow was controlled progressively between 13 133 l/min- 26 l/min and the multiphase rig (Two-phase, liquid and air), which consisted of a control 134 pump, flow meter and a combination of operating system i.e. the manual, electronic and flow-135 controlled valves, were controlled together within the multiphase flow system Omron CJ2M PLC 136 and associated control equipment along with a Windows 10 desktop PC with EXOR's J-Mobile 137 Runtime Software. 138

To further test the efficacy of the multi-spectral SHM technique, liquid (water/oil) that was 139 140 released from the bottom of the pipe, shown in Figure 1, presented a controlled leak by manually and gradually loosening one of the pipe connections to represent a typical leakage. To produce the 141 142 leakage scenario, the connection was loosened with the aperture size of approximately 5mm from the start of the leak and the pressure from within the pipe made the leak progressively worse and 143 144 this can be seen within the results replicating the real-life situation and environment where the system had a connection that failed and showed what would happen in a catastrophic event. The 145 flow rate within the pipe, before and after the leakage was recorded, the position of the leakage 146 can be found in Figure 1 where the leakage is simulated. The significance of this leak is where the 147 flow rate was measured from 18 l/min to 26 l/min respectively. 148

149 AE and Instrumentation Integration

Acoustic emission (AE) signals were recorded using an AEwin 1283 USB AE Node System (MISTRAS) and a built-in amplifying acquisition card. The sensors were connected to MISTRAS 1283 Acoustic Emission USB Node. Prior to use, the AE sensors were lubricated to ensure good interface contact from the emitting source propagating the signal of interest to the sensor, verifying the set-up from calibration i.e., pencil calibration [31] for the AE sensor. The sensor was mechanically clamped onto the external pipe using a specialised 3-D printed clamping system which was designed to house and clamp the sensor to a specific and static load. 157 An issue or problem raised during structural health monitoring is frequently distinguished with a sensor system that incorporates the use of several sensors that respond to the signature generated 158 from independent phenomena [28]. Therefore, the developed suite of stand-alone sensors which 159 when used together through synergy or additional insight from data fusion provide the advantage 160 of additional information and confidence into a comprehensive evaluation for structural health 161 monitoring as well as flow measurement. Using each sensor to its best advantage to meet the needs 162 of specific application [29]. Both critical applications' accuracy and reliability can be increased by 163 averaging their outputs, which allows for early damage and warnings and enables for necessary 164 steps in reducing risks and damages that may pose [30]. 165

166 Calibration Considerations

The Hsu-Nielson pencil break calibration method was carried out in accordance with worked 167 168 provided by Hsu and Nielsen [31]. The calibration process was taken as a point of reference and was normalized from similar points. During the calibration, the average peak was recorded at 80 169 170 dB as seen in Figure 2(a) - (d). The calibration pencil lead breaking fracture was carried out five times and the average were taken. The sensors were synchronized to the data acquisition unit 171 172 through signal conditioning and FBG interrogation linking the output to the user PC, through transfer mechanisms, interaction, and digital signal processing. Several auxiliary sensors were 173 174 combined within one platform to allow online monitoring and data logging of the multiple electronic sensors and FBGs. The calibration of the sensors was conducted by determining the 175 known value of flow rate conducted in the experiment to no flow and estimating sensor 176 parameters such that the output will match to the known information. 177

178

The sensitivity of the FBG sensor was based upon an independent factor which induced strain in contact, leaving one sensor to act as temperature compensation. One FBG was placed unbonded, freely, as opposed to the sensor loaded to the pipe and was used to subtract the changes in the Bragg wavelength, the effective strain from the effect of temperature was compensated. Room and pipe temperature were taken into account during each test, subtracting the Bragg shift for the indication, unstrained and strained conditions. The FBG sensor was affected slightly by the change in temperature due to liquid temperature variation in the pipe over time. The calibration process 186 was done by determining the known value of flow rate in the experiment and comparing it to a187 static flow and estimating such that the output matched with the known information.

188

189 Results and Discussion

190 Sensor Augmentation for Multiphase Flow Measurement

Flow rates were analysed based on the volumetric of water, oil, and air/liquid mixture. The 191 comparison of the flow rates explained the physical change due to the introduction of different 192 density and viscosity, increasing the percentage of oil being introduced in the pipe, increasing the 193 ratio, correlating to the increased density and controlled rate, seeing the propagation and change 194 to the increased ratio, equating to the sensor signals. Seeing the difference between the two phases 195 and how they would behave and interact as oil is a slightly denser material than water, the 196 transmission speed through oil was better. In the presence of water, FBG is submitted to a higher 197 198 strain, and the strain difference causes the shifts in Bragg wavelength, which is the drop in signal amplitude. 199

200 As shown in Table 1, the anomaly from the top sensor of water and oil can be associated to a 201 sudden increase in strain which can be explained by a shift in temperature changes. There is a high 202 amplitude recorded, in Table 1, for both strain and acceleration which depicts that the pipe 203 experienced the leakage. This is evidenced by comparing the bottom sensor and top sensor readings in Table 1. The accelerometer and gyroscope both detected a high amplitude signal from 204 205 the shift, indicating that during this point the flow runs through the transition. There was an 206 increase in wavelength change which highlighted the increased flow rate. The gradual linear increase of strain showed that the pressure increased linearly as the flow increased. This 207 demonstrated that the sensor system can be used to readily identify real-time changes in flow and 208 209 pressure through the change of strain in the pipe, detected by the wavelength shift of the FBG and strain sensors. When the system was subjected to a lower flow rate, the distance between the 210 211 wavelength shifts dropped, in respect to pressure, giving a closer value in wavelength shift when comparing the bottom and top sensors. This demonstrated the importance of distance between the 212 top and bottom sensors to detect wavelength shifts. This can also be seen when there was no flow 213 and low pressure as the wavelength shift was broader and had increased. For a higher flow rate, 214

215 the wavelength shift dropped drastically from 1550nm to 1549nm, and the difference between the 216 wavelength shift of the bottom and top sensors was narrower compared to that of a low flow rate. 217 It should also be noted that, whilst water and oil flow could be detected, the sensor signals were stronger for water when compared to oil. This was due to the fact that water is a denser liquid 218 compared to oil. Furthermore, the denser liquid (water) gave rise to better transmission of signals. 219 This is significant as it demonstrates that the multi-spectral SHM technique can be non-intrusively 220 221 applied to detect flows of different liquids, with different densities. This could lead to the development of a system which can monitor liquid content within pipeline networks. 222

223 By comparison in Figure 3, strain is introduced lower in water than oil; the strain from the bottom 224 pipe for oil showed more pronounced data as density depicted to these changes and can be seen 225 clearly in comparison to water flow data. Excitation voltage seemed higher in water, resulting from 226 a fluid temperature change between water and oil. The leakage phenomenon can be observed through the acceleration magnitude, pressure and strain when compared to water. Water recorded 227 228 a much lower magnitude, strain value, and stable pressure at 13 cbar. The pipe has been shifted 229 from its original position due to the leak and burst effect, which can be seen in the change towards 230 angular velocity. Depicted that both scenarios were at a fixed flow rate of 13 l/min, this can mean 231 that the data in oil produced the initial start of anomaly results.

The anomaly from the top sensor of water and oil can be associated with a sudden increase in a 232 strain which can be explained by a shift in temperature changes. A high amplitude recorded for 233 both strain and acceleration depicts that the pipe experienced leakage. This is evidenced by 234 comparing the bottom sensor and top sensor readings. The accelerometer and gyroscope both 235 236 detected a high amplitude signal from the shift, which justifies that vibrations were acting on the 237 pipe. Indicating that the flow runs through the transition during this point. There was an increase in wavelength change which highlighted the increased flow rate. The gradual linear increase of 238 239 strain showed that the pressure increased linearly as the flow increased.

This demonstrated that the sensor system could be used to readily identify real-time changes in flow and pressure through the change of strain in the pipe, detected by the wavelength shift of the FBG and strain sensors. When the system was subjected to a lower flow rate, the distance between the wavelength shifts dropped, with respect to pressure, giving a closer value in wavelength shift when comparing the bottom and top sensors. This demonstrated the importance of the distance between the top and bottom sensors to detect wavelength shifts. This can also be seen when therewas no flow and low pressure as the wavelength shift was broader and had increased.

247 For a higher flow rate, the wavelength shift dropped drastically from 1550nm to 1549nm, and the 248 difference between the bottom and top sensors' wavelength shift was narrower than that of a low 249 flow rate. It should also be noted that, whilst water and oil flow could be detected, the sensor signals were stronger for water when compared to oil. This was because water is a denser liquid 250 251 compared to oil. Furthermore, the denser liquid (water) gave rise to better transmission of signals. 252 This is significant as it demonstrates that the multi-spectral SHM technique can be non-intrusively 253 applied to detect flows of different liquids with different densities. This could lead to the 254 development of a system that can monitor liquid content within pipeline networks.

The percentage of air was controlled to maintain its equivalent volumetric flow rate. In Table 2, 255 256 from the increased flow rate, there was a correlation with increased strain due to higher pressure, 257 following the inverse relationship between the pressure and speed at a point in a fluid. From this 258 relationship, an increased flow rate, with higher pressure would give rise to a linear difference in the wavelength change showing that the circumferential strain on the pipe is more significant. As 259 a result, the pipe strain can be determined knowing that the fluid velocity is proportional to the 260 internal pressure growth. This highlights how this system can be utilised as a non-intrusive flow 261 sensing device. Like the accelerometer, the displacement caused the change in capacitance which 262 263 is measured and processed to a particular angular rate thus the sensor positioned at the bottom showed a high rise, depicted in Table 2 (accelerometer graph for both water and air). This multi-264 spectral approach enables efficient, non-intrusive flow sensing that can be validated using the 265 266 multiple sensor technologies.

The FBG sensor was positioned to detect strain and measured an increase in wavelength (see Table 2) when flow was present. This also demonstrated how the system can be used as a flow sensor. A high amplitude signal from the wavelength shift indicated that, during this point, there was an increased flow rate. From the increased flow rate, there was an association to an increased strain. This proved that the method can be developed to form a flow sensor based on Bragg gratings and induced vibration from the flow, showing that the flow and strain is measured in the form of a signal/vibration. It should be noted that the data received from the FBG sensor, the accelerometer and the strain gauges correlated with one another, during flow operation, and can be used togetherto confidently predict flow characteristics.

Liquid/air observed a higher strain value for both the top and bottom sensor, which aligned to the 276 excitation voltage recorded. Due to air and water pressure, the localised pressure and strain reading 277 278 associated with the liquid/air mixture caused the high peak amplitudes. The bubble formation was picked up by the sensor passing through the bottom to the top sensor. The event is producing much 279 more than the system is producing. Acceleration and angular velocity seemed higher in the 280 liquid/air mixture due to the system's higher energy propagating into the pipe. There is a 281 282 considerable pressure difference caused by the possible leak phenomenon in Figure 4. The angular 283 velocity at this point can be seen comparable towards the reading for water flow at the same flow rate depicting the pipe experiencing a high shift from its original position, worsening the cause of 284 285 failure towards the pipe as flow increased and fluid/air mixture introduced. The difference concerning strain and pressure is the corresponding increase towards the instrumentation. 286

Regarding time, AE picked up more noise at the bottom than at the top due to more pressure 287 exerted at the pipe's bottom. When the bubbles are formed, the pressure increased at the bottom of 288 the pipe. The increased pressure is due to bubble formation as it passes from the bottom to the top 289 290 sensor (as seen from the random spikes in the whole signal duration). In work done by El-Alej [32], the Author signifies the sound waves generated could have resulted in amplitude spikes and 291 correlated leakage phenomenon; therefore, it is assumed the spheroidal signal found throughout 292 293 the duration is the representation of large bubbles formation, the amplitude of the spikes is part of the signal recorded as the bubbles formed along as it passes through respective sensors. Moreover, 294 the signal recorded shifted from one sporadic event to another, depicting a delay of the bubble 295 passing through the bottom sensor to the top sensor. This time interval can be categorised as the 296 297 time duration for the bubbles to reach the top before the collapse.

Fluid density changed the limit to the amplitude range of the AE signal. The sensors obtained the correlation signals, amplifying the range from which it saturates after reaching a certain threshold. The high voltage peak amplitude recorded were mainly related to leakage as the fluid in the pipe, material, and elastic event compressing. The system's vibration was compared to the signals recorded in AE, and the change in amplitude for AE is the result of the material and elastic events 303 compressing along with the fluid association. The transducers were compressing and tensile acting,304 which is related to the change in density, temperature, and system interface.

305 The peak that was recorded in AE was significant in every signal at different time intervals and 306 amplitudes as opposed to noise from the system. The events that happened in the pipe was much 307 more pronounced. The strain value matched the overall change in the flow due to local pressurisation inside the pipe, resulting in AE achieving large spikes. The random spikes found in 308 AE data are the anomaly from within the disturbance that occurred in the pipe during recording. 309 Multiple sensor data comparisons have been made and justified through the events that cause the 310 disturbances, raising the spike in pressure, especially causing AE to change overall. When the leak 311 312 occurred (from the noise factor/hits) over the pass filter, the noise noticed an event occurrence.

313 The event was not entirely systematic due to leakage; it happened as a one-off event. The scenario from when the leak occurred resulted in a large 4 V in general, making that an anomaly event 314 during that time. A disturbance or external influence caused the irregular events in the pipe; thus, 315 monitoring individual events inside the pipe from a multi-spectral perspective can be an 316 inexpensive method to solve the related and said problems such as leaks could be identified before 317 the event worsen. The accelerometer recorded massive change from vibration caused by local 318 319 instability associated with when the leak occurred from when the leak occurred. The noise events 320 recorded caused a noticeable change in the amplitude.

321 The rise time from the continuous signals instead of district peak waveform loss energy from the overall top sensor to the bottom sensor. Higher events from the bottom sensors were recorded due 322 323 to leak occurrence. AE reaching high amplitude is the result of activity recorded by the bottom 324 pipe from strain and vibration induced. This is also caused by the moving transition to a specific 325 flow rate. An event of disturbance or noise, assuming the pipe moved (recorded by the angular velocity towards leak occurrence) were distinguishable because the baseline of pressure factor in 326 327 respect to noise recorded was equal to that of the multi-phase rig; therefore, any events outside the 328 noise boundary were considered an event happening.

Differences from increased flow resulted in a high gain value of change in amplitude. Seeing the difference in peak values between the tests conducted, both the AE sensor and electronic sensors showed the correlation when AE recorded high peak amplitudes. Progressing towards a higher flow rate, a change in vibration was noticeable. Through the increased flow, there can be seen not to pose much volumetric flow increment, but the sensors were able to distinguish the signalsrecorded, making the technique applicable to low flow rates.

Acoustic Emission (AE): Signals and their relation towards flow conditions

In association to the sensor responses, AE analyses sound generation along the pipeline and 336 pinpointing the location, measuring the intensity of the noise source. When looking at crossing the 337 pipe wall, the AE signal was subjected to noise effects, attenuation, reflection, and refraction. 338 These effects made the acoustic wave differ from the original stimulated source. The two sensor 339 340 points had a different propagation impacts of acoustic energy wave, which was dependent on the system configuration and physical properties of the medium. The waveform passed from the 341 bottom to the top sensor with a time difference that can be used to give a waveform time of flight 342 as depicted in the calibration process, as demonstrated by Shuib [37]. The experimental results 343 344 showed that fluid flowed into the pipe at different rates and produced different acoustic pressure magnitudes and frequencies. The fluids used in this study were two-phase flow pattern (liquid-air). 345 346 The peak time signal indicated the type of fluid and its magnitude indicates the flow rate [24,25]. As shown in Figures 5 A-D, the acoustic fingerprint of interest is distinct for different fluids. It 347 was found that there was correlation between the acoustic pressures and the flow rate of both fluids 348 for water and oil. Acoustic pressure increased with the flow rate and at different density. The main 349 350 goal was to investigate the relationship between acoustic signals and its flow conditions in a 351 vertical well [24,25,40,41]. For each experiment, sound peaks as a function of the fluid flow rate were recorded. Elastic waves obtained from the material changes were measured in the time 352 353 domain. The sound amplitude is expressed as the ratio of the sound pressure to standard reference 354 of acoustic pressure where water, air and oil are the differentiators [42]. As it is introduced into 355 the pipe, the fluid expands and creates sound vibration which in turn produces high peak at its 356 natural signal. Sound signals were recorded in the time domain and as expected, the highest flow rate produces the highest voltage amplitude. The data was analysed in time domain, as can be seen 357 in Figure 5. The dominant sound sample was always present even when different rates are being 358 359 introduced confirming that both fluid density and flow increment resulted in changing peaks albeit within the same time signal bands. 360

Figures 5 A and Figure 5 B were distinctive for water. The background noise comparison exists as the small peaks and therefore found that the range for water was between 500 mV to 800 mV for

every 10 seconds of the sound signal recorded. Different fluid has a unique time signal at which 363 364 it resonates, which goes the same for air and oil. The S/N ratio indicates that the signal's amplitude 365 rising and shift density correlated to the increased flow rate. The experiment was repeated using oil. Thus, a similar correlation was identified in that the sound amplitude increased with the flow 366 rate. With the known confidence, different densities will result in different speeds [26]. The peak 367 sound level was the highest for water and can be explained due to the higher density of the water. 368 369 The oil sound level was found to be relatively small with peaks that appeared to be non-existent at 370 this resolution in comparison with water.

371 The wavelength variation was dependent on the change of flow rate and pressure. Low pressure 372 gave rise to a linear difference in the wavelength change. As a result, the strain of the pipe could be determined knowing that the fluid velocity is proportional to the internal pressure growth. From 373 374 Figure 5 A to Figure 5 B, the difference between acceleration conditions can be distinguished by a slight change. This indicated that it was not enough to excite and cause external energy release, 375 376 which is sensitive to the output voltage and certain force acting on the pipe. The transitional change 377 associated with increased flow or stress in the pipe corresponded to an increased strain and force 378 from induced pressure. As the transitional region was transferred between flow rates, there was a slight Bragg wavelength shift as a result of a circumferential disposition of internal pipe pressure 379 380 and stress distribution. Even at low flow rates (13 l/min), the change in Bragg wavelength was seen to be corresponding to the change in pressure. This is significant as it demonstrated that the 381 382 system could operate at low flow rates, giving good resolution.

383 Water was at an equivalent flow rate as the oil and had higher sound amplitude as flow rate increased. This showed that sound peaks were related to the flow rate of both fluids but different 384 due to density changes. The top and bottom sensors displayed a correlation of induced strain from 385 386 the FBG sensors and strain gauge values, demonstrating a linear AE relationship with the strain 387 result. This further validates the sensor results using a multi-spectral approach. With higher flow rate, the magnitudes of the AE peak to peak were higher, in correlation with greater pressures. The 388 389 transition in flow rate from 13 l/min to 18 l/min (Figure 5 C to Figure 5 D) demonstrated that there 390 was a significant AE response to an increase in pressure, as the flow rate increased. For high 391 pressure and higher flow rates, the AE response showed more dense bursts of signal. The values 392 of which were then correlated to a flow meter and the AE response showed a corresponding change

in flow rate. This also correlated with an increase in wavelength shift change from the optical FBGwhich was proportional to an increase in flow rate.

395 In Figure 5 A and Figure 5 C water sound waves in water had similar peak distributions as oil of around 300 mV - 400 mV and oil, in comparison with water, produced a different sound range. 396 397 The shift density of the signal dropped to 200 mV between water and oil as this indication showed a lower spheroidic energy release obtained for a denser liquid. This is because the density of oil is 398 lower compared to water therefore the phenomenon that was recorded was higher in sensitivity, as 399 it also depends on the pressure and their volume introduced. This inclusion is the result of higher 400 401 amplitude rise with more dense liquid and due to the speed of propagation and the amount of 402 energy received. The dynamic wavelength shifts resulted in lower peak amplitudes, from the comparison of 60% water to 41% oil at the same flow rate, due to differences in density [26]. 403

The difference in amplitude in Figure 5 B and D, both at constant 26 l/min showed that a higher 404 liquid velocity produced a higher sound peaks in the form of a more significant peak. The same is 405 406 true for the sound signal recorded between water and oil as the energy release would have been greater with the introduction of higher flow rates. From the calibration process, the accelerometer 407 posed certain limitations towards vibration lower limits to measure the actual vibration of the pipe, 408 thus conforming the actual change in strain through circumferential disposition from an increased 409 flow. The sudden changes of the Bragg wavelength, which is the mean in transition evaluated 410 through strain acting on the fibre. Throughout this work, it has been shown that the non-intrusive 411 flow sensing system can sense low flow rates (between 13 l/min to 26 l/min). As a result of higher 412 flow speeds (> 26 l/min), more significant wavelength shifts would be expected from the force-413 414 induced strain meaning that this system could be used for higher flow rates for Reynolds Number 415 higher than 4000.

Fuzzy clustering from an unsupervised technique for blind segregation of leakage data

The abnormal flow pattern shown in Figure 6 from the dotted oval lines was the result of an acoustic signal associated to the change of normal pattern flow of the water. This can be associated with a sudden increase in strain which can be caused by a change in fluid flow. As can be taken from Figure 6, the average acceleration correlated with increased pressure from an increased strain. 422 At point A, in Figure 6, the peak can be linked to the start of when the leakage occurred as the rest 423 of the peaks are nominal from the event introduced in real time. Due to the pressure existing inside, 424 the liquid was forced out to create an equilibrium with outside and this can be categorised as 425 cavitation which in turn tells us that the spikes are the representation as the rate of leak. When compared to the pencil calibration, and repeated tests data in Figure 2, indication of when fluid 426 passing was noted, causing spikes rising to 1.4V, as seen from Figure 6. Similarly, in the work 427 done by Husin and Alhashan [33, 38], the Authors have explained cavitation and bubble collapse 428 which is smaller than then pencil calibration test. In [38], The AE-RMS and threshold levels are 429 430 said to be sensitive for monitoring bubble activity and movement towards water surface. From their observation, the bubble formation was detectable when standard analysis techniques 431 including RMS hits and threshold levels where applied. In another work done by Essid [39], the 432 433 AE emitted was said to be proportional to the quantity of the solid particle as the impact showed to not often generate large or medium AE signals between solid particles, with an increase flow 434 rate. This increased the velocity of solid particle in stream which increased the kinetic energy 435 resulting in an increase of the AE signals. The conclusion signifies that the sound waves generated 436 437 could have resulted from those spikes and correlating leakage phenomenon [34-36].

438 The Fourier transform for both sensors to the original signal from Figure 7 showed that sensor 2 439 resulted in a factor of 2 difference in terms of amplitude when compared to sensor 1 from the same frequency band; therefore, sensor 2 is picking more energy in total duration, and the shift was 440 441 slightly higher in terms of phenomena when compared between both sensors. Energy is released more in the bottom sensor; from the utilisation of the graph, both sensors pose similarity, but the 442 443 amplitude for sensor 2 is 10 times more significant from the top sensor (sensor 1), and the frequency band has shifted by a slight change where the prominent peak is 0.4 MHz as compared 444 to 0.3 MHz deducing the noise factor and ignoring anything above 1 MHz There seemed to have 445 446 more frequency shift on the bottom sensor in terms of amplitude and frequency comparison. As it gets further to the top, it started to collapse from the dispersed molecules resulted in the energy 447 being released. 448

The continuous file stream in Figures 11 showed a rich summary description model for the set of targets to the multidimensional data points of the raw leak data allowing apparent discrepancy between sensor 1 (closest to leak phenomena) and sensor 2 implemented as ΔdB , the clustering 452 method applied distinguished the features/difference. The technique that was applied here is the 453 reduced down, descriptive data (rich summary of data nuggets). The algorithm comprises principal 454 component analysis to show most data significance towards the x and y data. This section will 455 investigate different data variations (S1-S2= Δ 1), differentiating the top and bottom sensor. Principle component analysis is within the algorithm, which means the most significant deltas are 456 used over the others. By using the deltas, there was a difference between the leakage and non-457 458 leakage; without the use of deltas, there appears to be no change in noise. The blind classification 459 showed which data is more significant in breaking up a leak and non-leak. The calibration process was taken as a point of reference and was normalised for consistency towards a leak and no leak 460 data; the average peak recorded for each scenario was at a constant 80 dB and averaging 200-300 461 counts as seen in Figure 8. The calibration pencil lead breaking fracture was carried out five times, 462 and the average was taken, following this procedure. The repeated tests showed similar positioning 463 and damping. There was confidence in the fixed sensor positioning and towards the final reading. 464

465 From the continuous file stream, there was verification that the mechanical data, the flow was low, 466 and it was picked up at such low amplitude. Compared to the leak, the no leak (Figure 9) had a 467 more significant peak of around 55 dB at the range of 20-40 hits. On the opposite side, leak data (Figure 10) were lower, recorded at 45 dB but higher hits 60 -80. AE data were able to detect a 468 469 small flow change from the bottom sensor where leakage occurred, even though it was slightly lower when compared to the leak data (this could also mean that the energy is 470 471 dispersing/dissipating through the leak). The notable data could also mean that the result picks up 472 apparent leak, which is smaller, recording water droplets.

Raw data defined as the duration of time was much higher for no-leak compared to leak. In other 473 474 words, there were more spikes in the leak as the duration is much smaller; from this observation, 475 a good discriminator between the variables can be chosen. As seen from Figure 11, there were not 476 many events happenings to look at the amplitudes (y-axis); however, the amplitude was relatively high in the case of no leak. The possible explanation would be that the sensors (with good contact 477 478 and calibrated) from Figure 8 and Figure 10 when there is no leak, the pressure remained the same, 479 so any movements from the pressure and the pump remained the same noise. Therefore, the elastic 480 waves that were initiated from pipe material were the same, which doesn't change from sensor 1 481 (top position) and sensor 2 (bottom position). From the minute leak that was recorded, the pressure

482 was released. With a slight noise in comparison to a no-leak scenario, there were notable 483 differences. The segmentation of cluster centres presented in Figures 11 is defined by the value of 484 data points assigned by the algorithm. From the original data, it can be said that no leak defines 485 the lower data points in the clustering results. Going higher data point values is the tending towards a leak and definite leak. Their respective colour centroids call out these identifications. The 486 negative value in x and y is defined as how the algorithm segregates the data based on its Euclidean 487 488 distance. Nevertheless, it is assumed to have a positive value throughout (from the data perspective). 489

490 In Figure 11, red centroid (fuzzy centre) is defined as locally supported, the relevance/similarities 491 compact together. The marginal points (blue) from where the similarities were seen less and lastly, the difference with the green centroid, green marker, therefore, can be grouped as no leak, blue as 492 493 the start of the leak, and red is a definite leak which was based on the sensors picking up relevant data. The data was tending towards leak for the grouping of all different points towards leak data. 494 495 Cases in the middle based on the numbers would suggest that this was the start of the leak as it is 496 tending more towards a more compacted clustering feature on the left-hand side of the graph. The 497 energy was reduced compared to the energy (which was relatively high) on the right-hand side 498 cluster (grouped as no leak).

499 The algorithm barrier defined the clusters as leak and no leak showing discrepancy in the middle graph, P9, P10, P16, P29, to name a few tending towards 'start to leak' picked up from the sensor. 500 501 For leaks, two peaks were observed. The first peak can be said that the sensor picked up pressure within the system, the other peak seen possibly from the leak itself where the water was released. 502 503 There were noises present from the pump and other operating mechanical disturbances which were 504 more prominent, but smaller peaks were recorded, different, notable from phenomena of the leak 505 and no leak. From the results shown, the cluster for a definite leak can be seen to have good, 506 localised data support. Based on the Euclidean distance from the 2 dimensions, between -2dB and 2dB changes were locally supported, and the leak state was observed. The energy here was 507 508 dissipating (reading less of energy signal, as it was dissipating). The clusters formed to strengthen 509 the fusion approach of identifying the unsupervised leak outlier data based upon primary reading 510 extracted from the multi-spectral approach and using AE to characterise structural health upon the change in flow readings [43-45]. 511

512 Taylor Bubble: Flow characteristics through Acoustic Emission (AE)

In multi-phase flows, it can be difficult to distinguish flow patterns and types. A sample AE 513 measurement under flow conditions is presented in Figure 12 and Figure 13. The AE sensor was 514 non-invasively placed and mounted on the surface of the pipe wall via an adhesive coating and a 515 contact film promoting signal propagation. The sensitivity of the sensor was then evaluated using 516 Hsue- Nelson pencil lead break fracture technique [31]. The levels of AE energy recorded from 517 the sensor under the flow condition showed the level of AE signal increased from point A to point 518 519 B, as the bubble passing elongation tended from low to high from what is seen in point C. Largest 520 amplitude that was associated with the largest developed bubble and lowest energy burst released was entirely based on the fluid region (see Figure 13). The AE levels that were associated with the 521 522 density and viscosity discussed previously were as expected, having to consider the rate of bubble 523 collapse. Also, it was seen that the bubble was bigger than the body of pattern regime as it passed from the bottom sensor to top sensor, which in turn signified the gas phase, assuming the bubbles 524 are all discrete [24]. This enables the identification of the bubble regime as the bubbles pass the 525 526 AE sensor.

527 As the flow rate increased it created a consecutive bubble causing shape oscillation. The signals were picked up by the sensor when noise activity passed a certain threshold (in this case 40 dB). 528 529 As the bubble collapsed, bubble oscillation during its journey to the surface was observed. The bubble formation and burst detection showed an increasing bubble size from one region in the pipe 530 to another. With an increase in bubble size, AE amplitude bursts also increased. This indication 531 532 can be applied where different liquid viscosity affects AE signals. The sound emitted was dependent on the size of bubble, stress pulse, density and associated liquid damping factor 533 534 affecting the signal propagation and attenuation and also, the pinch-off (drop release) from the time it was recorded. 535

At a fixed rate, increasing gas velocity was the result in an increase of measured absolute AE energy. At a fixed distance between the top and bottom sensors, the velocity of the acoustic wave in liquid increased with the increasing size of bubble burst. The average velocity of the AE wave was higher in water compared to oil, showing that liquid viscosity and density was notable in multi-phase liquid. The results, as seen in Figure 13, showed a larger bubble size gave rise to a greater AE amplitude and count which is proportionate with both bubble size and liquid viscosity. 542 This would enable the non-intrusive flow sensing system to detect differences in liquid 543 characteristics and bubble characteristics.

544

545 Conclusions

546

Through the experimental investigation of two-phase flows in vertical pipes, a multi-spectral 547 approach enables efficient identification and characterisation of fluid density, pressure, and bubble 548 formation. The implementation of strain gauges, optical fibre Bragg gratings (FBGs), 549 thermocouples (K-type), accelerometers, acoustic emission analysis and gyroscopes, as a multi-550 spectral approach can be used to study two-phase flow. The sensor configurations that were 551 adopted demonstrated the technique and cross effect study of health monitoring and understanding 552 553 the structural integrity of a pipe accounting for numerous pipe health and flow parameters. The 554 system proposed is non-intrusive, it can be installed to existing pipes and does not affect normal 555 operation. The sensors further interconnected through data processing forming a fusion sensor 556 network that can relay information from collected data in real time. The sensors are correlated to their measurements, assessing whether the drop in fluid velocity was caused by the leakages. 557

The amplitude of the strain signals acquired resulted to a linearly proportional relationship to the 558 559 measured flow rates, by the correlation of the sensors positioned on the pipe wall to measure flow 560 rate, is presented for different valid speeds of signal; showing the amplitude of flow increases as the flow rate increases. The sensor adopted allows measuring flow without the physical contact 561 and interaction with the liquid being measured. The technique applied through the multi-spectral 562 approach can distinguish between single and two-phase liquid/air through the change in density. 563 Furthermore, a leak was detected through non-intrusive monitoring, with an accurate signal 564 'footprint' to segregate leak behaviour. The velocity of oil increased as oil viscosity increased and 565 decreased when the oil viscosity reduced. Oil had slightly better transmission than water. For most 566 data, this can be distinguished with little ambiguity. The maximum flow rate introduced showed 567 the change of pattern correlation. Flow velocity and physical properties of different fluids 568 569 influence sensor accuracy. The multi-spectral data recognise the multi-phase flow conditions and how that is associated with the actual strain, acceleration, and overall physical property of the pipe, 570

leading to recorded anomaly data. The pressure difference was seen when the oil viscosity andvelocity increased, as flow velocity and change in density both influenced the signals recorded.

The relationship between the sound peaks and fluid flow rate was examined for both air and liquid 573 through experimental observations. Sound generated by fluids moving through a pipe and into a 574 575 vertical well were found to have dominant time signal range for different fluid phase. The sound's magnitude indicated sound amplitude, and flow rate increased with flow rate for liquid/air, and the 576 overall dominant peak time signal for each sound recorded indicated the fluid phase. The clustering 577 algorithm was able to segregate leak and no leak data, correlating AE through early detection of 578 579 the anomaly when the leak first occurred and the occurrences following that event. The anomaly 580 and changes due to fluid flow, impact towards leak phenomenon was exerted towards the structural health of the pipe. In the future work, the implementation of using AE detection to study two-581 582 phase flows will be to look at different sizes of aperture and to adopt the technology towards an 583 automated system.

584

585 Declaration of interests

586 On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

587

588 **References**

590	1. B. F. Spencer and M. Ruiz-Sandoval, "Smart Sensing Technology for Structural Health
591	Monitoring," in Earthquake Engineering, Canada, 2004

- 2. Alsaka Department of Enviorment Conservation (2004) 'Technical Review of
 Leak Detection Technologies Crude Oil Transmission Pipelines'
- 594 3. P. Cawley, "Structural health monitoring: Closing the gap between research and industrial
 595 deployment," Structural Health Monitoring , 2018
- 4. Abd Murad, M. (ed.) (2009) *4th European-American Workshop on Reliability of NDE*. 'The
 Development of Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) Procedures for the Structural Integrity
 and Maintenance Repair of Offshore Ageing Pipelines ': NDT net
- 5. J. Li, X. Yang and R. Sitzenfrei, "Rethinking the Framework of Smart Water System: A
 Review," Water, vol. 412, no. 12, p. 25, 2019

- 6. F. Dai and D. Wang, "Analysis and Comparison of Long-Distance Pipeline Failures," Journal
 of Petroleum Engineering, 2017
- 7. A. Santos and M. Younis, "A sensor network for non-intrusive and efficient leak detection in
 long pipelines," in Proceedings of the IFIP Wireless Days Conference, Canada, 2011
- 8. P. Hopkins, "PIPELINES: Past, Present, and Future.," in Asian Pacific IIW International
 Congress, Sydney, 2007
- 9. S. Zahab and T. Zayed, "Leak detection in water distribution networks: an introductory
 overview," Smart Water, 2019
- 10. Trans Mountain Pipeline spill in Abbotsford estimated at up to 190,000 litres of crude oil.
 CBC.ca. Retrieved 02 May 2021
- 611 11. 9. A. MacLean and C. Moran, "Detection of hydrocarbon fuel spills using a distributed fibre
 612 optic sensor," Sensors and Actuators A Physical, p. 7, 2003.
- 613 12. Colombo, A. and Karney, B. W. (2003) 'Pipe Breaks and the Role of Leaks from an
 614 Economic Perspective'. *Water Science & Technology. Water Supply* 3 (1-2), 163-169
- 615 13. Zou, L. & Sezerman, O., 2008. Pipeline Corrosion Monitoring by Fiber Optic Distributed
 616 Strain and Temperature Sensors. NACE International, pp. 1-8.
- 617 14. Barry, A., Broaddus, D. & Ivasauskas, J., 2015. A Novel Solution for Performing Real- Time
 618 Fiber Optic Temperature Measurements. SPE International, Volume 175047- MS, pp. 1-18.
- 15. Bolognini, G., Soto, M. A., and Di Pasquale, F. (2010) 'Simultaneous Distributed Strain and
 Temperature Sensing Based on Combined Raman–Brillouin Scattering using Fabry–Perot
 Lasers'. *Measurement Science & Technology* 21 (9), 094025
- 622 16. Bado, M. F. and Casas, J. R. (2021) 'A Review of Recent Distributed Optical Fiber Sensors
 623 Applications for Civil Engineering Structural Health Monitoring'. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)* 21 (5), 1818
- 17. A. Cataldo and G. Cannazza, "A New Method for Detecting Leaks in Underground Water
 Pipelines," IEEE Sensors, pp. 1660-1667, 2012
- 18. Adegboye, M. A., Fung, W., and Karnik, A. (2019a) 'Recent Advances in Pipeline
 Monitoring and Oil Leakage Detection Technologies: Principles and Approaches'. *Sensors*(*Basel, Switzerland*) 19 (11), 2548
- 19. Ahadi, M. and Bakhtiar, M. S. (2010) 'Leak Detection in Water-Filled Plastic Pipes through
 the Application of Tuned Wavelet Transforms to Acoustic Emission Signals'. *Applied Acoustics* 71 (7), 634-639
- 20. Inaudi, D. & Glisic, B., 2010. Long-Range Pipeline Monitoring by Distributed Fibre Optic
 Sensing. Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology, 132(011701-1).

- 635 21. Barry, A., Broaddus, D. & Ivasauskas, J., 2015. A Novel Solution for Performing Real- Time
 636 Fiber Optic Temperature Measurements. SPE International, Volume 175047- MS, pp. 1-18.
- 637 22. Brown, g., 2009. Downhole Temperatures from Optical Fiber. Oilfield Review, 4(20), pp.
 638 34-39.
- 639 23. Cusano, A., Breglio, G. & Giordano, M., 2001. Fiber Optic Sensing System for Smart
 640 Materials and Structures. IEEE, pp. 1401-409.
- 641 24. Besagni, G. & Inzoli, F. 2018 Two-Phase Bubble Columns: A Comprehensive Review.
 642 MDPI, Chemengineering
- 643 25. Fang, L., Yang, Y., Fu, L., Wei, Z., Zhao, N., and Li, X. (eds.) (May 2018). 'The Noise
 644 Characteristics of Gas-Liquid Two Phase Slug Flow in Vertical Pipe': IEEE
- 26. 18. Pan, Y., Chen, Z. & Xiao, L., 2010. Application of Fiber Bragg GRating Sensor
 Networks in Oil Wells. SPE International, Issue 136978, pp. 1-6.
- 27. 19. Wang, W., Song, H. & Xue, J., 2011. Overview of Intelligent Composites with
 Embedded FBG Sensors. IEEE, pp. 47-51.
- 28. Lawrence A. Klein (2012) 'Multiple-Sensor System Applications, Benefits, and Design
 Considerations'. in Sensor and Data Fusion: A Tool for Information Assessment and
 Decision Making, Second Edition. ed. by Anon: SPIE Press, 9-52
- 652 29. Ho, J. S. and Li, Z. (2015) Microwave Metamaterials for Biomedical Sensing.: Elsevier Inc
- 30. Liptak, B. (2021) Do Multiple Sensors Improve Accuracy? [online] available from
 https://www.controlglobal.com/articles/2018/do-multiple-sensors-improve-accuracy/
 [04/11/2021]
- 656 31. N.N. Hsu, F.R. Breckenridge 1981, Mat. Eval., 39, 60-68
- 657 32. El-Alej, M. E. (2014) Monitoring Sand Particle Concentration in Multiphase Flow using
 658 Acoustic Emission Technology. [online] thesis or dissertation
- 33. Husin, S& Addali, A. 2010 Acoustic Emission for monitoring two-phase flow. EWGAE
 Vienna
- 661 34. Bernasconi, G. and Giudice, S. (eds.) (2012). 'Pipeline Acoustic Monitoring '
- 35. Droubi, M. G., Reuben, R. L., and Steel, J. I. (2018) 'Flow Noise Identification using
 Acoustic Emission (AE) Energy Decomposition for Sand Monitoring in Flow Pipeline'. *Applied Acoustics* 131, 5-15
- 36. Droubi, M. G., Reuben, R. L., and White, G. (2012) 'Statistical Distribution Models for
 Monitoring Acoustic Emission (AE) Energy of Abrasive Particle Impacts on Carbon Steel'.
 Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 30, 356-372

37. Shuib, H. & Mba, D. 2010 Acoustic Emission of a Single Bubble Activities Proceedings of 668 the World Congress on Engineering 2078-0958 669 670 38. Alhashan, T. & Addali, A. 2018 Experimental investigation of the influences of different 671 liquid types on acoustic emission energy levels during the bubble formation process, Int J Energy Environ Eng 9:13–20 672 673 39. Essid, M. 2014 Monitoring Sand Particle Concentration in Multiphase Flow Using Acoustic Emission Technology, PhD, Cranfield University, Cranfield 674 675 40. Narcy, M. and Colin, C. (2015) 'Two-Phase Pipe Flow in Microgravity with and without Phase Change: Recent Progress and Future Prospects'. Interfacial Phenomena and Heat 676 *Transfer* 3 (1), 1-17 677 678 41. Alssayh, M. & Addali, A. 2013 Identification of Two-Phase Flow Regime Using Acoustic Emission Technology. International Journal of Mechanical and Production Engineering 679 680 42. 41. Griffin, J. 2014, Traceability of Acoustic Emission measurements for a proposed calibration Method - Classification of Characteristics and Identification using signal 681 682 analysis, Mech. Syst. Signal Process. Volumes 50–51, Pages 757–783. 43. Gath, I. and Geva, A. B. (1989) 'Unsupervised Optimal Fuzzy Clustering'. IEEE 683 Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 11 (7), 773-780 684 44. Gosain, A. and Dahiya, S. (2016) 'Performance Analysis of various Fuzzy Clustering 685 686 Algorithms: A Review'. Procedia Computer Science 79, 100-111 45. Klawonn, F. (2008) 'Fuzzy Clustering: Insights and a New Approach' 11 (2-3) 687 688 689 690 691 692

Table 1: Dynamical characteristics of different vertical upward water-oil flow patterns and
sensor response; at fixed volumetric flow rate 13 l/min. Comparing similar fluid systems (oilwater interfacial tension).

Water (Top sensors)	Oil (Top sensors)	Water (Bottom sensors)	Oil (Bottom sensors)
≤ 1549.8675 1549.8655 1549.8655 1549.8655 1549.8655 1549.8635 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	(1550.1275 1550.1265 1550.1255 1550.1245 1550.1245 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	€ 1549-469 € 1549-468 1549-468 1549-464 1549-463 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	C 1549.706 549.705 1549.703 549.703 549.701 1549.701 1549.701 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)
eth 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.3 5.6 8.9 11.12 14.15 Time (s)	2.5 5 5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	(1) 2.5 9 1.5 9 - 0.5 9 - 0.5 - 2.5 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 5.0 \\ 4.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 2 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 3.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 2 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 3.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 3.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ 0.0$
4.685 4.655 4.655 4.645 4.645 2.3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 700 64 700 4 695 4 70 4 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	4.850 54,855 4.855 4.855 2 3 4 6 7 8 10 11 12 14 15 Time (s)
© 0.0040 © 0.0035 © 0.0025 © 0.005 © 0.00	0.0045 0.0030 0.0035 0.0035 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0035 0.0015	0.0028 0.0006 0.00012 0.00012 0.00012 0.00012 0.00012 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	0.286 F 0.284 C 0.286 0.276 0.0 0 0 1 1 1 1 Time
1228 124 120 127 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128	12.8 12.2 1.2 1.2 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.3 1.6 2.4 1.12	12 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	10 22 W 1.84 1.04 0.05 2 3 4 6 7 8 10 11 12 14 15 Time (s)

Table 2: Dynamical characteristics of different vertical upward water-air flow patterns and sensor response; at fixed volumetric flow rate 13 l/min. Comparing similar fluid systems (waterair interfacial tension).

Water (Top sensors)	Air (Top sensors)	Water (Bottom sensors)	Air (Bottom sensors)
3 1550.040 5 1550.036 1550.032 1550.024 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	3 1550.098 1550.096 1550.086 2 3 4 6 7 8 10 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	(2) 1549.640 (5) 1549.632 (1549.628 (1549.624) (2) 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	(2) 1549.698 (5) 1549.694 (5) 1549.694 (5) 1549.682 (4) 1549.682 (4) 1549.682 (5) 1549.682 (5
E 20 1.0 E 20 -1.0 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	$ \begin{array}{c} (2) & 2.5 \\ (3) & 1.5 \\ (3) & 0.5 \\ (4) & 0.5 $	(bf) uest and (b
4.685 4.675 4.665 4.665 2.3.5.6.8.9.11.12.14.15 Time (s)	4.650 4.650 4.650 4.650 2.3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	4.675 4.675 4.665 4.665 4.665 4.665 4.665 2.3.5.6.8.9.11 12 14 15 Time (s)	C 4.720 64.715 14.715 14.705 14.699 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)
0.0040 0.0035 0.0035 0.0025 0.0010 2 3 5 7 8 10 12 13 15 Time (s)	0.0050 0.0040 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0010 0.0040	0.0028 0.0024 0.0020 0.0012 0.0008 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (s)	0.0040 0.0035 0.0025 0.0020 0.0010 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15 Time (x)
128 128 129 122 122 122 122 122 122 122	(4) 5 m (100 m) 5 m (100 m)	(d) 2.0 1.6 1.2 0.4 2.3 5.6 8.9 11.12 1.4 1.5 Time (s)	(d16 12 8 0 2 3 5 6 8 9 11 12 14 15



Figure 1: The multiphase flow rig. The sensors were in proximity of specific distance
configured at 1200 mm between top and bottom sensors.





Figure 3 Cross-correlation signal profiling; comparing measured phase volumetric flow rate.
Measurement was able to detect at a different density from the transitional boundary between oil







5 (B): Sampled sound signal in the time domain: 26 l/min (water)





777

5 (C): Sampled sound signal in the time domain: 13 l/min (oil)





5 (D): Sampled sound signal in the time domain: 26 l/min (oil)





Figure 6: Comparison of peak distribution for water. The dominant peak of sound spectrum
indicates the fluid phase and leakage scenario; Sampled sound signal in the time domain:
Comparison of peak distribution for the start of leak. The sound spectrum dominant peak
indicates the fluid phase and leakage scenario.











Figure 11: Fuzzy cluster distances between definite leak, tending towards a leak and no leak of from the discrepancy in data anomaly for voltage (x-axis) and amplitude (y-axis) for 3 centroids.





Figure 13: The magnified spectrum associated to the flow patterns in regard to AE sensing. From
left to right: bubble flow, slug and slug-annular transition (Husin and Addali, 2010) (highlighted
in Red: bottom sensor, Green: top sensor).